

Scheme

Shares many features with ML:

- expression-oriented
- list-oriented, garbage-collected heap-based
- functional
 - functions are first-class values
 - largely side-effect free
- strongly typed
- **highly regular and expressive**

Unlike ML:

- dynamically typed, not statically typed
- lacks
 - pattern matching
 - exceptions (but has **continuations**)
 - modules (but some Scheme extensions have good modules)
- syntax blends data and program

Lisp designed by McCarthy in late 50's

Scheme dialect introduced by Steele and Sussman in mid 70's

Syntax

```
Program ::= { Definition | Expr }
```

```
Definition ::=  
  (define id Expr)  
  | (define (idfn idformal1 ... idformalN)  
    Expr)
```

```
Expr ::= id  
  | Constant  
  | SpecialForm  
  | (Exprfn Exprarg1 ... ExprargN)
```

```
Constant ::= int | float | string | symbol  
  | (lambda (idformal1 ... idformalN)  
    Expr)  
  | ...
```

```
SpecialForm ::=  
  (if Exprtest Exprthen Exprelse)  
  | ...
```

Uniform prefix “calls”

Examples:

```
(+ 3 4)          → 7  
(+ (* 3 8) (/ 8 2)) → 28  
(define seven (+ 3 4))  
seven           → 7  
(+ seven 8)     → 15  
(define (square n) (* n n))  
(square seven) → 49  
(define (fact n)  
  (if (<= n 0)  
      1  
      (* n (fact (- n 1)))))  
(fact 20)       → 2432902008176640000
```

Prefix operators & function calls is regular, and unambiguous, but not “traditional”

- don't have to define precedence and associativity!
- can have 0, 1, 2, or many arguments to a “binary” operator

Special forms

Regular call expressions evaluation all arguments then invoke procedure

- user-defined procedures work this way

Special forms are special “functions” where arguments aren't all treated as expressions to be evaluated first

- can define new special forms using special **macros**

Example:

```
(define x 0)  
(define y 5)  
(if (= x 0) 0 (/ y x))          → 0  
(define (my-if test then else)  
  (if test then else))  
(my-if (= x 0) 0 (/ y x))      → error!
```

Other special forms

cond: like if-elseif...-else chain:

```
(cond ((> x 0) 1)
      ((= x 0) 0)
      (else -1))
```

Short-circuiting and and or (like ML's andalso and orelse)

```
(or (= x 0) (> (/ y x) 5) ...)
```

let: "simultaneous" local variable bindings:

```
(define x 1) (define y 2) (define z 3)
(let ((x 5)
      (y (+ 3 4))
      (z (+ x y z)))
    (+ x y z))          → 5+7+(1+2+3)=18
```

let*: "sequential" local variable bindings (like ML's let):

```
(let* ((x 5)
       (y (+ 3 4))
       (z (+ x y z)))
    (+ x y z))          → 5+7+(5+7+3)=27
```

Lists

Translation between ML and Scheme

ML	Scheme
nil	()
x :: xs	(cons x xs)
[x, y, z]	(list x y z)
hd(lst)	(car lst)
tl(lst)	(cdr lst)
null(lst)	(null? lst)

Examples:

```
(define lst (list 5 6 7 8)) → (5 6 7 8)
(define lst2 (cons 4 lst)) → (4 5 6 7 8)
(+ (car lst) (car lst2)) → 9
(define lst3 (cdr lst)) → (6 7 8)
• lst, lst2, and lst3 have shared subpieces
```

Dynamic typing

There are no static types, neither explicit nor inferred
Any variable, and any data structure, can hold any type of value
Values have (run-time) types, variables are typeless

Typechecking is performed only when absolutely necessary

E.g.

- car & cdr check that argument is a cons cell, and
- + checks that arguments are numbers, but
- cons and list check nothing!

Lists can be heterogenous:

```
(list 3 4.5 () "hi" (list 3 5))
→ (3 4.5 () "hi" (3 5))
```

- lists in Scheme fulfill roles of both tuples and lists in ML

E.g. an association list of key-value pairs:

```
(define Zips (list (list "Seattle" 98195)
                  (list "Boston" 02115)
                  (list "Reston" 22091)))
→ (("Seattle" 98195)
   ("Boston" 02115)
   ("Reston" 22091))
```

Type testing

Programs can test the type of values at run-time

Some type-testing predicates:

```
null?
pair?
symbol?
boolean?
number? integer? ...
string?
...
```

Typechecking terms

Static vs. dynamic typing: when are type checks performed?

- static: before execution
- dynamic: during execution

Pure static typing is too restrictive, so statically typed languages often mix static and dynamic checking

Strong vs. weak typing: how comprehensive are type checks?

- strong: guarantee no run-time misuses
- weak: don't

The two dimensions are independent

Type errors are a somewhat arbitrary subclass of program errors
Typechecking doesn't address non-type errors

Quoting

List literals via `quote` or `'` special form:

```
(list 3 (list 4 5) 6) → (3 (4 5) 6)
(quote (3 (4 5) 6)) → (3 (4 5) 6)
'(3 (4 5) 6) → (3 (4 5) 6)
```

Quoted identifiers are **symbol** constants:

```
'positive → positive
(car '(if (> a b) 3 4)) → if
```

Programs and data share same regular syntax

Makes it very easy to write programs that
build, take apart, and transform programs