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## Useful examples

Let's fix the fact that our only example datatype so far was silly...

- Enumerations, including carrying other data

```
datatype suit = Club | Diamond | Heart | Spade
datatype card_value = Jack | Queen | King
    | Ace | Num of int
```

- Alternate ways of identifying real-world things/people

```
datatype id = StudentNum of int
    | Name of string
                                * (string option)
                                * string
```


## Don't do this

Unfortunately, bad training and languages that make one-of types inconvenient lead to common bad style where each-of types are used where one-of types are the right tool

```
(* use the studen_num and ignore other
    fields unless the student_num is ~1 *)
{ student_num : int,
    first : string,
    middle : string option,
    last : string }
```

- Approach gives up all the benefits of the language enforcing every value is one variant, you don't forget branches, etc.
- And makes it less clear what you are doing


## That said...

But if instead the point is that every "person" in your program has a name and maybe a student number, then each-of is the way to go:

```
{ student_num : int option,
    first : string,
    middle : string option,
    last : string }
```


## Expression Trees

A more exciting (?) example of a datatype, using self-reference

```
datatype exp = Constant of int
    | Negate of exp
    | Add of exp * exp
    | Multiply of exp * exp
```

An expression in ML of type exp:
Add (Constant (10+9), Negate (Constant 4))
How to picture the resulting value in your head:


## Recursion

Not surprising:
Functions over recursive datatypes are usually recursive

```
fun eval e =
    case e of
            Constant i => i
            | Negate e2 => ~ (eval e2)
            | Add(e1,e2) => (eval e1) + (eval e2)
| Multiply(e1,e2) => (eval e1) * (eval e2)
```


## Putting it together

```
datatype exp = Constant of int
    | Negate of exp
    | Add of exp * exp
    | Multiply of exp * exp
```

Let's define max_constant : exp -> int

Good example of combining several topics as we program:

- Case expressions
- Local helper functions
- Avoiding repeated recursion
- Simpler solution by using library functions

See the .sml file...

## Careful definitions

When a language construct is "new and strange," there is more reason to define the evaluation rules precisely...
... so let's review datatype bindings and case expressions "so far"

- Extensions to come but won't invalidate the "so far"


## Datatype bindings

datatype $t=C 1$ of $t 1 \mid C 2$ of $t 2|\ldots| C n$ of $t n$

Adds type $t$ and constructors Ci of type ti->t

- Ci v is a value, i.e., the result "includes the tag"

Omit "of t" for constructors that are just tags, no underlying data

- Such a Ci is a value of type $t$

Given an expression of type $t$, use case expressions to:

- See which variant (tag) it has
- Extract underlying data once you know which variant


## Datatype bindings

$$
\text { case e of p1 => e1 | p2 => e2 | ... | pn } \Rightarrow>\text { en }
$$

- As usual, can use a case expressions anywhere an expression goes
- Does not need to be whole function body, but often is
- Evaluate e to a value, call it v
- If pi is the first pattern to match $\mathbf{v}$, then result is evaluation of ei in environment "extended by the match"
- Pattern Ci(x1,..., xn) matches value Ci(v1,..,vn) and extends the environment with $\mathbf{x 1}$ to $\mathrm{v} 1 \ldots \mathrm{xn}$ to vn
- For "no data" constructors, pattern Ci matches value Ci


## Recursive datatypes

Datatype bindings can describe recursive structures

- Have seen arithmetic expressions
- Now, linked lists:

```
datatype my_int_list = Empty
                            | Cons of int * my_int_list
val x = Cons(4,Cons(23,Cons(2008,Empty)))
fun append_my_list (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
        Empty => ys
    | Cons(x,xs') => Cons(x, append_my_list(xs',ys))
```


## Options are datatypes

Options are just a predefined datatype binding

- NONE and SOME are constructors, not just functions
- So use pattern-matching not isSome and valOf

```
fun inc_or_zero intoption =
    case intoption of
        NONE => O
    | SOME i => i+1
```


## Lists are datatypes

Do not use hd, tl, or null either

- [] and : : are constructors too
- (strange syntax, particularly infix)

```
fun sum list xs =
case xs of
            [] => 0
                | x::xs' => x + sum_list xs'
fun append (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
            [] => ys
            | \(x:: x s^{\prime}=>x\) :: append (xs',ys)
```


## Why pattern-matching

- Pattern-matching is better for options and lists for the same reasons as for all datatypes
- No missing cases, no exceptions for wrong variant, etc.
- We just learned the other way first for pedagogy
- Do not use isSome, valOf, null, hd, tl on Homework 2
- So why are null, tl, etc. predefined?
- For passing as arguments to other functions (next week)
- Because sometimes they are convenient
- But not a big deal: could define them yourself


## Excitement ahead...

Learn some deep truths about "what is really going on"

- Using much more syntactic sugar than we realized
- Every val-binding and function-binding uses pattern-matching
- Every function in ML takes exactly one argument

First need to extend our definition of pattern-matching...

## Each-of types

So far have used pattern-matching for one of types because we needed a way to access the values

Pattern matching also works for records and tuples:

- The pattern ( $\mathbf{x} 1, \ldots, x n$ ) matches the tuple value ( $\mathrm{v} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{vn}$ )
- The pattern $\{\mathrm{f} 1=\mathrm{x} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{fn}=\mathrm{xn}\}$ matches the record value $\{\mathrm{f} 1=\mathrm{v} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{fn}=\mathrm{vn}\}$ (and fields can be reordered)


## Example

This is poor style, but based on what I told you so far, the only way to use patterns

- Works but poor style to have one-branch cases

```
fun sum_triple triple =
    case triple of
        (x, y, z) => x + y + z
fun full_name r =
    case r of
        {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =>
        x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
```


## Val-binding patterns

- New feature: A val-binding can use a pattern, not just a variable
- (Turns out variables are just one kind of pattern, so we just told you a half-truth in Lecture 1)

$$
\operatorname{val} p=e
$$

- Great for getting (all) pieces out of an each-of type
- Can also get only parts out (not shown here)
- Usually poor style to put a constructor pattern in a val-binding
- Tests for the one variant and raises an exception if a different one is there (like hd, tl, and valOf)


## Better example

This is okay style

- Though we will improve it again next
- Semantically identical to one-branch case expressions

```
fun sum_triple triple =
    let val (x, y, z) = triple
    in
        x + y + z
    end
```

fun full_name $r=$
let val $\{$ first=x, middle=y, last=z\} $=r$
in
x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
end

## Function-argument patterns

A function argument can also be a pattern

- Match against the argument in a function call

$$
\text { fun } f p=e
$$

Examples (great style!):

```
fun sum_triple (x, y, z) =
    x + y + z
fun full_name {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =
```


## A new way to go

- For Homework 2:
- Do not use the \# character
- Do not need to write down any explicit types


## Hmm

A function that takes one triple of type int*int*int and returns an int that is their sum:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { fun sum_triple }(x, y, z)= \\
x+y+z
\end{gathered}
$$

A function that takes three int arguments and returns an int that is their sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fun sum_triple }(x, y, z)= \\
& x+y+z
\end{aligned}
$$

See the difference? (Me neither.) ©

## The truth about functions

- In ML, every function takes exactly one argument (*)
- What we call multi-argument functions are just functions taking one tuple argument, implemented with a tuple pattern in the function binding
- Elegant and flexible language design
- Enables cute and useful things you cannot do in Java, e.g.,

```
fun rotate_left (x, y, z) = (y, z, x)
fun rotate_right t = rotate_left (rotate_left t)
```

* "Zero arguments" is the unit pattern () matching the unit value ()

