# CSE 331 <br> Software Design \& Implementation 

Autumn 2022
Section 6 - HW6 and Midterm Review

## Administrivia

- Done with HW5!
- HW6 (ADT implementation) due next week (Thurs. 11/10)
- We will get feedback out for you early next week
- Midterm tomorrow during lecture!
- Any questions?


## Agenda

- Walk-through of the test-script driver (to run .test files)
- Managing an expensive checkRep
- Midterm review


## Refresher: Format of script tests

Each script test is expressed as text-based script foo.test

- One command per line, of the form: Command $\arg _{1} \arg _{2} \ldots$
- Script's output compared against foo.expected
- Precise details specified in the homework
- Match format exactly, including whitespace!

| Command (in foo.test) | Output (in foo. expected) |
| :--- | :--- |
| CreateGraph name | created graph name |
| AddNode graph label | added node label to graph |
| AddEdge graph parent child label | added edge label from parent to child in graph |
| ListNodes graph | graph contains : label ${ }_{\text {node }} \ldots$ |
| ListChildren graph parent | the children of parent in graph are : child (label $l_{\text {edge }}$ ) ... |
| \# This is comment text ... | \# This is comment text $\ldots$ |

## Refresher: example.test

\# Create a graph
CreateGraph graph1
\# Add a pair of nodes
AddNode graph1 n1
AddNode graph1 n2

\# Add an edge
AddEdge graph1 n1 n2 e1
\# Print all nodes in the graph
ListNodes graph1
\# Print all child nodes of n1 with outgoing edge ListChildren graph1 n1

## Refresher: example.expected

\# Create a graph created graph graph1
\# Add a pair of nodes added node n1 to graph1 added node n2 to graph1

\# Add an edge
added edge e1 from n1 to n2 in graph1
\# Print all nodes in the graph
graph1 contains: n1 n2
\# Print all child nodes of n1 with outgoing edge the children of n 1 in graph1 are: n (e1)

## How the script tests work

- In HW5, you wrote script tests in the form of . test files
- As well as an .expected file for each test's expected outcome
- The JUnit class ScriptFileTests runs all these tests
- Looks for all the . test files in the src/test/resources/testScripts folder
- Compares test output against corresponding .expected file
- ScriptFileTests needs a bridge to your graph implementation
- That's exactly what the GraphTestDriver class is for


## Graph Test Driver

- GraphTestDriver knows how to read these test scripts
- GraphTestDriver calls a method to "do" each verb
- CreateGraph, AddNode, AddEdge ...
- One method stub per script command for you to fill with calls to your graph code
- Note: Completed test driver should sort lists before printing for ListNodes and ListChildren
- Just to ensure predictable, deterministic output
- Your graph implementation itself should not worry about sorting


## Graph Test Driver Output

- The Graph Test Driver is a client of our graph...
- ...but not the only client.
- Your graph should not be designed to be exclusively used for the test driver.
- ListChildren in the test driver should print out: "the children of parent in graph are: child (label ${ }_{\text {edge }}$ ) ..."
- This does not mean that you should have a method on your graph called ListChildren that returns this String
- Because that isn't useful for other clients


## Sorting with the driver

- Use the test driver appropriately!
- From before: "Completed test driver should sort lists before printing."
- Script test output for hw5 needs to be sorted so we can mechanically check it.
- This means sorted output for tests does NOT mean sorted internal storage in graph.
- If sorting behavior is needed, Graph ADT clients (including the test driver) can sort those labels.


## In other words...

The Graph ADT in general should NOT assume that node or edge labels are sorted or even comparable(!). (of course they can be tested for equality with equals() )

## Demo

Here's a quick tour of the GraphTestDriver!

## Expensive checkReps

- A complicated rep. invariant can be expensive to check
- Especially iterating over internal collection(s)
- For example, examining every edge in a graph
- A slow checkRep could cause our grading scripts to time-out
- Can be really useful during testing/debugging, but
- Need to disable the really slow checks before submitting
- We have a tension between two goals:
- Thorough, possibly slow checking for development
- Essential, necessarily fast checking for production/grading
- What to do?


## Use a debug flag to tune checkRep

- Repeatedly (un)commenting sections of code is a poor solution
- Instead, use a class-level constant as a toggle
- Ex.: private static final boolean DEBUG = ...;
- false for only the fast, essential checks
- true for all the slow, thorough checks
- Real-world code often has several such "debug levels"

```
private void checkRep() {
    assert fast_checks();
    if (DEBUG)
        assert slow_checks();
```

\}

## Midterm Review

## intToString()

Fill in the implementation of a method that converts a positive integer to its string representation in decimal (invariant given on next slide).
$\{\{\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{x}>0$ \}\}
String intToString(int $x$ )

Useful facts to recall:

1. Convert char ch that is one of ${ }^{\prime} 0$ ' , ' $1 \mathbf{l}^{\prime}, \ldots,{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{9 '}^{\prime}$ to a corresponding int by doing ch - ' 0 '
2. Convert int $\mathbf{x}$ that is one of $0,1, \ldots, 9$ to a corresponding char by doing (char) ( $\mathrm{x}+{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{0}^{\prime}$ )

## intToString()

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf =
    int k = , y = ;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of x
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
        k = k + 1;
    }
    return buf.reverse().toString();
}

\section*{intToString()}
```

{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
int k = 0, y = x;
{{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
while (y != 0) {
How do we fill out the loop body?
k = k + 1;
}
return buf.reverse().toString();
}

```

\section*{intToString()}
```

{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
int k = 0, y = x;
{{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
while (y != 0) {
k = k + 1;
}
return buf.reverse().toString();
}

## intToString()

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
    int k = 0, y = x;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
                                    Inv changes k to k+1, so
                            - y becomes x / 10k+1
        y = y / 10
        k = k + 1;
    }
    return buf.reverse().toString();
}
```


## intToString()

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
    int k = 0, y = x;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
                                    Inv changes k to k+1, so
                            - buf stores lowest k+1 digits
        y = y / 10;
        k = k + 1;
    }
    return buf.reverse().toString();
}

\section*{intToString()}
```

{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
int k = 0, y = x;
{{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of x
in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
while (y != 0) {
Inv changes k to k+1, so
- buf stores lowest k+1 digits
y = y / 10;
k = k + 1;
}
(k+1)-st lowest digit goes at end
since buf stores them reversed
return buf.reverse().toString();
}

## intToString()

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
    int k = 0, y = x;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
        char ch = ?
        buf.append(ch);
        y = y / 10;
        k = k + 1;
    }
```

    return buf.reverse().toString();
    \}

## intToString()

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
    int k = 0, y = x;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of }
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
        char ch = (char) (y % 10 + '0');
        buf.append(ch);
        y = y / 10;
        k = k + 1;
    }
```

    return buf.reverse().toString();
    \}

## intToString() solution

```
{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
    StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
    int k = 0, y = x;
    {{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of x
        in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
    while (y != 0) {
        char ch = (char) (y % 10 + '0');
        buf.append(ch); Why does this hold?
        y = y / 10;
        k = k + 1;
    }
    {{ buf stores the digits of x in reverse order }}
    return buf.reverse().toString();
}

\section*{intToString() solution}
```

{{ P: x > 0 }}
String intToString(int x) {
StringBuilder buf = new StringBuilder();
int k = 0, y = x;
{{ Inv: P and buf stores the lowest k digits of x
in reverse order and y = x / 10^k }}
while (y != 0) {
char ch = (char) (y % 10 + '0');
buf.append(ch);
y = y / 10;
k = k + 1;
}
{{ buf stores the digits of x in reverse order }}
return buf.reverse().toString();
}

## Specifications

Suppose we have a BankAccount class with instance variable balance. Consider the following specifications (ignore @param):
A. @effects decreases balance by amount
B. @requires amount $>=0$ and amount <= balance @effects decreases balance by amount
C. @throws InsufficientFundsException if balance < amount @effects decreases balance by amount

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    balance -= amount;
}
```


## Specifications

Suppose we have a BankAccount class with instance variable balance. Consider the following specifications (ignore @param):
A. @effects decreases balance by amount
B. @requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance @effects decreases balance by amount
C. @throws InsufficientFundsException if balance < amount @effects decreases balance by amount

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (balance >= amount) balance-=amount;
}
```


## Specifications

Suppose we have a BankAccount class with instance variable balance. Consider the following specifications (ignore @param):
A. @effects decreases balance by amount
B. @requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance @effects decreases balance by amount
C. @throws InsufficientFundsException if balance < amount @effects decreases balance by amount

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    if (amount < O) throw new IllegalArgumentException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```


## Specifications

Suppose we have a BankAccount class with instance variable balance. Consider the following specifications (ignore @param):
A. @effects decreases balance by amount
B. @requires amount >= 0 and amount <= balance @effects decreases balance by amount
C. @throws InsufficientFundsException if balance < amount @effects decreases balance by amount

Which specifications does this implementation meet?

```
void withdraw(int amount) throws InsufficientFundsException {
    if (balance < amount) throw new InsufficientFundsException();
    balance -= amount;
}
```


## Testing

Consider the BankAccount class again. What are some good test cases?

```
public class BankAccount {
    /**
        * @param amount to withdraw
        * @requires amount >= 0
        * @throws InsufficientFundsException
        * if balance < amount
        * @effects decreases balance by amount
        */
    public void withdraw(int amount) { ... }
}
Specification test heuristic:
```

```
    /** @return current balance of account */
```

    /** @return current balance of account */
    public void balance() { ... }
    ```
    public void balance() { ... }
```

- amount <= balance
- amount > balance

Boundary test heuristic:

- amount = balance
- amount > balance

Others?

Should we test amount < 0 ?

## More Reasoning

```
Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length - 1;
    {{ inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
    while (k != n) {
        {{ ? }}
        xk = xk * x;
        {{ ? }}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {{ ? }}
        k = k + 1;
        {{ ? }}
    }
    {{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
    return val;
}

\section*{More Reasoning}
```

Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
int val = a[0];
int }\mathbf{xk}=1; Does the invariant hold before the loop
int k = 0;
int n = a.length - 1;
{{ inv: xk = x^k \&\& val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
while (k != n) {
{{ ? }}
xk = xk * x;
{{ ? }}
val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
{{ ? }}
k = k + 1;
{{ ? }}
}
{{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
return val;
}

## More Reasoning

```
Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length - 1;
    {{ inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
    while (k != n) {
        {{ ? }}
        xk = xk * x;
        {{ ? }}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {{ ? }}
        k = k + 1;
        {{ ? }}
    }
    {{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
    return val;
}

\section*{More Reasoning}
```

Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
int val = a[0];
int xk = 1;
int k = 0;
int n = a.length - 1;
{{ inv: xk = x^k \&\& val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
while (k != n) {
{{ inv \&\& k != n }}
xk = xk * x;
{{ ? }}
val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
{{ ? }}
k = k + 1;
{{ ? }}
}
{{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
return val;
}

## More Reasoning

```
Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length - 1;
    {{ inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
    while (k != n) {
        {{ inv && k != n }}
        xk = xk * x;
        {{ xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k && k != n }}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {{ ? }}
        k = k + 1;
        {{ ? }}
    }
    {{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
    return val;
}

\section*{More Reasoning}
```

Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
int val = a[0];
int xk = 1;
int k = 0;
int n = a.length - 1;
{{ inv: xk = x^k \&\& val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
while (k != n) {
{{ inv \&\& k != n }}
xk = xk * x;
{{ xk = x^(k+1) \&\& val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k \&\& k != n }}
val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
{{ xk = x^(k+1) \&\& val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... +a[k+1]*x^(k+1)
\&\& k != n }}
k = k + 1;
{{ ? }}
}
{{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
return val;
}

## More Reasoning

```
Let's check that this method is correct.
/** Return the value of this IntPoly at point x */
public int valueAt(int x) {
    int val = a[0];
    int xk = 1;
    int k = 0;
    int n = a.length - 1;
    {{ inv: xk = x^k && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k }}
    while (k != n) {
        {{ inv && k != n }}
        xk = xk * x;
        {{ xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[k]*x^k && k != n }}
        val = val + a[k+1]*xk;
        {{ xk = x^(k+1) && val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... +a[k+1]*x^(k+1)
                                    && k != n }}
        k = k + 1;
        {{ inv && k-1 != n }} -> {{ inv }}
    } Do we reach the postcondition?
    {{ val = a[0] + a[1]*x + ... + a[n]*x^n }}
    return val;
}

\section*{Good luck on the midterm!}```

