## Correctness proofs

- Ideally, we'd enter formal pre- and post-conditions and invariants, and statically prove that our program meets them: formal verification
  - <sub>n</sub> Like typechecking
  - Guarantees correct programs!!
- Completely impractical for real programs
  - □ [Why, do you think?]

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

23

#### **Testing**

- n The realistic alternative is testing
- But testing can never guarantee correctness, only that particular runs on particular inputs seem to produce the right answers
  - So let's have lots of test cases!
    - n A test suite

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

24

#### Good test suites

- n A test suite is good if it
  - Exposes bugs quickly
  - n Exposes all bugs
- n This is hard!
- $_{\rm n}$  Need to get good coverage over all the things a program might do
  - n All paths through the program's control flow
  - But what about error paths?
  - All "interesting" values of data structures Mhat's interesting?
- n Good coverage ≈ slow

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

25

#### Unit tests

- n A basic kind of test is a unit test
  - n Test a single unit of software
    - ь E.g. a class or a method
- Suitable for a single programmer who's developing the unit
- Manageable to strive for tests that together get good coverage of the interesting cases of the single unit

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

26

# "Interesting cases"

- Try to exercise each non-"impossible" path through each method
- n Try to give crazy inputs
  - Don't violate preconditions, but do everything else
- <sub>n</sub> Think about corner cases
  - n 0, negative numbers, empty arrays, empty lists, circular references

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

27

## Test cases vs. specifications

- n A good test suite approximates a specification
  - Each test has a legal input and the expected output
    - input implies a (partial) precondition
    - a output implies a (partial) postcondition
- If formal specifications are too unwieldy, a good test suite can be used instead (or in addition)
  - Test suites are machine checkable, but not as complete as real specifications
- Test-Driven Development: write test suite first!
  Another tenet of Extreme Programming

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

28

#### Running tests

- n It can be very tedious to run tests by
  - Need to have a test harness that will construct and pass in the right inputs
  - Need to look at the output, and compare it to the expected output
  - Need to handle exceptions, too
- n So, let's make tools!

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

29

#### Programming unit tests

- In Java, a simple strategy for unit testing is to define self-testing classes
- Each class can define a static main method that runs some set of unit tests of the class
  - The main method builds arguments, invokes operations, checks results, handles exceptions
  - To run, just invoke the class as if it were the main application
  - n java MyDataStructure
- Still pretty tedious...

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

20

## Making unit tests easier

- There exist tools to help in constructing unit test harnesses
- E.g. JUnit, a unit test framework for Java (http://junit.org)
  - Constructs a report of successes & failures
  - n Provides some convenient helper functions
  - "Test Infected: Programmers Love Writing Tests"

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

31

#### Regression test suites

- n Goal: accumulate a lot of good unit tests
  - n Run them frequently after changes
  - n Add testing to make process
- n A good *regression test suite* gives confidence in development
  - Confidence to try big clean-ups without introducing uncaught bugs
  - n Confidence to commit changes to rest of team

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

32

## Beyond unit tests

- unit tests aren't enough!
- Need to test that the units work together: integration testing
- [Why might errors crop up when testing groups of units that weren't caught when unit testing?]

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

33

## Defensive programming

- <sub>n</sub> The best programmers are defensive
  - They design & implement code that is unlikely to break
  - <sub>n</sub> If there is a problem, the code breaks quickly and clearly
- <sub>n</sub> Some strategies:
  - n Minimize preconditions
  - n Insert an assertion whenever they mentally expect and rely on something being true

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

34

# Programming for change

- Expect change:
  - To software's design & requirements
  - <sub>n</sub> To interfaces
  - n To data structures
  - n To people on the project
- Mrite code that minimizes reliance on things that might change, & is flexible in face of future changes
  - <sub>n</sub> Fewer bugs introduced when these things change

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

.-

# Other tools

- Programming language choice(s) influence how likely programs are to be correct, how easy programs are to debug
  - $_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I\!I}$  E.g. array bounds checking, static type checking
- Programming environment tools can help mechanize much of testing
  - <sub>n</sub> JUnit is a simple example
  - $_{\rm n}$  Some advanced static analysis tools can help to find bugs

CSE 490c -- Craig Chambers

36