Even More Induction CSE 311 Autumn 2023 Lecture 17

Midterm Logistics

Midterm on Wed Nov. 15 in the evening (6-7:30)

If you need a conflict exam, there's <u>a form</u> to request one! Please fill out by Wednesday evening.

(If you end up sick the day of the exam don't use that form, send an email to Robbie when you realize you can't make it)

We're going to write a 50 minute exam, but give you 90 minutes to do it.

You're allowed one page of handwritten notes. More details (old exams, topics that are/aren't allowed, etc.) on the exams page.

Let's Try Another! Stamp Collecting

I have 4 cent stamps and 5 cent stamps (as many as I want of each). Prove that I can make exactly n cents worth of stamps for all $n \ge 12$.

Try for a few values.

Then think...how would the inductive step go?

 $\begin{aligned} |2 = 4 + 4 + 4 \\ |3 = 4 + 4 + 5 \\ |4 = 4 + 5 + 5 \end{aligned}$



Stamp Collection (attempt) $\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{2}$

Define P(n) can make n cents of stamps with just 4 and 5 cent stamps.

We prove P(n) is true for all $n \ge 12$ by induction on n.

Base Case:

12 cents can be made with three 4 cent stamps.

Inductive Hypothesis Suppose [maybe some other stuff and] P(k), for an arbitrary $k \ge 12$.

Inductive Step:

P(K-3 We want to make k + 1 cents of stamps. By IH we can make k - 3 cents exactly with stamps. Adding another 4 cent stamp gives exactly k + 1cents.

Stamp Collection

Is the proof right?

How do we know P(13)

We're not the base case, so our inductive hypothesis assumes P(12), and then we say if P(9) then P(13).

Wait a second....

If you go back s steps every time, you need s base cases.

Or else the first few values aren't proven.

Stamp Collection

Define P(n) I can make n cents of stamps with just 4 and 5 cent stamps. We prove P(n) is true for all $n \ge 12$ by induction on n.

Base Case:

12 cents can be made with three 4 cent stamps.

13 cents can be made with two 4 cent stamps and one 5 cent stamp.14 cents can be made with one 4 cent stamp and two 5 cent stamps.15 cents can be made with three 5 cent stamps.

Inductive Hypothesis Suppose $P(12) \land P(13) \land \dots \land P(k)$, for an arbitrary $k \ge 15$. Inductive Step:

We want to make k + 1 cents of stamps. By IH we can make k - 3 cents exactly with stamps. Adding another 4 cent stamp gives exactly k + 1 cents.

A good last check

After you've finished writing an inductive proof, pause.

If your inductive step always goes back s steps, you need s base cases (otherwise b + 1 will go back before the base cases you've shown). And make sure your inductive hypothesis is strong enough.

If your inductive step is going back a varying (unknown) number of steps, check the first few values above the base case, make sure your cases are really covered. And make sure your IH is strong.

Stamp Collection, Done Wrong

Define P(n) | can make n cents of stamps with just 4 and 5 cent stamps. We prove P(n) is true for all $n \ge 12$ by induction on n.

5=5+515

Base Case:

 \sim 12 cents can be made with three 4 cent stamps. Inductive Hypothesis Suppose P(k), $k \ge 12$.

Inductive Step:

We want to make k + 1 cents of stamps. By IH we can make k cents exactly with stamps. Replace one of the 4 cent stamps with a 5 cent stamp.

P(n) holds for all n by the principle of induction.

Stamp Collection, Done Wrong

What if the starting point doesn't have any 4 cent stamps? Like, say, 15 cents = 5+5+5.

Making Induction Proofs Pretty

All of our induction proofs will come in 5 easy(?) steps!

- 1. Define P(n). State that your proof is by induction on n.
- 2. Base Cases: Show $P(b_{min})$, $P(b_{min+1}) \dots P(b_{max})$ i.e. show the base cases
- 3. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(b_{min}) \wedge P(b_{min} + 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \ge b_{max}$. (The smallest value of k assumes **all** bases cases, but nothing else)
- 4. Inductive Step: Show P(k + 1) (i.e. get $[P(b_{min}) \land \dots \land P(k)] \rightarrow P(k + 1))$
- 5. Conclude by saying P(n) is true for all $n \ge b_{min}$ by the principle of induction.

Gridding

I've got a bunch of these 3 piece tiles.

I want to fill a $2^n x 2^n$ grid ($n \ge 1$) with the pieces, except for a 1x1 spot in a corner.



Gridding: Not a formal proof, just a sketch

Base Case: n = 1

Inductive hypothesis: Suppose you can tile a $2^k \times 2^k$ grid, except for a corner.

Inductive step: $2^{k+1}x2^{k+1}$, divide into quarters. By IH can tile...



Recursively Defined Functions

Just like induction works will with recursive code, it also works well for recursively-defined functions.

Define the Fibonacci numbers as follows: f(0) = 1 f(1) = 1 $f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2.$ *This is a somewhat unusual definition, f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1 is more common.

Fibonacci Inequality

Show that $\underline{f(n)} \leq 2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$ by induction.

$$f(0) = 1; \quad f(1) = 1$$

$$f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2.$$

Fibonacci Inequality

Show that $f(n) \leq 2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$ by induction.

Define P(n) to be " $f(n) \le 2^n$ " We show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$ by induction on n.

 $f(0) \ge$

1221

f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2$.

Base Cases:
$$(n = 0)$$
: $f(0) = 1 \le 1 = 2^0$

 $(n = 1): f(1) = 1 \le 2 = 2^{4}.$ Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(0) \land P(1) \land \dots \land P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \ge 1.$ Inductive step:

Target: P(k + 1). i.e. $f(k + 1) \le 2^{k+1}$ _____

Fibonacci Inequality

f(0) = 1; f(1) = 1f(n) = f(n-1) + f(n-2) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2$.

Show that $f(n) \leq 2^n$ for all $n \geq 0$ by induction.

Define P(n) to be " $f(n) \le 2^n$ " We show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 0$ by induction on *n*. $2^{k} + 2^{k} - 3(2)$

Base Cases:
$$(n = 0)$$
: $f(0) = 1 \le 1 = 2^0$.

$$(n = 1): f(1) = 1 \le 2 = 2^1.$$

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \geq 1$.

Inductive step: f(k + 1) = f(k) + f(k - 1) by the definition of the Fibonacci numbers. Applying IH twice, we have $f(k + 1) \le 2^k + 2^{k-1} < 2^k + 2^k =$ 2^{k+1}

[Define P(n)]

Base Case

Inductive Hypothesis Inductive Step

[conclusion]

Let P(n) be "3|($2^{2n}-1$)." We show P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Base Case (n = 0) note that $2^{2n} - 1 = 2^0 - 1 = 0$. Since $3 \cdot 0 = 0$, and 0 is an integer, $3|(2^{2 \cdot 0}-1)$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \ge 0$ Inductive Step:

Target: P(k + 1), i.e. $3|(2^{2(k+1)}-1)|$ Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the principle of induction.

Let P(n) be "3|($2^{2n}-1$)." We show P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Base Case (n = 0) note that $2^{2n} - 1 = 2^0 - 1 = 0$. Since $3 \cdot 0 = 0$, and 0 is an integer, $3|(2^{2 \cdot 0}-1)$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \ge 0$

Inductive Step: By inductive hypothesis, $3|(2^{2k}-1)|$. i.e. there is an integer j such that $3j = 2^{2k} - 1$.

$$2^{2(k+1)} - 1 = 4 \cdot 2^{2k} - 1$$

FORCE the expression in your IH to appear

Target: P(k + 1), i.e. $3|(2^{2(k+1)}-1)|$ Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the principle of induction.

Let P(n) be "3|($2^{2n}-1$)." We show P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Base Case (n = 0) note that $2^{2n} - 1 = 2^0 - 1 = 0$. Since $3 \cdot 0 = 0$, and 0 is an integer, $3|(2^{2 \cdot 0}-1)$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \ge 0$

Inductive Step: By inductive hypothesis, $3|(2^{2k}-1)|$. i.e. there is an integer j such that $3j = 2^{2k} - 1$.

$$2^{2(k+1)} - 1 = 4 \cdot 2^{2k} - 1 = 4(2^{2k} - 1) + 4 - 1$$

By IH, we can replace $2^{2k} - 1$ with 3j for an integer j

$$2^{2(k+1)} - 1 = 4(3j) + 4 - 1 = 3(4j) + 3 = 3(4j+1)$$

Since 4j + 1 is an integer, we meet the definition of divides and we have: Target: P(k + 1), i.e. $3|(2^{2(k+1)}-1)|$

Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the principle of induction.

That inductive step might still seem like magic.

It sometimes helps to run through examples, and look for patterns:

 $2^{2 \cdot 0} - 1 = 0 = 3 \cdot 0$ $2^{2 \cdot 1} - 1 = 3 = 3 \cdot 1$ $2^{2 \cdot 2} - 1 = 15 = 3 \cdot 5$ $2^{2 \cdot 3} - 1 = 63 = 3 \cdot 21$ $2^{2 \cdot 4} - 1 = 255 = 3 \cdot 85$ $2^{2 \cdot 5} - 1 = 1023 = 3 \cdot 341$

The divisor goes from k to 4k + 1 $0 \rightarrow 4 \cdot 0 + 1 = 1$ $1 \rightarrow 4 \cdot 1 + 1 = 5$ $5 \rightarrow 4 \cdot 5 + 1 = 21$

That might give us a hint that 4k + 1 will be in the algebra somewhere, and give us another intermediate target.

You have *n* people in a line ($n \ge 2$). Each of them wears either a **purple** hat or a gold hat. The person at the front of the line wears a purple hat. The person at the back of the line wears a gold hat.

Show that for every arrangement of the line satisfying the rule above, there is a person with a purple hat next to someone with a gold hat.

Yes this is kinda obvious. I promise this is good induction practice. Yes you could argue this by contradiction. I promise this is good induction practice.

Define P(n) to be "in every line of n people with gold and purple hats, with a purple hat at one end and a gold hat at the other, there is a person with a purple hat next to someone with a gold hat"

We show P(n) for all integers $n \ge 2$ by induction on n.

Base Case: n = 2

Inductive Hypothesis:

Inductive Step:

By the principle of induction, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 2$

Define P(n) to be "in every line of n people with gold and purple hats, with a purple hat at one end and a gold hat at the other, there is a person with a purple hat next to someone with a gold hat"

We show P(n) for all integers $n \ge 2$ by induction on n.

Base Case: n = 2 The line must be just a person with a purple hat and a person with a gold hat, who are next to each other.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \ge 2$.

Inductive Step: Consider an arbitrary line with k + 1 people in purple and gold hats, with a gold hat at one end and a purple hat at the other.

Target: there is someone in a purple hat next to someone in a gold hat.

By the principle of induction, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 2$

Define P(n) to be "in every line of n people with gold and purple hats, with a purple hat at one end and a gold hat at the other, there is a person with a purple hat next to someone with a gold hat"

We show P(n) for all integers $n \ge 2$ by induction on n.

Base Case: n = 2 The line must be just a person with a purple hat and a person with a gold hat, who are next to each other.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary $k \ge 2$.

Inductive Step: Consider an arbitrary line with k + 1 people in purple and gold hats, with a gold hat at one end and a purple hat at the other.

Case 1: There is someone with a purple hat next to the person in the gold hat at one end. Then those people are the required adjacent opposite hats.

Case 2:. There is a person with a gold hat next to the person in the gold hat at the end. Then the line from the second person to the end is length *k*, has a gold hat at one end and a purple hat at the other. Applying the inductive hypothesis, there is an adjacent, opposite-hat wearing pair.

```
In either case we have P(k + 1).
```

By the principle of induction, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 2$

Show that $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ for all $n \ge 2$ by induction. [Define P(n)] Base Cases:

Inductive Hypothesis: Inductive step:

Show that $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ for all $n \ge 2$ by induction.

Define P(n) to be " $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ " We show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$ by induction on n. Base Cases: $f(2) = f(1) + f(0) = 2 \ge 2 = 2^1 = 2^{2/2}$

 $f(3) = f(2) + f(1) = 2 + 1 = 3 = 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \ge 2\sqrt{2} = 2^{1.5} = 2^{3/2}$

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \ge 3$.

Inductive step: f(k + 1) = f(k) + f(k - 1) by the definition of the Fibonacci numbers. Applying IH twice, we have

Target: $f(k + 1) \ge 2^{(k+1)/2}$

Show that $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ for all $n \ge 2$ by induction.

Define P(n) to be " $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ " We show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$ by induction on n. Base Cases: $f(2) = f(1) + f(0) = 2 \ge 2 = 2^1 = 2^{2/2}$

 $f(3) = f(2) + f(1) = 2 + 1 = 3 = 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \ge 2\sqrt{2} = 2^{1.5} = 2^{3/2}$

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \ge 3$.

Inductive step: f(k + 1) = f(k) + f(k - 1) by the definition of the Fibonacci numbers. Applying IH twice, we have

 $f(k+1) \ge 2^{k/2} + 2^{(k-1)/2}$

$\geq 2^{(k+1)/2}$

Show that $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ for all $n \ge 2$ by induction.

Define P(n) to be " $f(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ " We show P(n) is true for all $n \ge 2$ by induction on n. Base Cases: $f(2) = f(1) + f(0) = 2 \ge 2 = 2^1 = 2^{2/2}$

 $f(3) = f(2) + f(1) = 2 + 1 = 3 = 2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \ge 2\sqrt{2} = 2^{1.5} = 2^{3/2}$

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for an arbitrary $k \ge 3$.

Inductive step: f(k + 1) = f(k) + f(k - 1) by the definition of the Fibonacci numbers. Applying IH twice, we have

```
f(k+1) \ge 2^{k/2} + 2^{(k-1)/2}
= 2^{(k-1)/2} (\sqrt{2} + 1)
\ge 2^{(k-1)/2} \cdot 2
\ge 2^{(k+1)/2}
```



Let
$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\\ n \cdot g(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $h(n) = n^n$

Claim: $h(n) \ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$

Define P(n) to be " $h(n) \ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ We show P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n. Base Case Inductive Hypothesis: Inductive Step:

Thus P(k + 1) holds. Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n.

Let
$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\\ n \cdot g(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $h(n) = n^n$

Define P(n) to be "h(n) $\ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ We show P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 1): $h(n) = 1^1 = 1 \ge 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot g(0) = g(1)$. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Inductive Step:

 $g(k+1) = (k+1) \cdot g(k)$

 $= (k+1)^{k+1}.$

Thus P(k + 1) holds.

Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n.

Define P(n) to be "h(n) $\ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ We show P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 1): $h(n) = 1^1 = 1 \ge 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot g(0) = g(1)$. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Inductive Step:

$$g(k+1) = (k+1) \cdot g(k)$$
$$\leq (k+1) \cdot h(k) \text{ by IH}$$

 $= (k+1)^{k+1}.$

Thus P(k + 1) holds.

Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n.

Define P(n) to be "h(n) $\ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ We show P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 1): $h(n) = 1^1 = 1 \ge 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot g(0) = g(1)$. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Inductive Step:

 $g(k+1) = (k+1) \cdot g(k)$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot h(k) \qquad \text{by IH.}$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot k^k \qquad \text{by definition of } h(k)$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot (k+1)^k$ $= (k+1)^{k+1}.$

Thus P(k + 1) holds.

Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n.

Define P(n) to be "h(n) $\ge g(n)$ for all integers $n \ge 1$ We show P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 1): $h(n) = 1^1 = 1 \ge 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot g(0) = g(1)$. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 1$. Inductive Step:

 $g(k+1) = (k+1) \cdot g(k)$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot h(k) \qquad \text{by IH.}$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot k^k \qquad \text{by definition of } h(k)$ $\leq (k+1) \cdot (k+1)^k$ $= (k+1)^{k+1}.$

Thus P(k + 1) holds.

Therefore, we have P(n) for all $n \ge 1$ by induction on n.

- Let P(n) be $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2 + 3i = \frac{(n+1)(3n+4)}{2}$ Show P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 0): Inductive Hypothesis: Inductive Step:
 - [Conclusion]

Let P(n) be $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2 + 3i = \frac{(n+1)(3n+4)}{2}$ Show P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 0): $\sum_{i=0}^{0} 2 + 3i = 2 = \frac{4}{2} = \frac{(0+1)(3\cdot 0+4)}{2}$ Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 0$. Inductive Step:

Target:
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2 + 3i = \frac{([k+1]+1)(3[k+1]+4)}{2}$$

Let P(n) be $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2 + 3i = \frac{(n+1)(3n+4)}{2}$ Show P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 0): $\sum_{i=0}^{0} 2 + 3i = 2 = \frac{4}{2} = \frac{(0+1)(3\cdot 0+4)}{2}$ Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \ge 0$. Inductive Step: $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2 + 3i = (\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2 + 3i) + (2 + 3(k+1))$. By IH, we have: $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2 + 3i = \frac{(k+1)(3k+4)}{2} + 2 + 3k + 3 = ????$

$$=\frac{([k+1]+1)(3[k+1]+4)}{2}$$

Let P(n) be $\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2 + 3i = \frac{(n+1)(3n+4)}{2}$ Show P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n. Base Case (n = 0): $\sum_{i=0}^{0} 2 + 3i = 2 = \frac{4}{2} = \frac{(0+1)(3\cdot 0+4)}{2}$ Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) is true for an arbitrary $k \geq 0$. Inductive Step: $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2 + 3i = (\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2 + 3i) + (2 + 3(k+1))$. By IH, we have: $\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2 + 3i}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(3k+4)}{2} + 2 + 3k + 3 = \frac{3k^2 + 7k + 4}{2} + \frac{6k+10}{2} = \frac{3k^2 + 13k + 14}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(3k+1)(3k+1) + 4}{2}$ Therefore, P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n.