# CSE 341: <br> Programming Languages 

Dan Grossman<br>Winter 2008<br>Lecture 27- Course Wrap-Up

## Goals for today

- (Discuss mark-sweep garbage collection from last time)
- Describe some things we didn't get to
- Not on the final
- Review key concepts/principles we did do and put them in context


## If we had 1 more OO lecture

```
class C {
    void m(A a, B b);
    void m(E e, F f);
    void m(F f, E e);
}
```

How to resolve a call e0.m(e1,e2).

- Static overloading: Use the (compile-time) type of e1 and e2.
- Multimethods: Use the (run-time) class of what e1 and e2 evaluate to.

Java/C ++ have static overloading.
Both semantics can have "no best match" errors since there may be multiple methods that "match" but using different subsumptions.

## What else?

Are all programming languages imperative, OO, or FP? No.

- Logic languages (e.g., Prolog)
- Scripting languages (Perl, Python, Ruby (as typically used))
- Query languages (SQL)
- Purely functional languages (no ref or set!)
- Visual languages, spreadsheet languages, GUI-builders, text-formatters, hardware-synthesis, ...
- And most languages now have support for parallel programming

```
Prolog in one example
append(nil, Lst2, Lst2).
append(cons(Hd,Tl), Lst2, cons(Hd,Tl2)) :=
        append(T1, Lst2, Tl2).
append(cons(1, cons(2, nil)), cons(3, cons(4, nil)), X)
% X = cons(1,cons(2,cons(3,cons(4,nil))))
append(cons(1, nil), cons(2,nil), cons(1, cons(2, nil)))
% yes
append(nil, cons(2,nil), cons(1, cons(2, nil)))
% no
append(cons(Hd,nil), Y, cons(1, cons(2, cons(3, nil))) )
% Hd = 1 Y = cons(2,cons(3,nil))
```


## Prolog key ideas

- A program is a set of declarative proof rules.
- Operationally, it's like a function that doesn't distinguish inputs from outputs.
- The implementation searches for the minimal constraints necessary for a formula to be true.
- Different "queries" can run "forward" or "backward"
- This is Turing-complete; killer app is inherently search-oriented tasks, which are common in AI.


## Scripting Languages

Few "new" language constructs, but convenience for some quick-and-dirty programs.

- File-system access very lightweight
- Lots of support for string-processing via regular expressions (a different "pattern-matching")
- Tend to have very few "errors" (array resizing, implicit variable declaration, etc.)

Opinion:

- A fine tool for small tasks
- They tend to hide bugs rather than prevent them
- But you should learn to automate repetitive tasks!


## Query Languages

Canonical example: Suppose there's a big database and many people need data from it. We could make lots of copies or let people submit queries.

Key idea: Move the code to the data, not the data to the code.
Interestingly: We do not necessarily want the query language to be as powerful as a Turing-machine!

SQL was carefully designed so every query terminates.

## Purely Functional Languages

Example: Haskell
To make life without refs palatable, the default is "lazy" (call-by-need) evaluation.

One-line example: let ones = 1::ones
Laziness can lead to elegant programming and really increases the number of equivalent programs. In Haskell, (f x) + (f x) and (f x) * 2 are contextually equivalent, always.

- Haskell does have monads, which allow a more imperative style.
- The implementation of laziness uses mutation, but in a controlled way (we did this in Scheme).


## Parallelism

(As now discussed in $303 / 451$, but it's a PL topic also), sometimes you want multiple call stacks:

- For performance (especially with multicore)
- For structuring an application

The key questions are how to thread communicate and how do they synchronize.

Easily a course in itself to learn different parallel programming models.

## But we still did a lot

A thorough understanding of higher-order programming, variable scope, semantics of FP and OO, important idioms, static typing, ... Oh, and you learned a healthy amount of 3 new languages.

Hopefully:

- The time you need to "pick up" a language will drop dramatically (though you have to learn big libraries too)
- You will use mutation for what it's good for and not to create brittle programs with lots of unseen dependencies
- Understand syntax matters, but it's not that interesting
- Apply idioms in languages other than where you learned them
- Recognize language-design is hard and semantics should not be treated lightly.


## Top 12 Concepts?

1. Code evaluates in environments - scope/resolution matters
2. Recursive data is processed with recursive functions
3. Without mutation, copying vs. aliasing is indistinguishable
4. Closures have many powerful uses.
5. Each-of vs. one-of
6. (Dis)Advantages of static typing - (and what is checked)
7. When evaluation occurs is important (see thunking/macros)
8. OO vs. FP: many similarities and a couple big differences
9. Parametric polymorphism vs. subtyping
10. Function-argument subtyping is contravariant
11. Can embed a language in another via constructors and interpreters
12. Languages themselves are rich recursive definitions

## Context

In most courses and jobs, a programming language is just a means to an end (and only one of many means).

This course was perhaps your one chance to study languages as designs that are themselves fascinating, beautiful, and sometimes awkward

- And there's much more to learn (441?)

I believe this makes you a better programmer, even if the rest of your life is spent in Java and C (which it won't be)

