Contrapositive



We showed $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ with a truth table. Let's do a proof.

Try this one on your own. Remember

- 1. Know what you're trying to show.
- 2. Stay on target take steps to get closer to your goal.

Hint: think about your tools. There are lots of rules with AND/OR/NOT, but very few with implications...

Properties of Logical Connectives

For every propositions p, q, r the following hold:

- Identity
 - $-p \wedge T \equiv p$
 - $p \vee F \equiv p$
- Domination •
 - $p \lor T \equiv T$
 - $p \wedge F \equiv F$
- Idempotent
 - $p \lor p \equiv p$
 - $p \wedge p \equiv p$
- Commutative
 - $p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$
 - $p \land q \equiv q \land p$

Associative ٠

$$-(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$$

-)
- Distributive

$$- p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$$
$$- p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$$

- Absorption ٠
 - $p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $-p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$
- Negation

 $- p \vee \neg p \equiv T$ $-p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$

- DeMorgan's Laws
 - $-\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$ $-\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$
- Double Negation $\neg \neg p \equiv p$
- Law of Implication $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- Contrapositive $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

$$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$$
$$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$$