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- Alternate ways of identifying real-world things/people

```
datatype id = StudentNum of int
    | Name of string
                            * (string option)
                            * string
* (string option)
* string
```

Let's fix the fact that our only example datatype so far was silly...

- Enumerations, including carrying other data

```
datatype suit = Club | Diamond | Heart | Spade
datatype card value = Jack | Queen | King
    | Ace | Num of int
```

Unfortunately, bad training and languages that make one-of types inconvenient lead to common bad style where each-of types are used where one-of types are the right tool

```
(* use the studen_num and ignore other
    fields unless the student_num is ~1 *)
{ student_num : int,
    first : string,
    middle : string option,
    last : string }
```

- Approach gives up all the benefits of the language enforcing every value is one variant, you don't forget branches, etc.
- And makes it less clear what you are doing


## Don't do this

## Expression Trees

A more exciting (?) example of a datatype, using self-reference

| datatype exp $=$ Constant of int |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | \| Negate of exp * exp |
|  | Add of exp * |
|  | Multiply of exp * exp |

An expression in ML of type exp:
Add (Constant ( $10+9$ ), Negate (Constant 4))
How to picture the resulting value in your head:
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## Putting it together

datatype exp $=$ Constant of int
Negate of exp
| Add $\quad$ of exp exp
| Multiply of exp * exp

Let's define max_constant : exp -> int
Good example of combining several topics as we program:

- Case expressions
- Local helper functions
- Avoiding repeated recursion
- Simpler solution by using library functions

See the . sml file...

## Datatype bindings

```
datatype t = C1 of t1 | C2 of t2 | ... | Cn of tn
```

Adds type $t$ and constructors Ci of type ti->t

- Ci v is a value, i.e., the result "includes the tag"

Omit "of $t$ " for constructors that are just tags, no underlying data

- Such a Ci is a value of type $t$

Given an expression of type $t$, use case expressions to:

- See which variant (tag) it has
- Extract underlying data once you know which variant


## Recursive datatypes

Datatype bindings can describe recursive structures

- Have seen arithmetic expressions
- Now, linked lists:

```
datatype my_int_list = Empty
    | Cons of int * my_int_list
val x = Cons(4,Cons(23,Cons(2008,Empty)))
fun append_my_list (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
        Empty => ys
        | Cons(x,xs') => Cons(x, append_my_list(x\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime},ys))
```


## Datatype bindings

```
case e of p1 => e1 | p2 => e2 | ... | pn => en
```

- As usual, can use a case expressions anywhere an expression goes
- Does not need to be whole function body, but often is
- Evaluate e to a value, call it v
- If pi is the first pattern to match $\mathbf{v}$, then result is evaluation of ei in environment "extended by the match"
- Pattern Ci(x1,..., xn) matches value Ci(v1,...,vn) and extends the environment with $\mathbf{x} 1$ to $\mathrm{v} 1 \ldots \mathrm{xn}$ to vn
- For "no data" constructors, pattern Ci matches value Ci
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## Options are datatypes

Options are just a predefined datatype binding

- nONE and SOME are constructors, not just functions
- So use pattern-matching not isSome and valof

```
fun inc or zero intoption =
    case intoption of
            NONE => 0
            SOME i => i+1
```


## Lists are datatypes

Do not use hd, tl, or null either

- [] and : : are constructors too
- (strange syntax, particularly infix)

```
fun sum_list xs =
    case xs of
            [] => 0
            | x::xs' => x + sum_list xs'
fun append (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
            [] => ys
            | x::xs' => x :: append(xs' ,ys)
```


## Excitement ahead...

Learn some deep truths about "what is really going on"

- Using much more syntactic sugar than we realized
- Every val-binding and function-binding uses pattern-matching
- Every function in ML takes exactly one argument

First need to extend our definition of pattern-matching...

## Why pattern-matching

- Pattern-matching is better for options and lists for the same reasons as for all datatypes
- No missing cases, no exceptions for wrong variant, etc.
- We just learned the other way first for pedagogy
- Do not use isSome, valof, null, hd, tl on Homework 2
- So why are null, tl, etc. predefined?
- For passing as arguments to other functions (next week)
- Because sometimes they are convenient
- But not a big deal: could define them yourself
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## Each-of types

So far have used pattern-matching for one of types because we needed a way to access the values

Pattern matching also works for records and tuples:

- The pattern ( $\mathrm{x} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{xn}$ )
matches the tuple value (v1,...,vn)
- The pattern $\{\mathrm{f} 1=\mathrm{x} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{fn}=\mathrm{xn}\}$ matches the record value $\{f 1=\mathrm{v} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{fn}=\mathrm{vn}\}$ (and fields can be reordered)


## Example

This is poor style, but based on what I told you so far, the only way to use patterns

- Works but poor style to have one-branch cases

```
fun sum_triple triple =
    case triple of
            (x,y,z) => x + y + z
fun full_name r =
    case r of
        {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =>
                x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
```


## Better example

This is okay style

- Though we will improve it again next
- Semantically identical to one-branch case expressions

```
fun sum_triple triple =
        let val (x, y, z) = triple
        in
        x + y + z
    end
fun full_name r =
    let vāl {first=x, middle=y, last=z} = r
    in
        x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
    end
```


## A new way to go

- For Homework 2:
- Do not use the \# character
- Do not need to write down any explicit types


## Hmm

A function that takes one triple of type int*int*int and returns an int that is their sum:

```
fun sum_triple (x, y, z) =
    x + y + z
```

A function that takes three int arguments and returns an int that is their sum

```
fun sum_triple (x, y, z) =
    x + y + z
```

See the difference? (Me neither.) ©
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## The truth about functions

- In ML, every function takes exactly one argument (*)
- What we call multi-argument functions are just functions taking one tuple argument, implemented with a tuple pattern in the function binding
- Elegant and flexible language design
- Enables cute and useful things you cannot do in Java, e.g.,

```
fun rotate left (x, y, z) = (y, z, x)
fun rotate_right t = rotate_left(rotate_left t)
```

* "Zero arguments" is the unit pattern () matching the unit value ()

