## CSE 341: <br> Programming Languages

Autumn 2005<br>Lecture 5 - Type synonyms, more pattern-matching, accumulators

## Goals

- Contrast type synonyms with new types
- See pattern-matching for built-in "one of" types (not really a concept, but important for ML programming) and "each of" types
- Investigate why accumulator-style recursion can be more efficient


## Type synonyms

You can bind a type name to a type. Example:

```
type intpair = int * int
```

(We call something else a type variable.)
In ML, this creates a synonym, also known as a transparent type definition. Recursion not allowed.

So a type name is equivalent to its definition.
To contrast, the type a datatype binding introduces is not equivalent to any other type (until possibly a later type binding).

## Review: datatypes and pattern-matching

Evaluation rules for datatype bindings and case expressions:

$$
\text { datatype } t=C 1 \text { of } t 1 \mid C 2 \text { of } t 2|\ldots| C n \text { of } t n
$$

Adds constructors Ci where Ci v is a value (and Ci has type ti->t).

$$
\text { case e of p1 } \Rightarrow>\text { e1 | p2 } \Rightarrow>\text { e2 | ... | pn } \Rightarrow \text { en }
$$

- Evaluate e to v
- If pi is the first pattern to match v , then result is evaluation of ei in environment extended by the match.
- If C is a constructor of type $\mathrm{t} 1 * \ldots *$ tn $->\mathrm{t}$, then $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{x} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{xn})$ is a pattern that matches $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{v} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{vn})$ and the match extends the environment with x 1 to $\mathrm{v} 1 \ldots \mathrm{xn}$ to vn .
- Coming soon: many more pattern forms.


## Why patterns?

Even without more pattern forms, this design has advantages over functions for "testing and destructing" (e.g., null, hd, and tl):

- easier to check for missing and redundant cases
- more concise syntax by combining "test, destruct, and bind"
- you can easily define testing and destructing in terms of pattern-matching

In fact, case expressions are the preferred way to test variants and extract values from all ML's "one-of" types, including predefined ones ([] and :: just funny syntax).

So: Do not use functions hd, tl, null, isSome, valOf
Teaser: These functions are useful for passing as values

## Tuple/record patterns

You can also use patterns to extract fields from tuples and records:
pattern \{f1=x1, ..., fn=xn\} (or (x1,..., xn)) matches
$\{f 1=v 1, \ldots, f n=v n\}(o r(v 1, \ldots, v n))$.
For record-patterns, field-order does not matter.
This is better style than \#1 and \#foo, and it means you do not (ever) need to write function-argument types.

Instead of a case with one pattern, better style is a pattern directly in a val binding.

Next time: "deep" (i.e., nested) patterns.

## Recursion

You should now have the hang of recursion:

- It's no harder than using a loop (whatever that is)
- It's much easier when you have multiple recursive calls (e.g., with functions over ropes or trees)

But there are idioms you should learn for elegance, efficiency, and understandability.

Today: using an accumulator.

## Accumulator lessons

- Accumulators can avoid data-structure copying
- Accumulators can reduce the depth of recursive calls that are not tail calls
- Key idioms:
- Non-accumulator: compute recursive results and combine
- Accumulator: use recursive result as new accumulator
- The base case becomes the initial accumulator

You will use recursion in non-functional languages-this lesson still applies.

Let's investigate the evaluation of to_list_1 and to_list_2.

