## CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Lecture 29: Cardinality and the Halting problem


## Recap: Cardinality

Definition: Two sets $A$ and $B$ have the same cardinality if there is an bijective (=injective + surjective) function $f: A \rightarrow B$.


Recall that a function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is

- Injective, if for for each $y \in B$ there is at most one $x \in A$ with $f(x)=y$
- Surjective, if for every $y \in B$ there is at least one $x \in A$ with $f(x)=y$


## Cardinality

Do the natural numbers and the even natural numbers have the same cardinality?

Yes!

$0246810121416182022242628 \ldots$

What's the map $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2 \mathbb{N}$ ? $\quad f(n)=2 n$

## Countable sets

Definition: A set is countable iff it has the same cardinality as some subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

Equivalent: A set $S$ is countable iff there is a surjective function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$

Equivalent: A set $S$ is countable iff we can order the elements

$$
S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}
$$

## Example: $\mathbb{Z}$ is countable

## Claim: $\Sigma^{*}$ is countable for every finite $\Sigma$

Idea: For $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ list all the $|\Sigma|^{k}$ many strings of length $k$. Then each string in $\Sigma^{*}$ appears in that list.
e.g. $\{0,1\}^{*}$ is countable:
$\{\varepsilon, 0,1,00,01,10,11,000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111, \ldots\}$

## Countable sets

A set $S$ is countable iff we can order the elements of $S$ as

$$
S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}
$$

Countable sets:
$\mathbb{N}$ - the natural numbers
$\mathbb{Z}$ - the integers
$\mathbb{Q}$ - the rationals
$\Sigma^{*}$ - the strings over any finite $\Sigma$
The set of all Java programs

## Enumerate in

increasing

The set of all Turing machines
Uncountable sets: ???

## Lecture 29 Activity

- Please help us improve the quality of this course and take a few minutes to fill out the course evaluation.
- The links are the following. CSE 311 A (afternoon): https://uw.iasystem.org/survey/242867 CSE 311 B (morning): https://uw.iasystem.org/survey/242869

Fill out the poll everywhere for Activity
Credit!
Go to pollev.com/philipmg and login with your UW identity

## Are the real numbers countable?

## Theorem [Cantor]: <br> The set of real numbers between 0 and 1 is not countable.

Proof will be by contradiction.
Uses a new method called diagonalization.

## Real numbers between 0 and $1:[0,1)$

Every number between 0 and 1 has an infinite decimal expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / 2 & =0.50000000000000000000000 \ldots \\
1 / 3 & =0.33333333333333333333333 \ldots \\
1 / 7 & =0.14285714285714285714285 \ldots \\
\pi-3 & =0.14159265358979323846264 \ldots \\
1 / 5 & =0.19999999999999999999999 \ldots \\
& =0.20000000000000000000000 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Representation is unique except for the cases that the decimal expansion ends in all 0's or all 9's. We will never use the all 9's representation.

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

## Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

$r_{1}$ 0.50000000...
$r_{2} \quad 0.33333333$...
$r_{3} \quad 0.14285714$...
$r_{4} \quad 0.14159265 \ldots$
$r_{5} \quad$ 0.12122122...
$r_{6} \quad 0.25000000$...
$r_{7} \quad 0.71828182 \ldots$
$r_{8} \quad 0.61803394 . .$.

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{1}$ | 0. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{2}$ | 0. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{1}$ | 0. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{2}$ | 0. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

| $r_{1}$ $r_{2}$ | 0. | 1 5 $\mathbf{1}^{1}$ 3 | 2 0 3 | 3 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $2^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | $8^{5}$ | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | $4^{5}$ | ... | ... |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

| $r_{1}$ $r_{2}$ | 0. | 1 5 3 | 2 0 3 | 3 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $2^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | ... | ... |

If diagonal element is $0 . x_{11} x_{22} x_{33} x_{44} x_{55} \cdots$ then let's call the flipped number $0 . \widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \cdots$

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  | 0. 0. | 1 5 3 | 2 0 3 |  | 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r | 0. | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | .. |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| For every $\boldsymbol{n} \geq \mathbf{1}$ : $r_{n} \neq 0 . \widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \cdots$ <br> because the numbers differ on the $\boldsymbol{n}$-th digit! |  |  |  |  |  | 0 8 | 1 $0^{5}$ 1 | 2 0 8 | 2 0 2 | ... ... ... | .. $\ldots$ .. |

If diagonal element is $0 . x_{11} x_{22} x_{33} x_{44} x_{55} \cdots$ then let's call the flipped number $0 . \widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \ldots$

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:


So the list is incomplete, which is a contradiction.
Thus the real numbers between 0 and 1 are not countable: "uncountable"

## Last time: Countable sets

## Countable sets:

$\mathbb{N}$ - the natural numbers
$\mathbb{Z}$ - the integers
$\mathbb{Q}$ - the rationals
$\Sigma^{*}$ - the strings over any finite $\Sigma$
Enumerate in
The set of all Java programs increasing 'length
The set of all Turing machines

## Uncountable sets:

$\mathbb{R}$ - the natural numbers
$\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{N})$ - power set of $\mathbb{N}$
Set of functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$

## Uncomputable functions

We have seen that:

- The set of all (Java) programs is countable
- The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is not countable

So: There must be some function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ that is not computable by any program!

Interesting... maybe.

Can we come up with an explicit function that is uncomputable?

## Some Notation

## We're going to be talking about Java code.

CODE ( P ) will mean "the code of the program P "
So, consider the following function:

```
public String P(String x) {
    return new String(Arrays.sort(x.toCharArray());
}
```

What is $\mathrm{P}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P}))$ ?
"((())))..;AACPSSaaabceeggghiiiilnnnnnooprrrrrrrrrrrsssttttttuuwxxyy\{\}"

## The Halting Problem

## $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ means "the code of the program P"

## The Halting Problem

Given: - $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{P})$ for any program $\mathbf{P}$

- input $\mathbf{x}$

Output: true if $\mathbf{P}$ halts on input $\mathbf{x}$ false if $\mathbf{P}$ does not halt on input $\mathbf{x}$

## Undecidability of the Halting Problem

$\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{P})$ means "the code of the program P "
The Halting Problem
Given: - $\operatorname{CODE}(\mathbf{P})$ for any program $\mathbf{P}$

- input $x$

Output: true if $\mathbf{P}$ halts on input $\mathbf{x}$ false if $\mathbf{P}$ does not halt on input $\mathbf{x}$

Theorem [Turing]: There is no program that solves the Halting Problem

## Proof by contradiction

Suppose that H is a Java program that solves the Halting problem.

## Proof by contradiction

## Suppose that H is a Java program that solves the Halting problem.

Then we can write this program:

```
public static void \(D(S t r i n g ~ s) ~\{\)
    if (H(s,s) == true) \{
    -••
    \} else \{
    -••
    \}
\}
public static bool H(String s, String x) \{ ... \}
```

Does D(CODE(D)) halt?

## Does $\operatorname{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    }
    else {
    }
}
```


## Does $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    }
    else {
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not

## Does D(CODE(D)) halt?

```
public static void D(s)
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
    ...
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that
$H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not
Suppose that D (CODE (D) ) halts.
Then, by definition of H it must be that $H(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ is true
Which by the definition of $D$ means $\operatorname{D(CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) doesn't halt

## Does D(CODE(D)) halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that
$H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is false iff not
Suppose that $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ halts.
Then, by definition of $H$ it must be that $H(C O D E(D), \operatorname{CODE}(D))$ is true
Which by the definition of $D$ means $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) doesn't halt
Suppose that D(CODE (D) ) doesn't halt.
Then, by definition of H it must be that
$H(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}), \operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D}))$ is false
Which by the definition of $D$ means $D(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts

## Does D(CODE(D)) halt?

```
public static void D(s) {
    if (H(s,s) == true) {
        while (true); /* don't halt */
    }
    else {
        return; /* halt */
    }
}
```

H solves the halting problem implies that $H(C O D E(D), s)$ is true iff $D(s)$ halts, $H(C O D E / D$

Suppose that $\mathrm{D}(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts.
Then, by definition of H it mud that the pr H(CODE (D). CD Nas

Suppose th onl ass assumptan't halt.
 Whi eny the definition of $D$ means $D(\operatorname{CODE}(\mathrm{D})$ ) halts

## Done

- We proved that there is no computer program that can solve the Halting Problem.
- There was nothing special about Java*
[Church-Turing thesis]

- This tells us that there is no compiler that can check our programs and guarantee to find any infinite loops they might have.


## Where did the idea for creating D come from?



D halts on input code(P) iff $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{code}(P)$,code(P)) outputs false iff $P$ doesn't halt on input code( $P$ )

## Connection to diagonalization

$\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{4}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{5}\right\rangle\left\langle P_{6}\right\rangle \ldots$. Some possible inputs $x$

This listing of all programs really does exist since the set of all Java programs is countable

The goal of this "diagonal" argument is not to show that the listing is incomplete but rather to show that a "flipped" diagonal element is not in the listing

## Connection to diagonalization

Write < P> for CODE(P)


## Connection to diagonalization

Write < P> for CODE(P)


## Where did the idea for creating D come from?



D halts on input code(P) iff $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{code}(P)$,code(P)) outputs false iff $P$ doesn't halt on input code( $P$ )

Therefore for any program P, D differs from P on input code(P)

