## 1. Cantelli's Rabbits

Xavier Cantelli owns some rabbits. The number of rabbits he has in any given year is described by the function f:

$$f(0) = 0$$
  

$$f(1) = 1$$
  

$$f(n) = 2f(n-1) - f(n-2) \text{ for } n \ge 2$$

Determine, with proof, the number, f(n), of rabbits that Cantelli owns in year n. That is, construct a formula for f(n) and prove its correctness.

### Solution:

Let P(n) be "f(n) = n". We prove that P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by strong induction on n. Base Cases (n = 0, n = 1): f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1 by definition. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that  $P(0) \land P(1) \land \dots P(k)$  hold for some arbitrary  $k \ge 1$ . Inductive Step: We show P(k + 1):  $f(k + 1) = 2f(k) - f(k - 1) \qquad \text{[Definition of } f]$   $= 2(k) - (k - 1) \qquad \text{[Induction Hypothesis]}$   $= k + 1 \qquad \text{[Algebra]}$ 

**Conclusion:** P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by principle of strong induction.

### 2. Walk the Dawgs

Suppose a dog walker takes care of  $n \ge 12$  dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 4 or 5 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 4 or 5.

#### Solution:

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 4 or 5 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers  $n \ge 12$  by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 4 + 4 + 4, 13 = 4 + 4 + 5, 14 = 4 + 5 + 5, 15 = 5 + 5 + 5. So P(12), P(13), P(14), and P(15) hold.

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that  $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$  hold for some arbitrary  $k \ge 15$ .

**Inductive Step:** Goal: Show k + 1 dogs can be split into groups of size 4 or 5.

We first form one group of 4 dogs. Then we can divide the remaining k-3 dogs into groups of 4 or 5 by the assumption P(k-3). (Note that  $k \ge 15$  and so  $k-3 \ge 12$ ; thus, P(k-3) is among our assumptions  $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$ .)

**Conclusion:** P(n) holds for all integers  $n \ge 12$  by by principle of strong induction.

### 3. Reversing a Binary Tree

Consider the following definition of a (binary) Tree.

Basis Step Nil is a Tree.

**Recursive Step** If *L* is a **Tree**, *R* is a **Tree**, and *x* is an integer, then Tree(x, L, R) is a **Tree**. The sum function returns the sum of all elements in a **Tree**.

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{sum}(\mathsf{Nil}) &= 0 \\ & \mathsf{sum}(\mathsf{Tree}(x,L,R)) &= x + \mathsf{sum}(L) + \mathsf{sum}(R) \end{aligned}$ 

The following recursively defined function produces the mirror image of a Tree.

 $\begin{aligned} & \texttt{reverse}(\texttt{Nil}) & = \texttt{Nil} \\ & \texttt{reverse}(\texttt{Tree}(x,L,R)) & = \texttt{Tree}(x,\texttt{reverse}(R),\texttt{reverse}(L)) \end{aligned}$ 

Show that, for all **Tree**s *T* that

sum(T) = sum(reverse(T))

Solution:

For a **Tree** T, let P(T) be "sum(T) =sum(reverse(T))". We show P(T) for all **Tree**s T by structural induction. **Base Case:** By definition we have reverse(Nil) = Nil. Applying sum to both sides we get sum(Nil) =sum(reverse(Nil)), which is exactly P(Nil), so the base case holds. **Inductive Hypothesis:** Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary **Trees** L and R. **Inductive Step:** Let x be an arbitrary integer. Goal: Show P(Tree(x, L, R)) holds. We have. sum(reverse(Tree(x, L, R))) = sum(Tree(x, reverse(R), reverse(L)))[Definition of reverse]  $= x + \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{reverse}(L)) + \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{reverse}(L))$ [Definition of sum]  $= x + \operatorname{sum}(R) + \operatorname{sum}(L)$ [Inductive Hypothesis]  $= x + \operatorname{sum}(L) + \operatorname{sum}(R)$ [Commutativity] = sum(Tree(x, L, R)) [Definition of sum] This shows P(Tree(x, L, R)).

**Conclusion:** Therefore, P(T) holds for all **Tree**s T by structural induction.

## 4. Bernoulli's Inequality

Show that for any integer  $n \ge 0$  and real number  $x \ge -1$  that  $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$ .

Solution:

Let P(n) be "for any real number  $x \ge -1$  it holds that  $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$ ." We show P(n) for all integer  $n \ge 0$  by induction on n.

**Base Case:** For any real number  $x \ge -1$  we have  $(1 + x)^0 = 1 = 1 + 0(x)$  (Note, we assume  $0^0 = 1$  here). Thus, P(0) holds.

**Inductive Hypothesis:** Suppose P(k) holds for some arbitrary integer  $k \ge 0$ .

**Industive Step:** Goal: For any real number  $x \ge -1$ ,  $(1+x)^{k+1} \ge 1 + (k+1)x$ 

Let x be an arbitrary real number such that  $x \ge -1$ . Then,

- $(1+x)^{k+1} = (1+x)(1+x)^k$   $\geq (1+x)(1+kx)$   $= 1+kx+x+kx^2$   $= 1+(k+1)x+kx^2$  $\geq 1+(k+1)x$
- [IH, and since  $1 + x \ge 0$ ] [Distribute terms] [Factor out x] [Since  $kx^2 \ge 0$ ]

Thus,  $(1+x)^{k+1} \ge 1 + (k+1)x$ , so P(k+1) holds.

**Conclusion:** P(n) holds for all integers  $n \ge 0$  by the principle of induction.

# 5. Regular Expressions

(a) Write a regular expression that matches base 10 numbers (e.g., there should be no leading zeroes).

Solution:

 $0 \cup ((1 \cup 2 \cup 3 \cup 4 \cup 5 \cup 6 \cup 7 \cup 8 \cup 9)(0 \cup 1 \cup 2 \cup 3 \cup 4 \cup 5 \cup 6 \cup 7 \cup 8 \cup 9)^*)$ 

(b) Write a regular expression that matches all base-3 numbers that are divisible by 3.

Solution:

```
0 \cup ((1 \cup 2)(0 \cup 1 \cup 2)^*0)
```

(c) Write a regular expression that matches all binary strings that contain the substring "111", but not the substring "000".

Solution:

```
(01 \cup 001 \cup 1^*)^* (0 \cup 00 \cup \varepsilon) 111 (01 \cup 001 \cup 1^*)^* (0 \cup 00 \cup \varepsilon)
```