## CSE 321: Discrete Structures

## Solution to Practice Mid-term:

1. (a)

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z[D(x, y) \rightarrow D(x, y z)]$.

It's correct. Since when $x$ is a divisor of $y, x$ is also a divisor of $y z$.

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w[D(x y, z w) \rightarrow(D(x, z) \vee D(y, w))]$.

It's not correct. For example, $x=3, y=8, z=4, w=6$.
(b) Denote " $a>b$ " by $E(a, b)$, and " $a \neq b$ " by $F(a, b)$.

- " $p$ is a prime number"
$\forall x[D(x, p) \rightarrow(\neg F(x, p) \vee \neg F(x, 1))]$
- " $q$ has at least two positive divisors greater than 1 "

$$
\exists x[(D(x, q) \wedge E(x, 1) \wedge E(q, x)]
$$

2. (a) True
(b) True
(c) True. Since $q$ is independent to $x$.
(d) True
(e) True
(f) True.
(g) True
(h) False
(i) False
(j) True
(k) False. For example, $A=\{1,2\}, B=\{1,2,3\}, f(x)=x$ and $g(x)=$ $3-x$.
(1) True
(m) True
3. By mathematical induction.

Basis step: $\mathrm{n}=1$. We have $1 \cdot 2^{0}=1=(1-1) \cdot 2^{1}+1$.
Inductive hypothesis: Assume the argument is true for $n=k$, i.e.,

$$
1 \cdot 2^{0}+\cdots+k \cdot 2^{k-1}=(k-1) \cdot 2^{k}+1 .
$$

Inductive step: When $n=k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \cdot 2^{0}+\cdots+k \cdot 2^{k-1}+(k+1) \cdot 2^{k} & =(k-1) \cdot 2^{k}+1+(k+1) \cdot 2^{k} \\
& =2 k \cdot 2^{k}-2^{k}+1+2^{k} \\
& =k \cdot 2^{k+1}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
4. (i) It uses strong induction. Since in the inductive hypothesis, we assume each natural number less than $n$ is a prime or a perfect square.
(i) Only (a) is correct. The reason why (b) is not correct is that our induction hypothesis is w.r.t all natural numbers less than $n$, and we only need to prove the case of $n$ in the inductive step. The reason why (c) is not correct is that "If $n$ is prime, then we are done" is one of two cases of our proof, and if this holds, we are done.

