

CSE341: Programming Languages

Interlude: Course Motivation

Eric Mullen Autumn 2019

Slide from Monday's lecture

If we ignore types, then ML let-bindings can be syntactic sugar for calling an anonymous function:

let val x = e1
in e2 end



- These both evaluate e1 to v1, then evaluate e2 in an environment extended to map x to v1
- So exactly the same evaluation of expressions and result

But in ML, there is a type-system difference:

- x on the left can have a polymorphic type, but not on the right
- Can always go from right to left
- $_{-}$ If \mathbf{x} need not be polymorphic, can go from left to right

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Course Motivation (Did you think I forgot? €)

- Why learn the fundamental concepts that appear in all (most?) languages?
- Why use languages quite different from C, C++, Java, Python?
- · Why focus on functional programming?
- · Why use ML, Racket, and Ruby in particular?
- · Not: Language X is better than Language Y

[You won't be tested on this stuff]

ıtumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Summary

- · No such thing as a "best" PL
- · Fundamental concepts easier to teach in some (multiple) PLs
- A good PL is a relevant, elegant interface for writing software
 - There is no substitute for precise understanding of PL semantics
- Functional languages have been on the leading edge for decades
 - Ideas have been absorbed by the mainstream, but very slowly
 - First-class functions and avoiding mutation increasingly essential
 - Meanwhile, use the ideas to be a better C/Java/PHP hacker
- Many great alternatives to ML, Racket, and Ruby, but each was chosen for a reason and for how they complement each other

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

What is the best kind of car?

What is the best kind of shoes?

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Cars / Shoes

Cars are used for rather different things:

- Winning a Formula 1 race
- Taking kids to soccer practice
- Off-roading
- Hauling a mattress
- Getting the wind in your hair
- Staying dry in the rain

Shoes

- Playing basketball
- Going to a formal
- Going to the beach

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

More on cars

- A good mechanic might have a specialty, but also understands how "cars" (not a particular make/model) work
- The upholstery color isn't essential (syntax)
- A good mechanical engineer really knows how cars work, how to get the most out of them, and how to design better ones
- I don't have a favorite kind of car or a favorite PL
- To learn how car pieces interact, it may make sense to start with a classic design rather than the latest model
- A popular car may not be best
- May especially not be best for learning how cars work

tumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

Why semantics and idioms

This course focuses as much as it can on semantics and idioms

- Correct reasoning about programs, interfaces, and compilers requires a precise knowledge of semantics
 - Not "I feel that conditional expressions might work like this"
- Not "I like curly braces more than parentheses"
- Much of software development is designing precise interfaces; what a PL means is a really good example
- · Idioms make you a better programmer
 - Best to see in multiple settings, including where they shine
- See Java in a clearer light even if I never show you Java

mn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

Hamlet

The play Hamlet:

- Is a beautiful work of art
- Teaches deep, eternal truths
- Is the source of some well-known sayings
- Makes you a better person

Continues to be studied centuries later even though:

- The syntax is really annoying to many
- There are more popular movies with some of the same lessons
- Reading Hamlet will not get you a summer internship

utumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

All cars are the same

- To make it easier to rent cars, it is great that they all have steering wheels, brakes, windows, headlights, etc.
 - Yet it is still uncomfortable to learn a new one
 - Can you be a great driver if you only ever drive one car?
- · And maybe PLs are more like cars, trucks, boats, and bikes
- So are all PLs really the same...

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

Are all languages the same?

Yes

- Any input-output behavior implementable in language X is implementable in language Y [Church-Turing thesis]
- Java, ML, and a language with one loop and three infinitelylarge integers are "the same"

Yes:

 Same fundamentals reappear: variables, abstraction, one-of types, recursive definitions, ...

No:

- The human condition vs. different cultures
- (travel to learn more about home)
- The primitive/default in one language is awkward in another
- Beware "the Turing tarpit"

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Functional Programming

Why spend 60-80% of course using functional languages:

- Mutation is discouraged
- Higher-order functions are very convenient
- One-of types via constructs like datatypes

Because

- These features are invaluable for correct, elegant, efficient software (great way to think about computation)
- 2. Functional languages have always been ahead of their time
- 3. Functional languages well-suited to where computing is going

Most of course is on (1), so a few minutes on (2) and (3) ...

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

12

Ahead of their time

All these were dismissed as "beautiful, worthless, slow things PL professors make you learn"

- Garbage collection (Java didn't exist in 1995, PL courses did)
- Generics (List<T> in Java, C#), much more like SML than C++
- XML for universal data representation (like Racket/Scheme/LISP/...)
- · Higher-order functions (Ruby, Javascript, C#, now Java, ...)
- Type inference (C#, Scala, ...)
- Recursion (a big fight in 1960 about this I'm told 6)

CSE341: Programming Languages

The future may resemble the past

Somehow nobody notices we are right... 20 years later

- · "To conquer" versus "to assimilate"
- · Societal progress takes time and muddles "taking credit"
- · Maybe pattern-matching, currying, hygienic macros, etc. will be next

CSE341: Programming Languages

Recent-ish Surge, Part 1

Other popular functional PLs (alphabetized, pardon omissions)

- · Clojure http://clojure.org
- · Erlang http://www.erlang.org
- F# http://tryfsharp.org
- · Haskell http://www.haskell.org
- · OCaml http://ocaml.org
- · Scala http://www.scala-lang.org

Some "industry users" lists (surely more exist):

- http://www.haskell.org/haskellwiki/Haskell_in_industry
- · http://ocaml.org/companies.html
- In general, see http://cufp.org

CSE341: Programming Languages

Recent-ish Surge, Part 2

Popular adoption of concepts:

- C#, LINQ, C++ (closures, type inference, ...)
- Java 8 (closures)
- MapReduce / Hadoop
- Avoiding side-effects essential for fault-tolerance here
- · Scala libraries (e.g., Akka, ...)

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

Why a surge?

My best guesses:

- · Concise, elegant, productive programming
- JavaScript, Python, Ruby helped break the Java/C/C++ hegemony
- Avoiding mutation is the easiest way to make concurrent and parallel programming easier
 - In general, to handle sharing in complex systems
- · Sure, functional programming is still a small niche, but there is so much software in the world today even niches have room

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

The languages together

SML, Racket, and Ruby are a useful combination for us

	dynamically typed	statically typed
functional	Racket	SML
object-oriented	Ruby	Java

ML: polymorphic types, pattern-matching, abstract types & modules Racket: dynamic typing, "good" macros, minimalist syntax, eval Ruby: classes but not types, very OOP, mixins

[and much more]

Really wish we had more time: Haskell: laziness, purity, type classes, monads Prolog: unification and backtracking [and much more]

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

But why not...

Instead of SML, could use similar languages easy to learn after:

- OCaml: yes indeed but would have to port all my materials @
- · And a few small things (e.g., second-class constructors)
- F#: yes and very cool, but needs a .Net platform
 - And a few more small things (e.g., second-class constructors, less elegant signature-matching)
- Haskell: more popular, cooler types, but lazy semantics and type classes from day 1
- Coq: truly immutable, even crazier types, and even proofs!

Admittedly, SML and its implementations are showing their age (e.g., andalso and less tool support), but it still makes for a fine foundation in statically typed, eager functional programming

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

But why not...

Instead of Racket, could use similar languages easy to learn after:

- Scheme, Lisp, Clojure, Python...

Racket has a combination of:

- A modern feel and active evolution
- "Better" macros, modules, structs, contracts, ...
- A large user base and community (not just for education)
- An IDE tailored to education

Could easily define our own language in the Racket system

- Would rather use a good and vetted design

CSE341: Programming Languages

But why not...

Instead of Ruby, could use another language:

- · Python, Perl, JavaScript are also dynamically typed, but are not as "fully" OOP, which is what I want to focus on
 - Python also does not have (full) closures
 - JavaScript also does not have classes but is OOP
- · Smalltalk serves my OOP needs
 - But implementations merge language/environment
- Less modern syntax, user base, etc.

CSE341: Programming Languages

Is this real programming?

- . The way we use ML/Racket/Ruby can make them seem almost "silly" precisely because lecture and homework focus on interesting language constructs
- · "Real" programming needs file I/O, string operations, floatingpoint, graphics, project managers, testing frameworks, threads,
- Many elegant languages have all that and more
- · Including Racket and Ruby
- If we used Java the same way, Java would seem "silly" too

A note on reality

Reasonable questions when deciding to use/learn a language:

- · What libraries are available for reuse?
- · What tools are available?
- · What can get me a job?
- · What does my boss tell me to do?
- · What is the de facto industry standard?
- · What do I already know?

Our course by design does not deal with these questions

- You have the rest of your life for that
- And technology leaders affect the answers

CSE341: Programming Languages 22 Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

23