

CSE341: Programming Languages
Lecture 14
Thunks, Laziness, Streams, Memoization

Dan Grossman
Autumn 2017

Delayed evaluation

For each language construct, the semantics specifies when subexpressions get evaluated. In ML, Racket, Java, C:

- Function arguments are *eager* (call-by-value)
 - Evaluated once before calling the function
- Conditional branches are not eager

It matters: calling `factorial-bad` never terminates:

```
(define (my-if-bad x y z)
  (if x y z))

(define (factorial-bad n)
  (my-if-bad (= n 0)
             1
             (* n (factorial-bad (- n 1)))))
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

2

Thunks delay

We know how to delay evaluation: put expression in a function!

- Thanks to closures, can use all the same variables later

A zero-argument function used to delay evaluation is called a *thunk*

- As a verb: *thunk the expression*

This works (but it is silly to wrap `if` like this):

```
(define (my-if x y z)
  (if x (y) (z)))

(define (fact n)
  (my-if (= n 0)
        (lambda() 1)
        (lambda() (* n (fact (- n 1))))))
```

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

The key point

- Evaluate an expression `e` to get a result:

```
e
```

- A function that *when called*, evaluates `e` and returns result
 - Zero-argument function for “thunking”

```
(lambda () e)
```

- Evaluate `e` to some thunk and then call the thunk

```
(e)
```

- Next: Powerful idioms related to delaying evaluation and/or avoided repeated or unnecessary computations
 - Some idioms also use mutation in encapsulated ways

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

4

Avoiding expensive computations

Thunks let you skip expensive computations if they are not needed

Great if take the true-branch:

```
(define (f th)
  (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...)))
```

But worse if you end up using the thunk more than once:

```
(define (f th)
  (... (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))
       (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))
       ...
       (if (...) 0 (... (th) ...))))
```

In general, might not know many times a result is needed

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

5

Best of both worlds

Assuming some expensive computation has no side effects, ideally we would:

- Not compute it *until needed*
- *Remember the answer* so future uses complete immediately

Called *lazy evaluation*

Languages where most constructs, including function arguments, work this way are *lazy languages*

- Haskell

Racket predefines support for *promises*, but we can make our own

- Thunks and mutable pairs are enough

Autumn 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

6

Delay and force

```
(define (my-delay th)
  (mcons #f th))

(define (my-force p)
  (if (mcar p)
      (mcdr p)
      (begin (set-mcar! p #t)
              (set-mcdr! p ((mcdr p)))
              (mcdr p))))
```

An ADT represented by a mutable pair

- `#f` in `car` means `cdr` is unevaluated thunk
 - Really a one-of type: thunk or result-of-thunk
- Ideally hide representation in a module

Using promises

```
(define (f p)
  (... (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))
        (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))
        ...
        (if (...) 0 (... (my-force p) ...))))
```

```
(f (my-delay (lambda () e)))
```

Lessons From Example

See code file for example that does multiplication using a very slow addition helper function

- With thunking second argument:
 - *Great* if first argument 0
 - *Okay* if first argument 1
 - *Worse* otherwise
- With precomputing second argument:
 - *Okay* in all cases
- With thunk that uses a promise for second argument:
 - *Great* if first argument 0
 - *Okay* otherwise

Streams

- A stream is an *infinite sequence* of values
 - So cannot make a stream by making all the values
 - Key idea: Use a thunk to delay creating most of the sequence
 - Just a programming idiom

A powerful concept for division of labor:

- Stream producer knows how to create any number of values
- Stream consumer decides how many values to ask for

Some examples of streams you might (not) be familiar with:

- User actions (mouse clicks, etc.)
- UNIX pipes: `cmd1 | cmd2` has `cmd2` “pull” data from `cmd1`
- Output values from a sequential feedback circuit

Using streams

We will represent streams using pairs and thunks

Let a stream be a thunk that *when called* returns a pair:

```
'(next-answer . next-thunk)
```

So given a stream `s`, the client can get any number of elements

- First: `(car (s))`
 - Second: `(car ((cdr (s))))`
 - Third: `(car ((cdr ((cdr (s))))))`
- (Usually bind `(cdr (s))` to a variable or pass to a recursive function)

Example using streams

This function returns how many stream elements it takes to find one for which `tester` does not return `#f`

- Happens to be written with a tail-recursive helper function

```
(define (number-until stream tester)
  (letrec ([f (lambda (stream ans)
                (let ([pr (stream)])
                  (if (tester (car pr))
                      ans
                      (f (cdr pr) (+ ans 1))))))]
    (f stream 1)))
```

- `(stream)` generates the pair
- So recursively pass `(cdr pr)`, the thunk for the rest of the infinite sequence

Streams

Coding up a stream in your program is easy

- We will do functional streams using pairs and thunks

Let a stream be a thunk that *when called* returns a pair:

```
'(next-answer . next-thunk)
```

Saw how to use them, now how to make them...

- Admittedly mind-bending, but uses what we know

Making streams

- How can one think create the right next think? Recursion!
 - Make a think that produces a pair where cdr is next think
 - A recursive function can return a think where recursive call does not happen until think is called

```
(define ones (lambda () (cons 1 ones)))  
  
(define nats  
  (letrec ([f (lambda (x)  
                (cons x (lambda () (f (+ x 1)))))]  
    (lambda () (f 1))))  
  
(define powers-of-two  
  (letrec ([f (lambda (x)  
                (cons x (lambda () (f (* x 2)))))]  
    (lambda () (f 2))))
```

Getting it wrong

- This uses a variable before it is defined

```
(define ones-really-bad (cons 1 ones-really-bad))
```

- This goes into an infinite loop making an infinite-length list

```
(define ones-bad (lambda () cons 1 (ones-bad)))  
(define (ones-bad) (cons 1 (ones-bad)))
```

- This is a stream: think that returns a pair with cdr a thunk

```
(define ones (lambda () (cons 1 ones)))  
(define (ones) (cons 1 ones))
```

Memoization

- If a function has no side effects and does not read mutable memory, no point in computing it twice for the same arguments
 - Can keep a *cache* of previous results
 - Net win if (1) maintaining cache is cheaper than recomputing and (2) cached results are reused
- Similar to promises, but if the function takes arguments, then there are multiple “previous results”
- For recursive functions, this *memoization* can lead to *exponentially* faster programs
 - Related to algorithmic technique of dynamic programming

How to do memoization: see example

- Need a (mutable) cache that all calls using the cache share
 - So must be defined *outside* the function(s) using it
- See code for an example with Fibonacci numbers
 - Good demonstration of the idea because it is short, but, as shown in the code, there are also easier less-general ways to make `fibonacci` efficient
 - (An association list (list of pairs) is a simple but sub-optimal data structure for a cache; okay for our example)

assoc

- Example uses `assoc`, which is just a library function you could look up in the Racket reference manual:

(`assoc v lst`) takes a list of pairs and locates the first element of `lst` whose car is equal to `v` according to `is-equal?`. If such an element exists, the pair (i.e., an element of `lst`) is returned. Otherwise, the result is `#f`.
- Returns `#f` for not found to distinguish from finding a pair with `#f` in cdr