
CSE 143 Java

Searching, Recursion, and Sorting

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-1

Overview

- Topics
 - Maintaining an ordered list
 - Sequential and binary search
 - Recursion
 - Sorting: insertion sort and QuickSort
- Reading
 - Textbook: ch. 13 & sec. 17.1-17.3

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-2

New Problem: A Word Dictionary

- Suppose we want to maintain a real dictionary. Data is a list of <word, definition> pairs -- a "Map" structure
 - <"aardvark", "an animal that starts with an A and ends with a K!">
 - <"apple", "a leading product of Washington state">
 - <"banana", "a fruit imported from somewhere else">
 - etc.
- We want to be able to do the following operations efficiently
 - Look up a definition given a word (key)
 - Retrieve sequences of definitions in alphabetical order

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-3

Representation

- Need to pick a data structure
- Analyze possibilities based on cost of operations
 - search access next in order
 - unordered list
 - hash map
 - ?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-4

Ordered List

- One solution: keep list in alphabetical order
- To simplify the diagrams, we'll treat the list as an array of strings, and assume it has sufficient capacity to add additional word/def's when needed

```
0 aardvark // instance variable of the Ordered List class
1 apple   String[] words; // list is stored in words[0..size-1]
2 banana  int size; // # of words
3 cherry
4 kumquat
5 orange
6 pear
7 rutabaga
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-5

Sequential (Linear) Search

- Assuming the list is initialized in alphabetical order, we can use a linear search to locate a word

```
// return location of word in words, or -1 if found
int find(String word) {
    int k = 0;
    while (k < size && !word.equals(words[k])) {
        k++;
    }
    if (k < size) { return k; } else { return -1; } // lousy indenting to fit on slide
} // don't do this at home
```

- Time for list of size n:

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-6

Can we do better?

- Yes! (If array is sorted)
- Binary search:
 - Examine middle element
 - Search either left or right half depending on whether desired word precedes or follows middle word alphabetically

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-7

Binary Search

```
// Return location of word in words, or -1 if not found
int find(String word) {
    return bSearch(0, size-1);
}
// Return location of word in words[lo..hi] or -1 if not found
int bSearch(String word, int lo, int hi) {
    // return -1 if interval lo..hi is empty
    if (lo > hi) { return -1; }
    // search words[lo..hi]
    int mid = (lo + hi) / 2;
    int comp = word.compareTo(words[mid]);
    if (comp == 0) { return mid; }
    else if (comp < 0) { return _____; }
    else /* comp > 0 */ { return _____; }
}
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-8

Binary Search -- Detail of Last Lines

```
int comp = word.compareTo(words[mid]);
if (comp == 0) {
    //the word must be where? _____
    return _____;
}
else if (comp < 0) {
    //the word must be where? _____
    return _____;
}
else { //comp > 0
    //the word must be where? _____
    return _____;
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-9

Binary Search -- Detail of Last Lines

```
int comp = word.compareTo(words[mid]);
if (comp == 0) {
    //the word must be where? at position "mid"
    return _____;
}
else if (comp < 0) {
    //the word must be where? in the lower half of the array
    return _____;
}
else { //comp > 0
    //the word must be where? in the upper half of the array
    return _____;
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-10

Binary Search -- Detail of Last Lines

```
int comp = word.compareTo(words[mid]);
if (comp == 0) {
    //the word must be where? at position "mid"
    return mid;
}
else if (comp < 0) {
    //the word must be where? in the lower half of the array
    return /*the result of searching the lower half of the array*/
    _____;
}
else { //comp > 0
    //the word must be where? in the upper half of the array
    return /*the result of searching the upper half of the array*/
    _____;
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-11

What is "The Lower Half"?

```
... else if (comp < 0) {
    //the word must be where? in the lower half of the array
    return /*the result of searching the lower half of the array*/
    _____;
}
...
```

Remember the method header was:
// Return location of word in words[lo..hi] or -1 if not found
int bSearch(String word, int lo, int hi) {

So the lower half starts at _____ and ends at _____
return /*the result of searching the lower half of the array*/ becomes
return /*the result of searching the array from ___ to ___*/

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-12

Last Lines --Comments Complete

```
int comp = word.compareTo(words[mid]);
if (comp == 0) {
    //the word must be where? at position "mid"
    return mid;
}
else if (comp < 0) {
    //the word must be where? in the lower half of the array
    return /"the result of searching from lo to mid-1"/
    _____;
}
else { //comp > 0
    //the word must be where? in the upper half of the array
    return /"the result of searching from mid+1 to hi"/
    _____;
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-13

Last Piece of the Puzzle

```
...
return /"the result of searching from lo to mid-1"/
_____
}
```

How can we get the "result of searching from lo to mid-1"?

We have a method called `bSearch` that can search an array within a range of indexes.

```
// Return location of word in words[x..y] or -1 if not found
int bSearch(String word, int x, int y)
```

Let `x` be `lo`, let `y` be `mid-1`
`bSearch(String word, int lo, int mid-1)`

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-14

Recursion

- A function that calls itself is *recursive*
- Nothing really new here
- Function call review:
 - Evaluate argument expressions
 - Allocate space for parameters and local variables of function being called
 - Initialize parameters with argument values
 - Then execute the function body
- No difference if the function being called is the same one that is doing the calling

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-15

Trace

- Trace execution of `find("orange")`
 - 0 aardvark
 - 1 apple
 - 2 banana
 - 3 cherry
 - 4 kumquat
 - 5 orange
 - 6 pear
 - 7 rutabaga

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-16

Trace

- Trace execution of find("kiwi")
 - 0 aardvark
 - 1 apple
 - 2 banana
 - 3 cherry
 - 4 kumquat
 - 5 orange
 - 6 pear
 - 7 rutabaga

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-17

Recursive Definitions

- A recursive function needs two things to work properly
 - One or more *base cases* that are not recursive

```
if (lo > hi) { return -1; }
```
 - One or more *recursive cases* that handle a "smaller" instance of the problem

```
if (comp == 0) { return mid; }  
else if (comp < 0) { return bsearch(word, lo, mid-1); }  
else /* comp > 0 */ { return bsearch(word, mid+1, hi); }
```

"Smaller" means: closer to a base case
Without "smaller", what might happen?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-18

Performance of Binary Search

- Analysis
 - Time of each recursive call:
 - Number of recursive calls:
 - Total time:
- Compare to linear search
 - Time to search 10, 100, 1000, 1,000,000 words
 - linear
 - binary
- What is incremental cost if size of list is doubled?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-19

Sorting

- Binary search is a huge speedup over sequential search
 - But requires the list be sorted
- Slight Problem: How do we get a sorted list?
 - Maintain the list in sorted order as each word is added
 - Sort the entire list when needed

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-20

Insert for a Sorted List

- Exercise: Assume that `words[0..size-1]` is sorted. Place new word in correct location so modified list remains sorted
 - Assume that there is spare capacity for the new word (what kind of condition is this?)
- Before coding:
 - Draw pictures of an example situation, before and after
 - Write down the postconditions for the operation

```
// given existing list words[0..size-1], insert word in correct place and increase size  
void insertWord(String word) {
```

```
    size++;  
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-21

Insertion Sort

- Once we have `insertWord` working...
- We can sort a list in place by repeating the insertion operation

```
void insertionSort() {  
    int finalSize = size;  
    size = 1;  
    for (int k = 1; k < finalSize; k++) {  
        insertWord(words[k]);  
    }  
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-22

Insertion Sort Trace

- Initial array contents

```
0 pear  
1 orange  
2 apple  
3 rutabaga  
4 aardvark  
5 cherry  
6 banana  
7 kumquat
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-23

Insertion Sort Performance

- Cost of each `insertWord` operation:
- Number of times `insertWord` is executed:
- Total cost:
- Can we do better?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-24

Analysis

- Why was binary search so much more effective than sequential search?
 - Answer: binary search divided the search space in half each time; sequential search only reduced the search space by 1 item
- Why is insertion sort $O(n^2)$?
 - Each insert operation only gets 1 more item in place at cost $O(n)$
 - $O(n)$ insert operations
- Can we do something similar for sorting?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-25

Divide and Conquer Sorting

- Idea: like binary search, divide the sorting problem into two subproblems; recursively sort each subproblem; combine results
 - Want division and combination at the end to be fast
 - Want to be able to sort two halves independently
- This is a particular example of an algorithm technique known as "divide and conquer"

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-26

Quicksort

- Invented by C. A. R. Hoare (1962)
- Idea
 - Pick an element of the list: the *pivot*
 - Place all elements of the list smaller than the pivot in the half of the list to its left; place larger elements to the right
 - Recursively sort each of the halves
- Before looking at any code, see if you can draw pictures based just on the first two steps of the description

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-27

Code for Quicksort

```
// Sort words[0..size-1]
void quickSort() {
    qsort(0, size-1);
}

// Sort words[lo..hi]
void qsort(int lo, int hi) {
    // quit if empty partition
    if (lo > hi) { return; }
    int pivotLocation = partition(lo, hi); // partition array and return pivot loc
    qsort(lo, pivotLocation-1);
    qsort(pivotLocation+1, hi);
}
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-28

Recursion Analysis

- Base case? Yes.
`// quit if empty partition`
`if (lo > hi) { return; }`
- Recursive cases? Yes
`qsort(lo, pivotLocation-1);`
`qsort(pivotLocation+1, hi);`
- Observation: recursive cases work on a smaller subproblem, so algorithm will terminate

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-29

A Small Matter of Programming

- Partition function
 - Pick pivot
 - Rearrange array so all smaller element are to the left, all larger to the right, with pivot in the middle
- How do we pick the pivot?
 - For now, keep it simple – use the first item in the interval

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-30

Partition design

- We need to partition words[lo..hi]
- Pick words[lo] as the pivot
- Picture:

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-31

Partition Algorithm

```
// Partition words[lo..hi]; return location of pivot in range lo..hi
int partition(int lo, int hi)
```

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-32

Partition Test

- Check: partition(0,7)
 - 0 orange
 - 1 pear
 - 2 apple
 - 3 rutabaga
 - 4 aardvark
 - 5 cherry
 - 6 banana
 - 7 kumquat

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-33

Quicksort Performance

- Cost of each recursive call
 - Cost of partition = $O(n)$ where n is the size of the part of the list being sorted (a smaller part of the original array)
 - Some $O(1)$ work
- Number of recursive calls
 - Assume that each partition operation divides list in half at cost $O(n/2)$
 - How many recursive calls?

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-34

Quicksort Performance (Ideal Case)

- Each partition divides the list parts in half
 - Sublist sizes on recursive calls: $n, n/2, n/4, n/8, \dots$
 - Total depth of recursion: _____
 - Total work at each level: $O(n)$
 - Total cost of quicksort: _____ !
- For a list of 10,000 items
 - Insertion sort: $O(n^2)$: 100,000,000
 - Quicksort: $O(n \log n)$: $10,000 \log_2 10,000 = 132,877$

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-35

Quicksort Performance (Worst Case)

- Each partition manages to pick the largest or smallest item in the list as a pivot
 - Sublist sizes on recursive calls:
 - Total depth of recursion: _____
 - Total work at each level: $O(n)$
 - Total cost of quicksort: _____ !

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-36

Worst Case vs Average Case

- In practice, Quicksort works well, provided the pivot is picked with some care. Some strategies:
 - Compare a small number of list items (3-5) and pick the median for the pivot
 - Pick a pivot element randomly in the range lo..hi

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-37

Summary

- Recursion
 - Functions that call themselves to solve subproblems
 - Need base case(s) and recursive case(s)
 - Often a very clean way to formulate a problem (let the function call mechanism handle bookkeeping behind the scenes)
- Divide and Conquer
 - Algorithm design strategy that exploits recursion
 - Divide original problem into subproblems
 - Solve each subproblem recursively
 - Can sometimes yield dramatic performance improvements

11/18/2002

(c) 2001, University of Washington

16-38