

1. More buildHeap proof for those who are curious. Suppose we have a binary tree of size  $N$ , and suppose the tree is completely filled in. Then  $N = 2^k - 1$ , where the height of the tree is  $k - 1$ . (If you're not sure about this, think about some small examples, e.g.  $N = 7$ ). We established in class today that the summation for operations required in buildHeap is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-i)2^{i-1}$$

This is because at the top level (i.e.  $i = 1$ ) we could percolate down the entire tree, the height of which is  $k - 1$ ; but we'd only have to do that for one item. At the second level (i.e.  $i = 2$ ) we could percolate down the entire tree again, but from the second level, the height of which is  $k - 2$ . However, we might have to do the percolation for both items at the second level. And so on, so that at the  $i$ th level, we could percolate down the height of the tree ( $k - i$ ) and we may have to do that for the  $2^{i-1}$  items at the  $i$ th level.

We solve the summation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (k-i)2^{i-1} \\ &= (k-1)(1) + (k-2)(2) + (k-3)(4) + \dots + (2)(2^{k-3}) + (1)(2^{k-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Now let's look at multiplying  $S$  by 2 and subtracting  $S$  from  $2S$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2S & = & (k-1)(2) + (k-2)(4) + \dots + (2)(2^{k-2}) + (1)(2^{k-1}) \\ -S & = & -(k-1)(1) - (k-2)(2) - (k-3)(4) - \dots - (1)(2^{k-2}) \\ 2S - S & = & -(k-1)(1) + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{k-2} + (1)(2^{k-1}) \\ S & = & -k + 1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-1} \end{array}$$

So  $S = -k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i$ . From page 4 in Weiss, that sum is  $2^{k-1+1} - 1$ , thus  $S = 2^k - k - 1$ . Since  $N = 2^k - 1$ ,  $k = \log_2(N-1)$ , and  $S = 2^{\log_2(N-1)+1} - \log_2(N-1) - 1 = N - 1 - \log_2(N-1) - 1 = O(N)$ .

2. More on  $d$ -heap deleteMin for those who are curious. The question is, how does the running time of deleteMin on a  $d$ -heap ( $d \log_d n$ ) compare to the running time of deleteMin on a binary heap ( $2 \log_2 n$ )? First we change the base, so the comparison is clearer.

$$\begin{aligned} d \log_d n &= d \frac{\log_2 n}{\log_2 d} \\ &= \frac{d}{\log_2 d} \log_2 n \end{aligned}$$

So we want to know how  $d/\log_2 d$  compares with 2. If we set  $d = 3$ , we get  $3/\log_2 3 = 3/1.54 < 2$ . If we set  $d = 4$ , we get  $4/\log_2 4 = 4/2 = 2$ . As  $d$  increases, we see that  $d/\log_2 d$  increases (since  $d$  grows faster than  $\log_2 d$ ). Thus the answer is “it depends on  $d$ ”; but a more detailed answer is “for values of  $d > 4$ ,  $d\log_d n > 2\log_2 n$ ”. So for  $d > 4$ , `deleteMin` runs slower on a  $d$ -heap than on a binary heap.