











The fix

val r = ref NONE (* val r : ?.X1 option ref *)

val _ = r := SOME "hi"
val i = 1 + valOf (!r)

- Value restriction: a variable-binding can have a polymorphic type only if the expression is a variable or value

 Function calls like ref NONE are neither
- Else get a warning and unconstrained types are filled in with dummy types (basically unusable)
- · Not obvious this suffices to make type system sound, but it does

14

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

The downside As we saw previously, the value restriction can cause problems when it is unnecessary because we are not using mutation val pairWithOne = List.map (fn x => (x,1)) (* does not get type 'a list -> ('a*int) list *) The type-checker does not know List.map is not making a mutable reference

Saw workarounds in previous segment on partial application – Common one: wrap in a function binding

fun pairWithOne xs = List.map (fn x => (x,1)) xs (* 'a list -> ('a*int) list *)

15

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

A local optimum

- Despite the value restriction, ML type inference is elegant and fairly easy to understand
- More difficult without polymorpism
- What type should length-of-list have?
- More difficult with subtyping
- Suppose pairs are supertypes of wider tuples
 Then val (y, z) = x constrains x to have at least two fields,
- not exactly two fields
- Depending on details, languages can support this, but types often more difficult to infer and understand
- Will study subtyping later, but not with type inference

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

16