CSE 341: Programming Languages

Dan Grossman
Fall 2004
Lecture 7— Motivation and First-Class Functions

Today

- Finish course motivaton
- Summarize what we've learned with a concise and well-known notation for recursively-defined language constructs
- Begin first-class functions

Why these 3?

Functional programming (ML, Scheme) encourages recursion, discourages mutation, provides elegant, lightweight support for first-class code. Support for extensibility complements OO.

- ML has a polymorphic type system (vindication imminent!)
 complementary to OO-style subtyping, a rich module system for abstract types, and rich pattern-matching.
- Scheme has dynamic typing, "good" macros, fascinating control operators, and a minimalist design.
- Smalltalk has classes but not types, an unconventional environment, and a complete commitment to OO.

Runners-up: Haskell (laziness and purity), Prolog (unification and backtracking), thousands of others...

Why not some popular ones?

- Java: you know it, will contrast at end of course (e.g., interfaces, anonymous inner classes, container types)
- C: lots of "implementation-dependent" behavior (a bad property), and we have CSE303
- C++: an enormous language, and unsafe like C
- Perl: advantages (strings, files, ...) not foci of this course. Python or Ruby would be closer.

Are these useful?

The way we use ML/Scheme/Smalltalk in 341 can make them seem almost "silly" precisely because we focus on *interesting language* concepts

"Real" programming needs file I/O, strings, floating-point, graphics libraries, project managers, unit testers, threads, foreign-function interfaces, ...

- These languages have all that and more!
- If Java were in 341, it would seem "silly" too

Somewhat outdated links:

- ML: http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~appel/smlnj/projects.html
- OCaml: http://caml.inria.fr/users_programs-eng.html

Summary and Some Notation

Learned the syntax, typing rules, and semantics for (a big) part of ML Can summarize abstract syntax with (E)BNF. Informally:

Things left out of this grammar: n-tuples, field-accessors, floating-point, boolean constants, and also/orelse, lists, ...

First-Class Functions

- Functions are values. (Variables in the environment are bound to them.)
- We can pass functions to other functions.
 - Factor common parts and abstract different parts.
- Most polymorphic functions take functions as arguments.
 - Non-example: fun f x = 42
- Some functions taking functions are polymorphic.

Type Inference and Polymorphism

ML can infer function types based on function bodies. Possibilities:

- The argument/result must be one specific type.
- The argument/result can be *any* type, but may have to be the *same type* as other parts of argument/result.
- Some hand-waving about "equality types"

We will study this *parametric polymorphism* more later.

Without it, ML would be a pain (e.g., a different list library for every list-element type).

Fascinating: If f:int->int, there are lots of values f could return. If f:'a->'a, whenever f returns, it returns its argument!

Anonymous Functions

As usual, we can write functions anywhere we write expressions.

• We already could:

```
(let fun f x = e in f end)
```

• Here is a more concise way (better style when possible):

$$(fn x \Rightarrow e)$$

• Cannot do this for recursive functions (why?)

Returning Functions

Syntax note: -> "associates to the right"

• t1->t2->t3 means t1->(t2->t3)

Again, there is nothing new here.

The key question: What about *free variables* in a function value? What *environment* do we use to *evaluate* them?

Are such free variables useful?

You must understand the answers to move beyond being a novice programmer.