Section 05: Solutions

1. GCD

(a) Calculate gcd(100, 50).

Solution:

50

(b) Calculate gcd(17, 31).

Solution:

1

(c) Find the multiplicative inverse of 6 $\pmod{7}$.

Solution:

6

(d) Does 49 have an multiplicative inverse (mod 7)?

Solution:

It does not. Intuitively, this is because 49x for any x is going to be 0 mod 7, which means it can never be 1.

2. Extended Euclidean Algorithm

(a) Find the multiplicative inverse y of 7 mod 33. That is, find y such that $7y \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$. You should use the extended Euclidean Algorithm. Your answer should be in the range $0 \le y < 33$.

Solution:

First, we find the gcd:		
$\gcd(33,7)=\gcd(7,5)$	$33 = \boxed{7} \bullet 4 + 5$	(1)
$= \gcd(5,2)$	$7 = \boxed{5} \bullet 1 + 2$	(2)
$= \gcd(2,1)$	$5 = \boxed{2} \bullet 2 + 1$	(3)
= gcd $(1,0)$	$2 = 1 \bullet 2 + 0$	(4)
= 1		(5)

Next, we re-arrange equations (1) - (3) by solving for the remainder:

$$1 = 5 - 2 \bullet 2 \tag{6}$$

$$2 = 7 - 5 \bullet 1 \tag{7}$$

$$5 = 33 - \boxed{7} \bullet 4 \tag{8}$$

(9)

Now, we backward substitute into the boxed numbers using the equations:

 $1 = 5 - 2 \cdot 2$ = 5 - (7 - 5 \cdot 1) \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 5 - 7 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot (33 - 7 \cdot 4) - 7 \cdot 2 = 33 \cdot 3 + 7 \cdot - 14

So, $1 = 33 \bullet 3 + 7 \bullet -14$. Thus, 33 - 14 = 19 is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mod 33.

(b) Now, solve $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$ for all of its integer solutions z.

Solution:

We already computed that 19 is the multiplicative inverse of 7 mod 33. That is, $19 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$. If z is a solution to $7z \equiv 2 \pmod{33}$, then multiplying by 19 on both sides, we have $19 \cdot 7 \cdot z \equiv 19 \cdot 2 \pmod{33}$. Substituting $19 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{33}$ into this on the left gives $1 \cdot z \equiv z \equiv 19 \cdot 2 \equiv 38 \equiv 5 \pmod{33}$. This shows that every solution z is congruent to 5. In other words, the set of solutions is $\{5+33k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

3. Euclid's Lemma¹

(a) Show that if an integer p divides the product of two integers a and b, and gcd(p, a) = 1, then p divides b.

Solution:

Suppose that $p \mid ab$ and gcd(p, a) = 1 for integers a, b, and p. By Bezout's theorem, since gcd(p, a) = 1, there exist integers r and s such that

$$p + sa = 1$$

Since $p \mid ab$, by the definition of divides there exists an integer k such that pk = ab. By multiplying both sides of rp + sa = 1 by b we have,

$$rpb + s(ab) = b$$
$$rpb + s(pk) = b$$
$$p(rb + sk) = b$$

Since r, b, s, k are all integers, (rb + sk) is also an integer. By definition we have $p \mid b$.

¹ these proofs aren't much longer than proofs you've seen so far, but it can be a little easier to get stuck – use these as a chance to practice how to get unstuck if you do!

(b) Show that if a prime p divides ab where a and b are integers, then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. (Hint: Use part (a))

```
Solution:
```

Suppose that $p \mid ab$ for prime number p and integers a, b. There are two cases. Case 1: gcd(p, a) = 1In this case, $p \mid b$ by part (a). Case 2: $gcd(p, a) \neq 1$ In this case, p and a share a common positive factor greater than 1. But since p is prime, its only positive factors are 1 and p, meaning gcd(p, a) = p. This says p is a factor of a, that is, $p \mid a$. In both cases we've shown that $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

4. Prime Checking

You wrote the following code, is Prime(int n) which you are confident returns true if and only if n is prime (we assume its input is always positive).

```
public boolean isPrime(int n) {
    int potentialDiv = 2;
    while (potentialDiv < n) {
        if (n % potenttialDiv == 0)
            return false;
        potentialDiv++;
    }
    return true;
}</pre>
```

Your friend suggests replacing potentialDiv < n with potentialDiv <= Math.sqrt(n). In this problem, you'll argue the change is ok. That is, your method still produces the correct result if n is a positive integer.

We will use "nontrivial divisor" to mean a factor that isn't 1 or the number itself. Formally, a positive integer k being a "nontrivial divisor" of n means that $k|n, k \neq 1$ and $k \neq n$. Claim: when a positive integer n has a nontrivial divisor, it has a nontrivial divisor at most \sqrt{n} .

(a) Let's try to break down the claim and understand it through examples. Show an example (a specific *n* and *k*) of a nontrivial divisor, of a divisor that is not nontrivial, and of a number with only trivial divisors. **Solution:**

Some examples of "trivial" divisors: (1 of 15), (3 of 3) Some examples of nontrivial divisors: (3 of 15), (9 of 81) A number with only trivial divisor is just a prime number: it has no factors.

(b) Prove the claim. Hint: you may want to divide into two cases!

Solution:

Let k be a nontrivial divisor of n. Since k is a divisor, n = kc for some integer c. Observe that c is also nontrivial, since if c were 1 or n then k would have to be n or 1.

We now have two cases:

Case 1: $k \le \sqrt{n}$ If $k \le \sqrt{n}$, then we're done because k is the desired nontrivial divisor.

Case 2: $k > \sqrt{n}$

If $k > \sqrt{n}$, then multiplying both sides by c we get $ck > c\sqrt{n}$. But ck = n so $n > c\sqrt{n}$. Finally, dividing both sides by \sqrt{n} gives $\sqrt{n} > c$, so c is the desired nontrivial factor.

In both cases we find a nontrivial divisor at most \sqrt{n} , as required.

Alternate solution (proof by contradiction): Let k be a nontrivial divisor of n. Since k is a divisor, n = kc for some integer c. Observe that c is also nontrivial, since if c were 1 or n then k would have to be n or 1.

Suppose, for contradiction, that $k > \sqrt{n}$ and $c > \sqrt{n}$. Then $kc > \sqrt{n}\sqrt{n} = n$. But by assumption we have kc = n, so this is a contradiction. It follows that either k or c is at most \sqrt{n} meaning that n has a nontrivial divisor at most \sqrt{n} .

(c) Informally explain why the fact about integers proved in (b) lets you change the code safely.

Solution:

The new code makes a subset of "checks" that the old code makes, thus the only concern would be that a non-prime number we found in the later checks would "slip through" without the extra checks. However, if a number has any nontrivial divisor, it will have one that is $\leq \sqrt{n}$, so even if we exit the loop early after \sqrt{n} instead of *n* checks, our method is still guaranteed to always work.

5. Modular Arithmetic

(a) Prove that if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, where a and b are integers, then a = b or a = -b.

Solution:

Suppose that $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, where a, b are integers. By the definition of divides, we have $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and b = ka, a = jb for some integers k, j. Combining these equations, we see that a = j(ka).

Then, dividing both sides by a, we get 1 = jk. So, $\frac{1}{j} = k$. Note that j and k are integers, which is only possible if $j, k \in \{1, -1\}$. It follows that b = -a or b = a.

(b) Prove that if $n \mid m$, where n and m are integers greater than 1, and if $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where a and b are integers, then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Solution:

Suppose $n \mid m$ with n, m > 1, and $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$. By definition of divides, we have m = kn for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition of congruence, we have $m \mid a - b$, which means that a - b = mj for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Combining the two equations, we see that a - b = (knj) = n(kj). By definition of congruence, we have $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, as required.