## Let $P(A)$ be "There is an NFA whose language

 is the same as the language for $A$."Let $R$ be a regex not covered by the base cases. By the exclusion rule, $R=A \cup B$ or $A B$ or $A^{*}$ from some regexes $A, B$ Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(A)$ and $P(B)$. Inductive Step: Case 2: AB


Want a machine that accepts exactly strings matched by $A B$.

## Forcing a Mistake

How do we know $x, y$ must be in different states?
Well if one would be accepted and the other rejected, that would be a clear sign.

Or if there's some string $z$ where $x z$ is accepted but $y z$ is rejected (or vice versa).
The machine is deterministic! If $x$ and $y$ take you to the same state, then $x z$ and $y z$ are also in the same state!


## A Proof Outline

Claim: $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an irregular language.

Let $S=[T O D O]$. $S$ is an infinite set of strings.
Because the DFA is finite, there are two (different) strings $x, y$ in $S$ such that $x$ and $y$ go to the same state. We don't get to choose $x, y$
Consider the string $z=[T O D O]$ We do get to choose $z$ depending on $x, y$
Since $x, y$ led to the same state and $M$ is deterministic, $x z$ and $y z$ will also lead to the same state $q$ in $M$. Observe that $x z \in\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ but $y z \notin$ $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$. Since $q$ is can be only one of an accept or reject state, $M$ does not actually recognize $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$. That's a contradiction!
Therefore, $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an irregular language.

Claim: $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an irregular language.
Proof:
Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is regular.
Then there is a DFA $M$ such that $M$ accepts exactly $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$.
Let $S=\left\{0^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$.
Because the DFA is finite and $S$ is infinite, there are two (different) strings $x, y$ in $S$ such that $x$ and $y$.go to the same state when read by $M$. Since both are in $S, x=0^{a}$ for some integer $a$, and $y=0^{b}$ for some integer $b$, with $a \neq b$.
Consider the string $z=1^{\text {a }} . x z=0^{a} 1^{a} \in\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ but $y z=0^{b} 1^{a} \notin$ $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$.
Since $x, y$ both end up in the same state, and we appended the same $z$, both $x z$ and $y z$ end up in the same state of $M$.
Since $x z \in\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ and $y z \notin\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}, M$ does not recognize $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$. But that's a contradiction!
So $\left\{0^{k} 1^{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ must be an irregular language.

