CSE 331

Software Design & Implementation

Topic: System Integration

💬 **Discussion:** Should I make next lecture a work session?

Reminders

- Will not accept *any* work after Aug. 19 (Friday) at 11pm
- Please fill out course evals ASAP
    - Very valuable to me as a new instructor!

Upcoming Deadlines

- Prep. Quiz: HW9   due Monday (8/14)

- HW9   due Thursday (8/18)

Last Time…

- More Design Patterns!
  - Creational
  - Behavioral
  - Structural

Today's Agenda

- End-of-quarter timeline
  - Lectures
  - Final Grades
- System Integration

Lecture Timeline

Last few lectures will be content-sparse. Will have lots of work time during class.

- Today's lecture is about the high-level ideas needed to build **massive** systems

- Next lecture will include information on **ethics in CS** and a **class discussion** on related topics

- Friday's lecture will start with **student demos** and end with a **course wrap-up**

Grading Timeline

- All work needs to be submitted to us by **Friday** at 11pm

- Course staff will finish grading HW9 and regrades by **Sunday evening**

- Grades will be posted on Canvas on late **Sunday night**
  - You should check that these are consistent with what you expected!

- Soham will calculate final GPA based on these grades on **Monday**
  - This is when I will account for extra credit and special circumstances

- Grades due to the university on **Tuesday at noon**

What we didn't do…

CSE331 is almost over… ☹

- Focus on software design, specification, testing, and implementation
  - Absolutely *necessary* stuff for any nontrivial project

- But *not sufficient* for the real world: At least 2 key missing pieces
  - Techniques for larger systems and development teams
    - Major focus of CSE403
  - Usability: interfaces engineered for humans
    - Major focus of CSE440 – something you should take!

Outline

- Software architecture

- Tools
  - For build management
  - For version control
  - For bug tracking

- Scheduling and Planning ahead

- Implementation and testing order

Architecture

Software architecture refers to the high-level structure of a software system
- A principled approach to partitioning the modules and controlling dependencies and data flow among the modules

Common architectures have well-known names and well-known advantages and disadvantages, just like design patterns

A good architecture ensures:
- Work can proceed in parallel
- Progress can be closely monitored
- The parts combine to provide the desired functionality

Example architectures

Pipe-and-filter (think: iterators)

Source → pipe → Filter → pipe → Filter → pipe → Filter → pipe → Sink

Blackboard (think: callbacks)

Layered (think: levels of abstraction)

Component ↔ Message store
Component ↔ Message store
Component ↔ Message store
Component ↔ Message store
Component ↔ Message store

PB .NET Smart Client application

Mobilink support | PB .NET Windows Form application | Intelligent updater

← Language Interop →

PB .NET runtime library | 3rd party .NET components

PB native components | .NET Framework 2.0 | ClickOnce

Win32 APIs

Good architecture considers many things!

- Scaling to support large numbers of _____

- Adding and changing features

- Integration of acquired components

- Communication with other software

- Easy customization
  - Ideally with no programming
  - Turning users into programmers is good

- Software to be embedded within a larger system

- Recovery from wrong decisions
  - About technology
  - About markets

System architecture

- Have one!
    - Basically lays down communication protocols and a project plan
- Subject it to serious scrutiny
    - At relatively high level of abstraction
- Strive for simplicity
    - Flat is good
    - Know when to say no
    - A good architecture rules things out
- Reusable components should be a design goal
    - Software is capital
    - This will not happen by accident
    - May compete with other goals of the organization (but less so in the global view and long-term)

Things to Avoid

- Avoid featuritis
  - Costs under-estimated
    - Effects of scale discounted
  - Benefits over-estimated
    - A Swiss Army knife is rarely the right tool

Premature optimization is the root of all evil
- Don Knuth

- Avoid quantum leaps
  - Occasionally, great leaps forward
  - More often, into the abyss

Outline

- Software architecture

- Tools
  - For build management
  - For version control
  - For bug tracking

- Scheduling and Planning ahead

- Implementation and testing order

Build tools

- Building software requires many tools:
  - Java compiler/JVM, C/C++ compiler, GUI builder, react/node/framework-du-jour, device driver build tool, InstallShield, web server, database, scripting language for build automation, parser generator, test generator, test harness
- Reproducibility is essential
- System may run on multiple devices
  - Each has its own build tools
- Everyone needs to have the same toolset!
  - Wrong or missing tool can drastically reduce productivity
- Hard to switch tools in mid-project

If you're doing work the computer could do for you,
then you're probably doing it wrong

Version control (source code control)

- A version control system lets you:
  - Collect work (code, documents) from all team members
  - Synchronize team members to current source
  - Have multiple teams work in parallel
  - Manage multiple versions, releases of the software
  - Identify regressions more easily
- Example tools:
  - Git, Mercurial (Hg), Buck, Subversion (SVN), …
- Policies are even more important
  - When to check in, when to update, when to branch and merge, how builds are done
  - Policies need to change to match the state of the project
- Always pull and diff before you commit

Bug tracking

- An issue tracking system supports:
  - Tracking and fixing bugs
  - Identifying problem areas and managing them
  - Communicating among team members
  - Tracking regressions and repeated bugs

- Essential for any non-small or non-short project

- Example tools:
  JIRA, Bugzilla, Flyspray, Trac, …
 Hosted tools (GitLab, GitHub, Sourceforge, …)

Bug tracking

Need to configure the bug tracking system to match the project
- Many configurations can be too complex to be useful

A good process is key to managing bugs
- An explicit policy that everyone knows, follows, and believes in

Outline

- Software architecture

- Tools
  - For build management
  - For version control
  - For bug tracking

- Scheduling and Planning ahead

- Implementation and testing order

Scheduling

"More software projects have gone awry for lack of calendar time than for all other causes combined."

-- Fred Brooks, *The Mythical Man-Month*

Three central questions of the software business:

3. When will it be done?
2. How much will it cost?
1. **When will it be done?!?**

- Estimates are almost always too optimistic
- Estimates reflect what one *wishes* to be true
- We confuse *effort* with *progress*
- Progress is poorly monitored
- Slippage is not aggressively treated

Scheduling is crucial but underappreciated

- Scheduling is underappreciated
    - Made to fit other constraints
- A schedule is needed to make slippage visible
- Unrealistically optimistic schedules are a disaster

- The great paradox of scheduling:
    - Everything takes *twice as long* as you think
    - Hofstadter's Law: It always takes longer than you expect, even when you take into account Hofstadter's Law

Effort is not the same as progress

Cost is the product of workers and time
- – Reasonable approximation: All non-labor costs (everything but salary/benefits) are zero (!)
- – Easy to track

Progress is more complicated and hard to track

- People don't like to admit lack of progress
  - – Progress is mis-estimated
  - – Think they can catch up before anyone notices

- Design the process and architecture to facilitate tracking

How does a project get to be one year late?

One day at a time...

- It's not the hurricanes that get you
- It's the termites
  - Arjun missed a meeting
  - Sarah's keyboard broke
  - The compiler wasn't updated
  - ...

If you find yourself ahead of schedule
  - Don't relax
  - Don't add features

Dealing with slippage

- People must be held accountable
  - Slippage is not inevitable
  - Software should be on time, on budget, and on function

- Four options
  - Add people – startup cost ("*mythical staff-month*")
  - Buy components – hard in mid-stream
  - Change deliverables – customer must approve
  - Change schedule – customer must approve

- How can you build an environment that supports people falling behind and helps people catch up?

Outline

- Software architecture

- Tools
  - For build management
  - For version control
  - For bug tracking

- Scheduling

- Implementation and testing order

How to code and test your design

- You have a design and architecture
  - Need to code and test the system

- Suppose the system has this module dependency diagram
  - In what order should
    you address the pieces?

Bottom-up

- Implement/test children first
  - For example: G, E, B, F, C, D, A
- First, test G stand-alone (also E)
  - Generate test data as discussed earlier
  - Construct test drivers
- Next, implement/test B, F, C, D
- No longer *unit testing*: using lower-level modules
  - A test of module M tests:
    - whether M works, *and*
    - whether modules that M calls behave as expected
  - When a failure occurs, many possible sources of defect
 - Integration testing is hard, irrespective of order

Building drivers

- Use a person
  - *Simplest* choice, but also *worst* choice
  - Errors in entering data are inevitable
  - Errors in checking results are inevitable
  - Tests are not easily reproducible
    - Problem for debugging
    - Problem for regression testing
  - Test sets stay small, don't grow over time
  - Testing cannot be done as a background task

- Better alternative: Automated drivers in a test harness

Top-down

- Implement/test parents (clients) first
  - Here, we start with A

- To run A, build *stubs* to simulate B, C, and D

- Next, choose a successor module, e.g., B
  - Build a stub for E
  - Drive B using A

- Suppose C is next
  - Can we reuse the stub for E?
    (Maybe, but maybe need something different)

Implementing a stub

- Query a person at a console
  - Same drawbacks as using a person as a driver

- Print a message describing the call
  - Name of procedure and arguments
  - Fine if calling program does not need result
    - More common than you might think!

- Provide "canned" or generated sequence of results
  - Often sufficient
  - Generate using criteria used to generate data for unit test
  - May need different stubs for different callers

- Provide a primitive (inefficient & incomplete) implementation
  - Best choice, if not too much work
  - Look-up table often works
  - Sometimes called "*mock objects*" or fakes

Catching design errors

- Top-down tests global decisions first
  - E.g., what system does
  - Most devastating place to be wrong
  - Good to find early

- Bottom-up uncovers efficiency problems earlier
  - Constraints often propagate downward
  - You may discover they can't be met at lower levels

- Neither dominates
  - Useful to understand advantages/disadvantages of each
  - Helps you to design an appropriate mixed strategy

Amount of integration at each step

- Less is better

- Top-down adds one module at a time
  - When an error is detected, either:
    - Lower-level module doesn't meet specification
    - Higher-level module tested with bad stub

- Bottom-up adds one module at a time
  - Connect it to multiple modules
  - Thus integrating more modules at each step
  - More places to look for error

Amount of work

- Always need test harness

- Top-down
  - Build stubs but not drivers

- Bottom-up
  - Build drivers but not stubs

- Stubs are usually more work than drivers
  - Particularly true for data abstractions

- Most of the work is in integration two different modules

One good way to structure an implementation

- Largely top-down
  - But always unit test modules
- Bottom-up
  - When stubs are too much work [just implement real thing]
  - Low level module that is used in lots of places
  - Low-level performance concerns
- Depth-first, visible-first
  - Allows interaction with customers, like prototyping
  - Lowers risk of having nothing useful
  - Improves morale of customers and programmers
    - Needn't explain how much invisible work done
    - Better understanding of where the project is
    - Don't have integration hanging over your head

Perspective…

- Software project management is challenging
  - There are still major disasters – projects that go way over budget, take much longer than planned, or are abandoned after large investments
  - Disasters usually stem from lack of discipline
  - Always new challenges; we never build the same thing twice
  - We're better at it than we used to be, but not there yet
    - (is "software engineering" real "engineering"?)

- Project management is a mix of hard and [so-called] soft skills

- We've only skimmed the surface
  - Next: CSE 403, internship, your startup, ???

Before next class…

1. Start on HW9
   – Let me know if you want to demo extra credit
     • Can be small things like a slightly different layout
     • Can be big things like adding a whole new feature

2. Wrap-up any regrades for HW1-8
   – Won't accept late work after the last day of class