

- $\rho(X, Y) = 0 \implies$  absence of <u>linear</u> relationship  $_{\circ}$  But, X and Y can still be related in some other way!
- If  $\rho(X, Y)$  = 0, we say X and Y are "uncorrelated" . Note: Independence implies uncorrelated, but <u>not</u> vice versa!

#### Fun with Indicator Variables

# Can't Get Enough of that Multinomial Multinomial distribution n independent trials of experiment performed Each trials results in one of m outcomes, with mrespective probabilities: $p_1, p_2, ..., p_m$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i = 1$ $X_i =$ number of trials with outcome i $P(X_1 = c_1, X_2 = c_2, ..., X_m = c_m) = {n \choose c_1, c_2, ..., c_m} p_i^{c_1} p_2^{c_2} ... p_m^{c_m}$ E.g., Rolling 6-sided die multiple times and counting how many of each value {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} we get Would expect that $X_i$ are negatively correlated Let's see... when $i \neq j$ , what is $Cov(X_i, X_j)$ ?



# Multinomials All Around

- Multinomial distributions:
  - Count of strings hashed across buckets in hash table
  - Number of server requests across machines in cluster
  - Distribution of words/tokens in an email
  - Etc.
- When *m* (# outcomes) is large, *p<sub>i</sub>* is small
  - For equally likely outcomes:  $p_i = 1/m$

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = -np_i p_j = -\frac{n}{m^2}$$

- Large  $m \Rightarrow X_i$  and  $X_j$  very mildly negatively correlated
- Poisson paradigm still applicable

# Conditional Expectation

X and Y are jointly discrete random variables
 Recall conditional PMF of X given Y = y:

$$p_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = P(X = x \mid Y = y) = \frac{p_{X,Y}(x, y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

• Define conditional expectation of X given Y = y:  $E[X | Y = y] = \sum x P(X = x | Y = y) = \sum x p_{X|Y}(x | y)$ 

$$f_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \qquad E[X \mid Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x \mid y) \, dx$$





# Properties of Conditional Expectation

• X and Y are jointly distributed random variables  $E[g(X)|Y = y] = \sum_{x \in Y} g(x) p_{x|y}(x|y) \text{ or } \int_{x}^{\infty} g(x) f_{x|y}(x|y) dx$ 

• Expectation of conditional sum:

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \mid Y = y\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_{i} \mid Y = y]$$

Expectations of Conditional Expectations  
• Define 
$$g(Y) = E[X | Y]$$
  
•  $g(Y)$  is a random variable  
• For any  $Y = y$ ,  $g(Y) = E[X | Y = y]$   
• This is just function of Y, since we sum over all values of X  
• What is  $E[E[X | Y]] = E[g(Y)]$ ? (Consider discrete case)  
 $E[E[X | Y]] = \sum_{y} E[X | Y = y]P(Y = y)$   
 $= \sum_{y} \sum_{x} xP(X = x | Y = y)]P(Y = y)$   
 $= \sum_{y} \sum_{x} xP(X = x, Y = y) = \sum_{x} x \sum_{y} P(X = x, Y = y)$   
 $= \sum_{y} xP(X = x) = E[X]$  (Same for continuous)



# Conditional Variance

- Recall definition: Var(X) = E[(X E[X])<sup>2</sup>]
  - Define: Var(X | Y) = E[(X E[X | Y])<sup>2</sup> | Y]
- Derived: Var(X) = E[X<sup>2</sup>] (E[X])<sup>2</sup>
  - Can derive: Var(X | Y) = E[X<sup>2</sup> | Y] (E[X | Y])<sup>2</sup>
- After a bit more math (in the book):
  - Var(X) = E[Var(X | Y)] + Var(E[X | Y])
  - Intuitively, let Y = true temperature, X = thermostat value
  - Variance in thermostat readings depends on:
    - $_{\circ}\;$  Average variance in thermostat at different temperatures +
    - $_{\circ}\;$  Variance in average thermostat value at different temperatures

#### Making Predictions

- We observe random variable X
  - · Want to make prediction about Y
  - E.g., X = stock price at 9am, Y = stock price at 10am
  - Let g(X) be function we use to predict Y, i.e.:  $\hat{Y} = g(X)$
  - Choose g(X) to minimize  $E[(Y g(X))^2]$
  - Best predictor: g(X) = E[Y | X]
  - Intuitively: E[(Y c)<sup>2</sup>] is minimized when c = E[Y]
     Now, you observe X, and Y depends on X, then use c = E[Y | X]
  - · You just got your first baby steps into Machine Learning
    - 。We'll go into this more rigorously in a few weeks

