PROBLEM SET 5 Due Friday, February 18, 2005, in class

Reading assignment: Section 2.1 of Sipser's text, Handout on Chomsky Normal Form conversion. There are **SIX** questions. Each question is worth **10 points**.

- 1. Prove that context-free languages are closed under union, concatenation, and Kleene star operation.
- 2. Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages:
 - (a) $L_1 = \{a^i b^j c^k d^\ell \mid i+j=k+\ell\}$
 - (b) $L_2 = \{R \mid R \text{ is a regular expression over } \{a, b\}\}.$
- 3. (a) Let G be an arbitrary grammar in Chomsky Normal Form. How many steps does it take to derive a string of length $n \ge 1$ in L(G) using the rules of G?
 - (b) Convert the following grammar (where S is the start symbol) into Chomsky Normal Form. Show all intermediate steps clearly.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \rightarrow & aAa \mid bBb \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & C \mid a \\ B & \rightarrow & C \mid b \\ C & \rightarrow & CE \mid BCD \mid \epsilon \\ D & \rightarrow & A \mid B \mid ab \end{array}$$

4. Let $G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, R, S)$ be the grammar with rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \rightarrow & aAB \mid aBA \mid bAA \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aS \mid bAAA \\ B & \rightarrow & aABB \mid aBAB \mid aBBA \mid bS \ . \end{array}$$

Prove that L(G) is the language consisting of all words that have exactly twice as many *a*'s as *b*'s.

- 5. Let $A = \{xy \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^* \text{ and } |x| = |y| \text{ but } x \neq y\}.$
 - (a) (*Tricky!*) Construct a context-free grammar that generates the language A.
 - (b) Draw a parse tree for your grammar that derives the string $aabaabba \in A$.
- 6. Consider the following natural looking grammar $PROG = (V, \Sigma, R, \langle STMT \rangle)$ for a fragment of a programming language:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{ \text{if, condition, then, else, a} := 1 \} , \\ V &= \{ \langle \text{STMT} \rangle, \langle \text{IF} - \text{THEN} \rangle, \langle \text{IF} - \text{THEN} - \text{ELSE} \rangle, \langle \text{ASSIGN} \rangle \} , \end{split}$$

and PROG has the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle {\rm STMT}\rangle & \to & \langle {\rm ASSIGN}\rangle \mid \langle {\rm IF-THEN}\rangle \mid \langle {\rm IF-THEN-ELSE}\rangle \\ \langle {\rm IF-THEN}\rangle & \to & {\rm if \ condition \ then \ } \langle {\rm STMT}\rangle \\ \langle {\rm IF-THEN-ELSE}\rangle & \to & {\rm if \ condition \ then \ } \langle {\rm STMT}\rangle \ {\rm else \ } \langle {\rm STMT}\rangle \\ \langle {\rm ASSIGN}\rangle & \to & {\rm a \ := 1} \end{array}$$

- (a) Show that PROG is ambiguous. What "programming aspect" does this ambiguity capture?
- (b) Give a new unambiguous grammar that generates the same language as PROG. You do not have to *prove* unambiguity, but informally describe how you are resolving the ambiguity.