CSE 341: Programming Languages

Spring 2005 Lecture 20 — Macros

CSE 341 Spring 2005, Lecture 20

Today

- What are macros and what do they mean?
 - Why do they have a bad reputation?
- Scheme's macro system and hygiene
 - Free variables in macros
 - Bound variables in macros
 - Why hygiene is usually what you want
- What macros are good and not good for

<u>Macros</u>

To oversimplify, a macro is just a rule for rewriting programs as a prepass to evaluation. So it's very syntactic.

The "level" at which macros are defined affects their usefulness.

- "Sublexical" e.g.: Replace car with hd turns cart into hdt.
 - Macro-expander should recognize program tokens.
- "Pre-parsing" e.g., in C/C++ :
 #define PI 3 + .14
 #define add(x,y) x + y
 r = add(5*a,b) * c;
 circumference = 2 * PI * r;
- "Pre-binding" e.g.: Replace car with hd would turn (let* ([hd 0] [car 1]) hd) into (let* ([hd 0] [hd 1]) hd).
 - Few macro systems let bindings shadow macros; Scheme does

The bad news

- Macros are very hard to use well.
- Most macro systems are so impoverished they make it harder.
- Actual uses of macros often used to ameliorate shortcomings in the underlying language.

But:

- Macros have some good uses
- Scheme has a very sensible, integrated macro system
- So let's "do macros justice" for the day.

Hygiene

- A "hygienic" macro system:
 - Gives fresh names to local variables in macros *at each use* of the macro
 - Binds free variables in macros *where the macro is defined*

Without hygiene, macro programmers:

- Get very creative with local-variable names
- Get creative with helper-function names too
- Try to avoid local variables, which conflicts with predictable effects

Hygiene is a big idea for macros, but sometimes is not what you want. Note: Letting variables shadow macros is also useful, but a separate issue.

Why macros

Non-reasons:

- Anything where an ordinary binding would work just as well.
- Including manual control of inlining.

Reasons:

- Cosmetics
- "Compiling" a domain-specific language
 - But error messages a tough issue
- Changing evaluation-order rules
 - Function application will not do here
- Introducing binding constructs
 - A function here makes no sense