



CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 20
Arrays and Such,
Blocks and Procs,
Inheritance and Overriding

Dan Grossman Spring 2017

This lecture

Three mostly separate topics

- · Flexible arrays, ranges, and hashes [actually covered in section]
- Ruby's approach to almost-closures (blocks) and closures (Procs)
 - [partially discussed in section as well]
 - Convenient to use; unusual approach
 - Used throughout large standard library
 - · Explicit loops rare
 - · Instead of a loop, go find a useful iterator
- · Subclasses, inheritance, and overriding
 - The essence of OOP, now in a more dynamic language

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

_

Ruby Arrays

- Lots of special syntax and many provided methods for the Array class
- · Can hold any number of other objects, indexed by number
 - Get via a[i]
 - Set via a[i] = e
- · Compared to arrays in many other languages
 - More flexible and dynamic
 - Fewer operations are errors
 - Less efficient
- "The standard collection" (like lists were in ML and Racket)

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

3

Using Arrays

- · See many examples, some demonstrated here
- · Consult the documentation/tutorials
 - If seems sensible and general, probably a method for it
- · Arrays make good tuples, lists, stacks, queues, sets, ...
- · Iterating over arrays typically done with methods taking blocks
 - Next topic...

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Blocks

Blocks are probably Ruby's strangest feature compared to other PLs

But almost just closures

- Normal: easy way to pass anonymous functions to methods for all the usual reasons
- Normal: Blocks can take 0 or more arguments
- Normal: Blocks use lexical scope: block body uses environment where block was defined

Examples:

```
3.times { puts "hi" }
[4,6,8].each { puts "hi" }
i = 7
[4,6,8].each {|x| if i > x then puts (x+1) end }
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Some strange things

- · Can pass 0 or 1 block with any message
 - Callee might ignore it
 - Callee might give an error if you do not send one
 - Callee might do different things if you do/don't send one
 - Also number-of-block-arguments can matter
- Just put the block "next to" the "other" arguments (if any)
 - Syntax: {e}, {|x| e}, {|x,y| e}, etc. (plus variations)
 - Can also replace { and } with do and end
 - Often preferred for blocks > 1 line

Spring 2017 CSE341: Programming Languages

Blocks everywhere

- Rampant use of great block-taking methods in standard libraray
- · Ruby has loops but very rarely used
 - Can write (0..i).each {|j| e}, but often better options
- Examples (consult documentation for many more)

```
a = Array.new(5) {|i| 4*(i+1)}
a.each { puts "hi" }
a.each {|x| puts (x * 2) }
a.map {|x| x * 2 } #synonym: collect
a.any? {|x| x > 7 }
a.all? {|x| x > 7 }
a.inject(0) {|acc,elt| acc+elt }
a.select {|x| x > 7 } #non-synonym: filter
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

7

More strangeness

- · Callee does not give a name to the (potential) block argument
- Instead, just calls it with yield or yield (args)
 - Silly example:

```
def silly a
   (yield a) + (yield 42)
end
x.silly 5 { |b| b*2 }
end
```

- See code for slightly less silly example
- Can ask block_given? but often just assume a block is given or that a block's presence is implied by other arguments

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

0

Blocks are "second-class"

All a method can do with a block is yield to it

- Cannot return it, store it in an object (e.g., for a callback), ...
- But can also turn blocks into real closures
- Closures are instances of class Proc
 - · Called with method call

This is Ruby, so there are several ways to make Proc objects ©

 One way: method lambda of Object takes a block and returns the corresponding Proc

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example

$$a = [3,5,7,9]$$

· Blocks are fine for applying to array elements

```
b = a.map {|x| x+1 }
i = b.count {|x| x>=6 }
```

- But for an array of closures, need Proc objects
 - More common use is callbacks

```
c = a.map {|x| lambda {|y| x>=y}}
c[2].call 17
j = c.count {|x| x.call(5) }
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

Moral

- First-class ("can be passed/stored anywhere") makes closures more powerful than blocks
- But blocks are (a little) more convenient and cover most uses
- This helps us understand what first-class means
- Language design question: When is convenience worth making something less general and powerful?

More collections

- Hashes like arrays but:
 - Keys can be anything; strings and symbols common
 - No natural ordering like numeric indices
 - Different syntax to make them

Like a dynamic record with anything for field names

- Often pass a hash rather than many arguments
- · Ranges like arrays of contiguous numbers but:
 - More efficiently represented, so large ranges fine

Good style to:

- Use ranges when you can
- Use hashes when non-numeric keys better represent data

Spring 2017

11

CSE341: Programming Languages

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

12

Similar methods

- · Arrays, hashes, and ranges all have some methods other don't
 - E.g., keys and values
- But also have many of the same methods, particularly iterators
 - Great for duck typing
 - Example

```
def foo a
   a.count {|x| x*x < 50}
end

foo [3,5,7,9]
foo (3..9)</pre>
```

Once again separating "how to iterate" from "what to do"

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

15

17

Spring 2017

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Not unlike you have seen in Java, but worth studying from PL

perspective and in a more dynamic language

16

Subclassing

A class definition has a superclass (Object if not specified)

```
class ColorPoint < Point ...
```

- · The superclass affects the class definition:
 - Class inherits all method definitions from superclass
 - But class can override method definitions as desired
- Unlike Java/C#/C++:
 - No such thing as "inheriting fields" since all objects create instance variables by assigning to them
 - Subclassing has nothing to do with a (non-existent) type system: can still (try to) call any method on any object

Spring 2017 CSE341: Programming Languages

Example (to be continued)

Next major topic

- The essence of OOP

· Subclasses, inheritance, and overriding

```
class Point
  attr_accessor :x, :y
  def initialize(x,y)
    0x = x
   @y = y
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    # direct field access
   Math.sqrt(@x*@x
              + (y*(y))
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    # use getters
   Math.sqrt(x*x
              + y*y)
  end
end
```

```
class ColorPoint < Point
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c)
    super(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
end</pre>
```

CSE341: Programming Languages

An object has a class

```
p = Point.new(0,0)
cp = ColorPoint.new(0,0,"red")
p.class
                                 # Point
p.class.superclass
                                 # Object
cp.class
                                 # ColorPoint
cp.class.superclass
                                 # Point
cp.class.superclass.superclass
                                 # Object
cp.is a? Point
                                 # true
cp.instance of? Point
                                 # false
cp.is a? ColorPoint
                                 # true
cp.instance of? ColorPoint
                                 # true
```

- · Using these methods is usually non-OOP style
 - Disallows other things that "act like a duck"
 - Nonetheless semantics is that an instance of ColorPoint
 "is a" Point but is not an "instance of" Point
 - [Java note: instanceof is like Ruby's is a?]

Spring 2017 CSE341: Programming Languages

Example continued

Consider alternatives to:

```
class ColorPoint < Point
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c)
    super(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
end</pre>
```

 Here subclassing is a good choice, but programmers often overuse subclassing in OOP languages

Spring 2017 CSE341: Programming Languages 18

Why subclass

- · Instead of creating ColorPoint, could add methods to Point
 - That could mess up other users and subclassers of Point

```
class Point
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    @x = x
    @y = y
    @color = c
  end
end
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

19

21

Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing Point, could copy/paste the methods
 - Means the same thing if you don't use methods like is_a?
 and superclass, but of course code reuse is nice

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_accessor :x, :y, :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    ...
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    Math.sqrt(@x*@x + @y*@y)
  end
  def distFromOrigin2
    Math.sqrt(x*x + y*y)
  end
end
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Why subclass

- Instead of subclassing Point, could use a Point instance variable
 - Define methods to send same message to the Point
 - Often OOP programmers overuse subclassing
 - But for ColorPoint, subclassing makes sense: less work and can use a ColorPoint wherever code expects a Point

```
class ColorPoint
  attr_accessor :color
  def initialize(x,y,c="clear")
    @pt = Point.new(x,y)
    @color = c
  end
  def x
    @pt.x
  end
  ... # similar "forwarding" methods
    # for y, x=, y=
end
```

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

Overriding

- ThreeDPoint is more interesting than ColorPoint because it overrides distFromOrigin and distFromOrigin2
 - Gets code reuse, but highly disputable if it is appropriate to say a ThreeDPoint "is a" Point
 - Still just avoiding copy/paste

So far...

- · With examples so far, objects are not so different from closures
 - Multiple methods rather than just "call me"
 - Explicit instance variables rather than environment where function is defined
 - Inheritance avoids helper functions or code copying
 - "Simple" overriding just replaces methods
- But there is one big difference:

Overriding can make a method defined in the superclass call a method in the subclass

- The essential difference of OOP, studied carefully next lecture

Example: Equivalent except constructor

```
class PolarPoint < Point
  def initialize(r,theta)
    @r = r
    @theta = theta
  end
  def x
    @r * Math.cos(@theta)
  end
  def y
    @r * Math.sin(@theta)
  end
  def distFromOrigin
    @r
  end
...
end</pre>
```

- Also need to define **x**= and **y**= (see code file)
- Key punchline:
 distFromOrigin2, defined
 in Point, "already works"

```
def distFromOrigin2
   Math.sqrt(x*x+y*y)
end
```

 Why: calls to self are resolved in terms of the object's class

Spring 2017

23

CSE341: Programming Languages

Spring 2017

CSE341: Programming Languages

24