
Where are we

- Done features: functions, tuples, lists, options, local bindings
- Done concepts: syntax vs. semantics, environments
- Today features: record types, datatypes, type synonyms, pattern-matching
- Today concepts: Mutation-free, “one-of” types, constructors/destructors, case-coverage

CSE 341: Programming Languages

Autumn 2005

Lecture 4 — Mutation; “one-of” types; user-defined types

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

1

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

2

You want to *change* something?

There is no way to *mutate* (assign to) a binding, pair component, or list element.

How could the *lack* of a feature make programming easier?

In this case:

- Amount of sharing is indistinguishable
 - Aliasing irrelevant to correctness!
- Bindings are invariant across function application
 - Mutation breaks compositional reasoning, a (the?) intellectual tool of engineering

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

3

Base types and compound types

Languages typically provide a small number of “built-in” types and ways to build compound types out of simpler ones:

- Base types examples: `int`, `bool`
- Type builder examples: tuples, lists, *records*

Base types *clutter* a language definition; better to make them *libraries* when possible.

- ML does this to a remarkable extent (e.g., we will soon define away `bool` and conditionals)

Good to let programmers bind types to type names, just like we bind values to variables.

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

4

Compound-type flavors

Conceptually, just a few ways to build compound types:

1. "Each-of": A `t` contains a `t1` and a `t2`
2. "One-of": A `t` contains a `t1` or a `t2`
3. "Self-reference": The definition of `t` may refer to `t`

Examples:

- `int * bool`
- `int option`
- `int list`

Remarkable: A lot of data can be described this way.

Convenient to think of as trees.

(optional) jargon: Product types, sum types, recursive types

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

5

Datatype

One-of types are less similar across languages

- We'll discuss OO's approach to one-of in a few weeks

In ML, we use make a *new type* with a datatype binding. e.g.:

```
datatype mytype = TwoInts of int*int
                | Str of string
                | Pizza
```

Semantics: Extend the environment with three *constructors* (in part, functions/constants that produce values of type `mytype`)

So we have a way to build them... what's missing?

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

7

User-defined types

There are many reasons to define your own types:

1. Using a tuple with 12 fields is incomprehensible
2. Writing down large types is unpleasant; we have computers for that
3. Large programs can use *abstract types* to be robust to change
 - A couple weeks ahead
4. So the language doesn't have to "bake in" lists and options and ...

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

6

The old way

For lists, we had a way to:

- Test which *variant* a value was
- Extract the values from *value-carrying* variants
 - Makes no sense if you have the *wrong* variant

What would this look like for `mytype`?

CSE 341 Autumn 2005, Lecture 4

8

The new way

Rather than add *variant-tests* and *variant-destructors* (non-standard jargon and nothing to do with C++ destructors), ML has a *case expression* that uses *pattern-matching*.

In its simplest form, case has one pattern for each constructor in a datatype and binds one variable for each value carried. Example:

```
case e of
  TwoInts(i1, i2) => e1
| Str s => e2
| Pizza => e3
```

What are the typing rules?

What are the evaluation rules?

Type-checking case

In addition to binding local variables and requiring branches to have the same type, the typing rules for case prevent some run-time errors:

- Exhaustiveness: No test can “fail” (a warning)
- Redundancy: No test can be “impossible” (an error)

So far, case gives us what we *need* to use datatypes:

- A (combined) way to test variants and extract values
- Powerful enough to define our own tests and destructors

In fact, pattern-matching is far more general and elegant:

- Can use it for datatypes already in the top-level environment
- Can use it for *any* type (later)
- Can have deep patterns (later)