



CSE341: Programming Languages Lecture 5 More Datatypes and Pattern-Matching

Dan Grossman Spring 2016

Useful examples

Let's fix the fact that our only example datatype so far was silly...

· Enumerations, including carrying other data

Alternate ways of identifying real-world things/people

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Don't do this

Unfortunately, bad training and languages that make one-of types inconvenient lead to common *bad style* where each-of types are used where one-of types are the right tool

```
(* use the studen_num and ignore other
  fields unless the student_num is ~1 *)
{ student_num : int,
  first : string,
  middle : string option,
  last : string }
```

- Approach gives up all the benefits of the language enforcing every value is one variant, you don't forget branches, etc.
- And makes it less clear what you are doing

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

That said...

But if instead the point is that every "person" in your program has a name and maybe a student number, then each-of is the way to go:

```
{ student_num : int option,
first : string,
middle : string option,
last : string }
```

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Expression Trees

A more exciting (?) example of a datatype, using self-reference

```
datatype exp = Constant of int

| Negate of exp
| Add of exp * exp
| Multiply of exp * exp
```

An expression in ML of type exp:

```
Add (Constant (10+9), Negate (Constant 4))
```

How to picture the resulting value in your head:

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Recursion

Not surprising:

Functions over recursive datatypes are usually recursive

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Putting it together

```
datatype exp = Constant of int
| Negate of exp
| Add of exp * exp
| Multiply of exp * exp
```

Let's define max_constant : exp -> int

Good example of combining several topics as we program:

- Case expressions
- Local helper functions
- Avoiding repeated recursion
- Simpler solution by using library functions

See the .sml file...

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Careful definitions

When a language construct is "new and strange," there is *more* reason to define the evaluation rules precisely...

... so let's review datatype bindings and case expressions "so far"

- Extensions to come but won't invalidate the "so far"

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Datatype bindings

```
datatype t = C1 of t1 | C2 of t2 | \dots | Cn of tn
```

Adds type t and constructors Ci of type ti->t

Ci v is a value, i.e., the result "includes the tag"

Omit "of t" for constructors that are just tags, no underlying data

- Such a Ci is a value of type t

Given an expression of type t, use case expressions to:

- See which variant (tag) it has
- Extract underlying data once you know which variant

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Datatype bindings

```
case e of p1 => e1 | p2 => e2 | ... | pn => en
```

- As usual, can use a case expressions anywhere an expression goes
 - Does not need to be whole function body, but often is
- Evaluate e to a value, call it v
- If pi is the first pattern to match v, then result is evaluation of ei in environment "extended by the match"
- Pattern Ci (x1,...,xn) matches value Ci (v1,...,vn) and extends the environment with x1 to v1 ... xn to vn

10

- For "no data" constructors, pattern Ci matches value Ci

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Recursive datatypes

Datatype bindings can describe recursive structures

- Have seen arithmetic expressions
- Now. linked lists:

Options are datatypes

Options are just a predefined datatype binding

- NONE and SOME are constructors, not just functions
- So use pattern-matching not ${\tt isSome}$ and ${\tt valOf}$

fun inc_or_zero intoption =
 case intoption of
 NONE => 0
 | SOME i => i+1

 Spring 2016
 CSE341: Programming Languages
 11
 Spring 2016
 CSE341: Programming Languages
 12

Lists are datatypes

Do not use hd, tl, or null either

- [] and :: are constructors too
- (strange syntax, particularly infix)

```
fun sum_list xs =
    case xs of
      [] => 0
      | x::xs' => x + sum_list xs'

fun append (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
      [] => ys
      | x::xs' => x :: append (xs',ys)
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Why pattern-matching

- Pattern-matching is better for options and lists for the same reasons as for all datatypes
 - No missing cases, no exceptions for wrong variant, etc.
- · We just learned the other way first for pedagogy
 - Do not use isSome, valOf, null, hd, tl on Homework 2
- So why are null, tl, etc. predefined?
 - For passing as arguments to other functions (next week)
 - Because sometimes they are convenient
 - But not a big deal: could define them yourself

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

14

16

Excitement ahead...

Learn some deep truths about "what is really going on"

- Using much more syntactic sugar than we realized
- · Every val-binding and function-binding uses pattern-matching
- · Every function in ML takes exactly one argument

First need to extend our definition of pattern-matching...

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

Each-of types

So far have used pattern-matching for one of types because we needed a way to access the values

Pattern matching also works for records and tuples:

- The pattern (x1,...,xn)matches the tuple value (v1,...,vn)
- The pattern {f1=x1, ..., fn=xn} matches the record value {f1=v1, ..., fn=vn} (and fields can be reordered)

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example

This is poor style, but based on what I told you so far, the only way to use patterns

- Works but poor style to have one-branch cases

```
fun sum_triple triple =
  case triple of
    (x, y, z) => x + y + z

fun full_name r =
  case r of
    {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =>
        x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
```

Val-binding patterns

- New feature: A val-binding can use a pattern, not just a variable
 - (Turns out variables are just one kind of pattern, so we just told you a half-truth in Lecture 1)

val p = e

- · Great for getting (all) pieces out of an each-of type
 - Can also get only parts out (not shown here)
- · Usually poor style to put a constructor pattern in a val-binding
 - Tests for the one variant and raises an exception if a different one is there (like hd, tl, and valof)

Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages 17 Spring 2016 CSE341: Programming Languages

Better example

This is okay style

- Though we will improve it again next
- Semantically identical to one-branch case expressions

```
fun sum_triple triple =
   let val (x, y, z) = triple
   in
        x + y + z
   end

fun full_name r =
   let val {first=x, middle=y, last=z} = r
   in
        x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
   end
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Function-argument patterns

A function argument can also be a pattern

- Match against the argument in a function call

```
fun f p = e
```

Examples (great style!):

```
fun sum_triple (x, y, z) =
    x + y + z

fun full_name {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =
    x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
```

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

A new way to go

- · For Homework 2:
 - Do not use the # character
 - Do not need to write down any explicit types

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

Hmm

A function that takes one triple of type int*int*int and returns an int that is their sum:

fun sum_triple
$$(x, y, z) = x + y + z$$

A function that takes three int arguments and returns an int that is their sum

See the difference? (Me neither.) ©

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

The truth about functions

- In ML, every function takes exactly one argument (*)
- What we call multi-argument functions are just functions taking one tuple argument, implemented with a tuple pattern in the function binding
 - Elegant and flexible language design
- · Enables cute and useful things you cannot do in Java, e.g.,

```
fun rotate_left (x, y, z) = (y, z, x)
fun rotate_right t = rotate_left (rotate_left t)
```

* "Zero arguments" is the unit pattern () matching the unit value ()

Spring 2016

CSE341: Programming Languages

23

21

22

20