# **CSE 311 Section MR**

#### **Midterm Review**

#### Administrivia

#### **Announcements & Reminders**

- HW5 (BOTH PARTS)
  - BOTH PARTS were due Wednesday 2/7 @ 11:59pm
  - We will release solutions to HW5 on Ed over the weekend.
  - Homework 5 PT2 feedback/grades are <u>not guaranteed</u> before Monday for late submissions
- HW6 will be released later after the midterm
- Midterm is Coming Next Week!!!
  - Monday 2/12 @ 6:30-8 pm in BAG 131
  - If you cannot make it, please let us know ASAP and we will schedule you for a makeup (makeup form is on Ed)
- Review Session
  - Covering last quarter midterm!
  - Saturday, 2/10 1-3:00pm in CSE2 G20
- Midterm Logistics on Exams Page

## **Proof By Contradiction**



We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let *p* := proposition we're trying to prove Let *s* := a subsequent statement related to *p* 

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let *p* := proposition we're trying to prove Let *s* := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let *p* := proposition we're trying to prove Let *s* := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

Then, as a consequence of  $\neg p$ , we find that both  $s \land \neg s$  must be true

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let p := proposition we're trying to prove Let s := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

Then, as a consequence of  $\neg p$ , we find that both  $s \land \neg s$  must be true

This gives us an expression of the form:

 $\neg p \rightarrow (s \land \neg s)$ 

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let p := proposition we're trying to prove Let s := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

Then, as a consequence of  $\neg p$ , we find that both  $s \land \neg s$  must be true

This gives us an expression of the form:

 $\neg p \rightarrow (s \land \neg s)$  $\neg p \rightarrow F \quad by Negation$ 

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let p := proposition we're trying to prove Let s := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

Then, as a consequence of  $\neg p$ , we find that both  $s \land \neg s$  must be true

This gives us an expression of the form:

 $\neg p \rightarrow (s \land \neg s)$  $\neg p \rightarrow F \quad by Negation$  $T \rightarrow p \quad by Contrapositive$ 

We learned in lecture that you can prove propositions by assuming their logical opposite and then showing that this leads to a contradiction. Here's how that works mechanically...

Let *p* := proposition we're trying to prove Let *s* := a subsequent fact related to p

We begin our proof by supposing, "for the sake of contradiction,"  $\neg p$  is true.

Then, as a consequence of  $\neg p$ , we find that both  $s \land \neg s$  must be true

This gives us an expression of the form:

$$\neg p \rightarrow (s \land \neg s)$$
  

$$\neg p \rightarrow F \quad by \ Negation$$
  

$$T \rightarrow p \quad by \ Contrapositive$$
  

$$p \quad by \ Modus \ Ponens$$

#### **Proof By Contradiction and Quantifiers**

Oftentimes we will need to prove statements of the form:

 $\forall x P(x)$ 

These can be good candidates for proof by contradiction because we can very cleanly negate the statement with its quantifier to get:

 $\exists x \neg P(x)$ 

All we have to do to complete this proof via contradiction is suppose the existence of an x that makes  $\neg P(x)$  true, and then show that this leads to a contradiction!

Write a proof by contradiction for the following proposition: There exist no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

In predicate logic this could be expressed as  $\forall x \forall y (18x + 6y \neq 1)$ . HINT: Try negating this statement before writing your proof.

Write a proof by contradiction for the following proposition: There exist no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

Write a proof by contradiction for the following proposition: There exist no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

This gives us:

$$18x + 6y = 1$$
  
$$3x + y = \frac{1}{6}$$
 Dividing by 6

Write a proof by contradiction for the following proposition: There exist no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

This gives us:

$$18x + 6y = 1$$
  
 $3x + y = \frac{1}{6}$  Dividing by 6

But wait, this is a contradiction! Integers are closed under multiplication and addition, and so 3x + y can't be equal to  $\frac{1}{6}$ !

Write a proof by contradiction for the following proposition: There exist no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1.

This gives us:

$$18x + 6y = 1$$
  
 $3x + y = \frac{1}{6}$  Dividing by 6

But wait, this is a contradiction! Integers are closed under multiplication and addition, and so 3x + y can't be equal to  $\frac{1}{6}$ ! This means there can be no integers x and y such that 18x + 6y = 1. Therefore, the original claim holds via proof by contradiction.



Let your domain of discourse be all coffee drinks. You should use the following predicates:

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk.
- whole(*x*) is true iff *x* contains whole milk.
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar

- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinated.
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan.
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x.
- Translate each of the following statements into predicate logic. You may use quantifiers, the predicates above, and usual math connectors like = and  $\neq$ .
- a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan
- b) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan
- c) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

Work on this problem with the people around you.

a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(x) is true iff x contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

a) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan

a) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan

 $\forall x (whole(x) \rightarrow \neg vegan(x))$ 

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(*x*) is true iff *x* contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

a) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan

a) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan  $\forall x (whole(x) \rightarrow \neg vegan(x))$ 

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(x) is true iff x contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

a) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan  $\exists x \forall y (\text{RobbieLikes}(x) \land \neg \text{Vegan}(x) \land [\text{RobbieLikes}(y) \rightarrow x = y])$ 

a) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan  $\forall x (whole(x) \rightarrow \neg vegan(x))$ 

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(x) is true iff x contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

- a) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan  $\exists x \forall y (\text{RobbieLikes}(x) \land \neg \text{Vegan}(x) \land [\text{RobbieLikes}(y) \rightarrow x = y])$  $\text{Or } \exists x (\text{RobbieLikes}(x) \land \neg \text{Vegan}(x) \land \forall y [\text{RobbieLikes}(y) \rightarrow x = y])$
- a) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

a) Coffee drinks with whole milk are not vegan  $\forall x (whole(x) \rightarrow \neg vegan(x))$ 

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(x) is true iff x contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

a) Robbie only likes one coffee drink, and that drink is not vegan  $\exists x \forall y (\text{RobbieLikes}(x) \land \neg \text{Vegan}(x) \land [\text{RobbieLikes}(y) \rightarrow x = y])$ 

 $Or \exists x (RobbieLikes(x) \land \neg Vegan(x) \land \forall y [RobbieLikes(y) \rightarrow x = y])$ 

a) There is a drink that has both sugar and soy milk.

 $\exists x (\operatorname{sugar}(x) \land \operatorname{soy}(x))$ 

Let your domain of discourse be all coffee drinks. You should use the following predicates:

- soy(*x*) is true iff *x* contains soy milk.
- whole(*x*) is true iff *x* contains whole milk.
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar

- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinated.
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan.
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x.

Translate the following symbolic logic statement into a (natural) English sentence. Take advantage of domain restriction.

 $\forall x ([\operatorname{decaf}(x) \land \operatorname{RobbieLikes}(x)] \rightarrow \operatorname{sugar}(x))$ 

#### Work on this problem with the people around you.

- soy(*x*) is true iff *x* contains soy milk
- whole(*x*) is true iff *x* contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

 $\forall x([\operatorname{decaf}(x) \land \operatorname{RobbieLikes}(x)] \rightarrow \operatorname{sugar}(x))$ 

 $\forall x([\operatorname{decaf}(x) \land \operatorname{RobbieLikes}(x)] \rightarrow \operatorname{sugar}(x))$ 

Every decaf drink that Robbie likes has sugar.

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(x) is true iff x contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

 $\forall x([\operatorname{decaf}(x) \land \operatorname{RobbieLikes}(x)] \rightarrow \operatorname{sugar}(x))$ 

Every decaf drink that Robbie likes has sugar.

- soy(x) is true iff x contains soy milk
- whole(*x*) is true iff *x* contains whole milk
- sugar(x) is true iff x contains sugar
- decaf(x) is true iff x is not caffeinate
- vegan(x) is true iff x is vegan
- RobbieLikes(x) is true iff Robbie likes the drink x

Statements like "For every decaf drink, if Robbie likes it then it has sugar" are equivalent, but only partially take advantage of domain restriction.

### Problem 2: English Proof



Prove that for all integers k, k(k + 3) is even. Recall that  $Even(x) := \exists k(x = 2k) and Odd(x) := \exists k(x = 2k + 1)$ 

(a) Let your domain be integers. Write the predicate logic of this claim.

Prove that for all integers k, k(k + 3) is even. Recall that Even(x) :=  $\exists k(x = 2k)$  and  $Odd(x) := \exists k(x = 2k + 1)$ 

(a) Let your domain be integers. Write the predicate logic of this claim.
 ∀k( Even(k(k+3)))

What kind of proof technique might we need?

Prove that for all integers k, k(k + 3) is even. Recall that Even(x) :=  $\exists k(x = 2k)$  and  $Odd(x) := \exists k(x = 2k + 1)$ 

(a) Let your domain be integers. Write the predicate logic of this claim.
 ∀k( Even(k(k+3)))

What kind of proof technique might we need? This looks like a proof by cases!

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let **k** be an **arbitrary** integer

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let **k** be an **arbitrary** integer **Case 1: k is even**
(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let **k** be an **arbitrary** integer **Case 1: k is even** By the definition of even, k = 2j for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let **k** be an **arbitrary** integer **Case 1: k is even** By the definition of even, k = 2j for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

 $k(k+3) = (2j)(2j+3) = 2(2j^2 + 3j)$ 

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let **k** be an **arbitrary** integer **Case 1: k is even** By the definition of even, k = 2j for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

 $k(k+3) = (2j)(2j+3) = 2(2j^2+3j)$ 

k(k + 3) = 2n, where  $n = (2j^2 + 3j)$  and n is an integer since j is an integer and integers are closed under addition and multiplication.

So, by definition of even, k(k + 3) is even.

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Case 2: k is odd

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

```
Case 2: k is odd
By the definition of odd, k = 2j + 1 for some integer j
So substituting for k into k(k + 3):
```

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

**Case 2: k is odd** By the definition of odd, k = 2j + 1 for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

 $k(k+3) = (2j+1)(2j+1+3) = (2j+1)(2j+4) = 4j^2 + 10j+4 = 2(2j^2 + 5j+2) = 2(2j+1)(j+2)$ 

(b) Write an English proof for this claim.

**Case 2: k is odd** By the definition of odd, k = 2j + 1 for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

 $k(k+3) = (2j+1)(2j+1+3) = (2j+1)(2j+4) = 4j^2 + 10j+4 = 2(2j^2 + 5j+2) = 2(2j+1)(j+2)$ 

k(k + 3) = 2n, where n = (2j + 1)(j + 2) and n is an integer since j is an integer and integers are closed under addition and multiplication.

So, by definition of even, k(k + 3) is even.

#### (b) Write an English proof for this claim.

Let k be an arbitrary integer

**Case 1: k is even** By the definition of even, k = 2j for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):

 $k(k+3) = (2j)(2j+3) = 2(2j^2+3j)$ 

k(k + 3) = 2n, where n = (2j2 + 3j) and n is an integer since j is an integer and integers are closed under addition and multiplication. So, by definition of even, k(k + 3) is even.

Case 2: k is odd By the definition of odd, k = 2j + 1 for some integer j So substituting for k into k(k + 3):  $k(k+3) = (2j+1)(2j+1+3) = (2j+1)(2j+4) = 4j2 + 10j+4 = 2(2j^2 + 5j+2) = 2(2j+1)(j+2)$  k(k + 3) = 2n, where n = (2j + 1)(j + 2) and n is an integer since j is an integer and integers are closed under addition and multiplication. So, by definition of even, k(k + 3) is even.

These cases are exhaustive, so the claim that k(k + 3) is even must hold. Since k was arbitrary, the claim holds for all k.



For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $S_n$  to be the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers, or  $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ .

Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Work on this problem with the people around you.

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$ Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be "". We show P(n) holds for (some) n by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(b): <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge b$ .

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k + 1):

<u>Conclusion</u>: Therefore, P(n) holds for (some) n by the principle of induction.

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$ Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(b): <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge b$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):

$$S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$$
 Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. Base Case: P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge b$ Inductive Step: Goal: Show P(k + 1):

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$ Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$ Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show  $P(k+1): S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$ Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show  $P(k+1): S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

 $S_{k+1} = = \cdots = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

$$S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$$
 by definition of  $S_n$   
= ...

 $= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ Conclusion: Therefore, P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by the principle of induction.

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$   $S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$  by definition of  $S_n$  $= (1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2) + (k+1)^2$ 

 $= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$  $S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$  by definition of  $S_n$ 

 $= (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2}) + (k + 1)^{2}$ =  $S_{k} + (k + 1)^{2}$  by definition of  $S_{n}$ =  $\dots$ =  $\frac{1}{c}(k + 1)((k + 1) + 1)(2(k + 1) + 1)$ 

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$  Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

$$S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$$
  

$$= (1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2) + (k+1)^2$$
  

$$= S_k + (k+1)^2$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^2$$
  

$$= \dots$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$$
  
by definition of  $S_n$   
by I.H.  

$$= \dots$$

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2.$  Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1).$ 

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

$$\begin{split} S_{k+1} &= 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2 & \text{by definition of } S_n \\ &= (1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2) + (k+1)^2 & \text{by definition of } S_n \\ &= S_k + (k+1)^2 & \text{by definition of } S_n \\ &= \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^2 & \text{by I.H.} \\ &= (k+1)(\frac{1}{6}k(2k+1) + (k+1)) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1) + 1)(2(k+1) + 1) \end{split}$$

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show  $P(k+1): S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

$$S_{k+1} = 1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2}) + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= S_{k} + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= (k+1)(\frac{1}{6}k(2k+1) + (k+1))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k(2k+1) + 6(k+1))$$
  

$$= \dots$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1) + 1)(2(k+1) + 1)$$
  
by definition of  $S_{n}$   
by l.H.  

$$= 0$$

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}+2^2+\dots+k^2+(k+1)^2$  by definition of  $S_n$ 

$$S_{k+1} = 1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2} + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2}) + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= S_{k} + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^{2}$$
  

$$= (k+1)(\frac{1}{6}k(2k+1) + (k+1))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k(2k+1) + 6(k+1))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)(2k^{2} + k + 6k + 6)$$
  

$$= \dots$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1) + 1)(2(k+1) + 1)$$
  
by definition of  $S_{n}$   
by definition of  $S_{n}$   
by definition of  $S_{n}$   
by l.H.

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0+1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. <u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(k+1):  $S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$   $S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$  by definition of  $S_n$  $= (1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2) + (k+1)^2$ 

$$= (1 + 2^{2} + (k + 1)^{2})$$
  

$$= S_{k} + (k + 1)^{2}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}k(k + 1)(2k + 1) + (k + 1)^{2}$$
  

$$= (k + 1)(\frac{1}{6}k(2k + 1) + (k + 1))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k + 1)(k(2k + 1) + 6(k + 1))$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k + 1)(2k^{2} + k + 6k + 6)$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{6}(k + 1)(2k^{2} + 7k + 6)$$
  

$$= \cdots$$

 $= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 

 $S_n = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2$ . Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ .

Let P(n) be " $S_n = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$ ". We show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n. <u>Base Case:</u> P(0): When n = 0, the sum of the squares of the first n positive integers is the sum of no terms, so we have a sum of 0. Thus,  $S_0 = 0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{6}(0)(0+1)(2 \cdot 0 + 1)$ , we know that P(0) is true. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(k) holds for an arbitrary  $k \ge 0$ , i.e.  $S_k = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(2k+1)$ <u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show  $P(k + 1): S_{k+1} = \frac{1}{6}(k + 1)((k + 1) + 1)(2(k + 1) + 1)$  $S_{k+1} = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 + (k+1)^2$ by definition of  $S_n$  $= (1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + k^{2}) + (k+1)^{2}$  $= S_{\nu} + (k+1)^2$ by definition of  $S_n$  $=\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)(2k+1) + (k+1)^{2}$ by I.H.  $= (k+1)(\frac{1}{c}k(2k+1) + (k+1))$  $=\frac{1}{c}(k+1)(k(2k+1)+6(k+1))$  $=\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(2k^2+k+6k+6)$  $=\frac{1}{\epsilon}(k+1)(2k^2+7k+6)$  $=\frac{1}{c}(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)$ 

 $= \frac{1}{6}(k+1)((k+1)+1)(2(k+1)+1)$ 



Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ .

- a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .
- b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.
- c) From part (a), we can see that x% p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x% p can take other than 1 is p 1. Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that  $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then

 $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b.$ 

#### Work on this problem with the people around you.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Claim in predicate logic:  $\forall y [(y \equiv 1 \pmod{p})) \rightarrow (y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p})]$ 

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Claim in predicate logic:  $\forall y [(y \equiv 1 \pmod{p})) \rightarrow (y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p})]$ 

Let y be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

 $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since y is arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Claim in predicate logic:  $\forall y [(y \equiv 1 \pmod{p})) \rightarrow (y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p})]$ 

Let y be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . We can multiply congruences, so multiplying this congruence by itself we get  $y^2 \equiv 1^2 \pmod{p}$ .  $\dots y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ Since y is arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

a) Show that if an integer y satisfies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Claim in predicate logic:  $\forall y [(y \equiv 1 \pmod{p})) \rightarrow (y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p})]$ 

Let y be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . We can multiply congruences, so multiplying this congruence by itself we get  $y^2 \equiv 1^2 \pmod{p}$ . Simplifying, we have  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ Since y is arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

. . .

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1).

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

. . .

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1). By multiplying both sides of pk = (x - 1) by (x + 1), we have pk(x + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1).

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.
Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1). By multiplying both sides of pk = (x - 1) by (x + 1), we have pk(x + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1). Rearranging the equation, we have p(k(x + 1)) = (x - 1)(x + 1).

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1). By multiplying both sides of pk = (x - 1) by (x + 1), we have pk(x + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1). Rearranging the equation, we have p(k(x + 1)) = (x - 1)(x + 1).

Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing (x - 1)(x + 1) with  $x^2 - 1$ , we have  $p(k(x + 1)) = x^2 - 1$ 

 $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1). By multiplying both sides of pk = (x - 1) by (x + 1), we have pk(x + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1). Rearranging the equation, we have p(k(x + 1)) = (x - 1)(x + 1).

Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing (x - 1)(x + 1) with  $x^2 - 1$ , we have  $p(k(x + 1)) = x^2 - 1$ 

Note that since k and x are integers, k(x + 1) is also an integer. Therefore, by the definition of divides,  $p \mid x^2 - 1$ . ...  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

b) Repeat part (a), but don't use any theorems from the Number Theory Reference Sheet. That is, show the claim directly from the definitions.

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid (x - 1)$ . Therefore, by the definition of divides, there exists an integer k such that pk = (x - 1). By multiplying both sides of pk = (x - 1) by (x + 1), we have pk(x + 1) = (x - 1)(x + 1). Rearranging the equation, we have p(k(x + 1)) = (x - 1)(x + 1).

Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing (x - 1)(x + 1) with  $x^2 - 1$ , we have  $p(k(x + 1)) = x^2 - 1$ 

Note that since k and x are integers, k(x + 1) is also an integer. Therefore, by the definition of divides,  $p | x^2 - 1$ . Hence, by the definition of Congruences,  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- c) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1.
  - Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that  $x^2 1 = (x 1)(x + 1)$

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

C) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p - 1. Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that

 $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ 

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

. . .

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- c) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1. Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that
  - $x^2 1 = (x 1)(x + 1)$

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid x^2 - 1$ . ...

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- c) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1. Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that
  - $x^2 1 = (x 1)(x + 1)$

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p \mid x^2 - 1$ . Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing  $x^2 - 1$  with (x - 1)(x + 1), we have  $p \mid (x - 1)(x + 1)$ ...

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- c) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1.
  - Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that

 $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ 

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p | x^2 - 1$ . Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing  $x^2 - 1$  with (x - 1)(x + 1), we have p | (x - 1)(x + 1)Note that for an integer p, if p is a prime number and p | (ab), then p | a or p | b.

 $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- C) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1.
  - Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that

 $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ 

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p | x^2 - 1$ . Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing  $x^2 - 1$  with (x - 1)(x + 1), we have p | (x - 1)(x + 1)Note that for an integer p, if p is a prime number and p | (ab), then p | a or p | b. In this case, since p is a prime number, by applying the rule, we have p | (x - 1) or p | (x + 1).

...  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

Let p be a prime number at least 3 and let x be an integer such that  $x^2\% p = 1$ 

- C) From part (a), we can see that x%p can equal 1. Show that for any integer x, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . That is, show that the only value x%p can take other than 1 is p 1.
  - Hint: Suppose you have an x such that  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and use the fact that

 $x^2 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)$ 

Hint: You may the following theorem without proof: if p is prime and  $p \mid (ab)$  then  $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Let x be an arbitrary integer and suppose  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the definition of Congruences,  $p | x^2 - 1$ . Since  $(x - 1)(x + 1) = x^2 - 1$ , by replacing  $x^2 - 1$  with (x - 1)(x + 1), we have p | (x - 1)(x + 1)Note that for an integer p, if p is a prime number and p | (ab), then p | a or p | b. In this case, since p is a prime number, by applying the rule, we have p | (x - 1) or p | (x + 1).

Therefore, by the definition of Congruences, we have  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  or  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since x was arbitrary, the claim holds.

# That's All, Folks!

Thanks for coming to section this week! Any questions?