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## Racket

Next two units will use the Racket language (not ML) and the Racket programming environment (not Emacs)

- Installation / basic usage instructions on course website
- Like ML, functional focus with imperative features
- Anonymous functions, closures, no return statement, etc. - But we will not use pattern-matching
- Unlike ML, no static type system: accepts more programs, bu most errors do not occur until run-time

Really minimalist syntax

- Advanced features like macros, modules, quoting/eval continuations, contracts, .
- Will do only a couple of these
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## Racket vs. Scheme

- Scheme and Racket are very similar languages
- Racket "changed its name" in 2010

Racket made some non-backward-compatible changes.

- How the empty list is written
- Cons cells not mutable
- How modules work
- Etc.
and many additions
Result: A modern language used to build some real system - More of a moving target: notes may become outdated - Online documentation, particularly "The Racket Guide" CSE341: Progamming Langangec


## Getting started

DrRacket "definitions window" and "interactions window" very similar to how we used Emacs and a REPL, but more user-friendly

- DrRacket has always focused on good-for-teaching
- Dreacket has always focused on good-for-teaching
- Easy to learn to use on your own, but lecture demos will help

Free, well-written documentation.

- http://racket-lang.org/
uide especially
http://docs.racket-lang.org/guide/index.htm


## File structure

Start every file with a line containing only
\#lang racket
(Can have comments before this, but not code)
A file is a module containing a collection of definitions (bindings)..

Example

## \#lang racket

(define x
(define y
$(+\mathrm{x}$
(define cube ; function

(define pow ; recursive function

${ }^{1}$ (* $\times($ pow $\left.\left.\times(-\mathrm{y} 1))\right)\right)$ )
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## Some niceties

Many built-in functions (a.k.a. procedures) take any number of arg

- Yes * is just a function

Yes you can
shown here)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (define cube } \\
& (1 \text { ambda }(\mathbf{x}) \\
& ( \pm \times \times \mathbf{x}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Better style for non-anonymous function definitions (just sugar)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (define (cube }) \\
& (* \times \mathbf{x}))
\end{aligned}
$$

(* $\times($ pow $\times(-\mathrm{y} 1))$ )) $)$
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## An old friend: currying

Currying is an idiom that works in any language with closures

- Less common in Racket because it has real multiple args

```
(define pow (lambda (x)
    (lambda (y)
            (if (= y 0)
```

                \({ }^{1}\) ( \(\times((\) pow x\(\left.\left.\left.)(-\mathrm{y} 1))\right)\right) \mathrm{l}\right)\)
    (define three-to-the (pow 3))
(define three-to-the (pow 3))
(deffine eightyone (three-to-the 4))
(define sixteen ( (pow 2) 4))

Sugar for defining curried functions: (define ( (pow x) y) (if ... (No sugar for calling curried functions)
$\qquad$

## Another old-friend: List processing

Empty list: null
Cons constructor: cons
Access head of list: car
Access tail of list: cdr
Check for empty: null?
Notes:

- Unike Scheme, () doesn't work for null, but ' () does
- (list el .... en) for building lists
- Names car and cdr are a historical accident
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## Examples

```
    (define (sum xs)
        0
            (car xs) (sum (cdr xs))))
    (define (my-append xs ys)
            ys
    (define (my-map f xs)
    (if) null?
            (cons (f (car xs)) (my-map f (cdr xs)))),
```
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## Racket syntax

lgnoring a few "bells and whistles,"
Racket has an amazingly simple syntax
A term (anything in the language) is either

- An atom, e.g., $\# t, \# f, 34$, "hi" $"$, null, $4.0, \mathbf{x}, \ldots$
- A special form, e.g., define, lambda, i
- Macros will let us define our own

A sequence of terms in parens: ( $\mathrm{t} 1 \mathrm{t} 2 . . \mathrm{tn}$ )

- If t 1 a special form, semantics of sequence is special
- Else a function call

Example: (+ 3 (car xs))
Example: (lambda (x) (if $\mathbf{x}$ "hi" \#t))
Autumn 2019
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## Brackets

Minor note:
Can use [ anywhere you use (, but must match with ]

- Will see shortly places where $[.$.$] is common style$
- DrRacket lets you type) and replaces it with $\}$ to match


## Why is this good?

By parenthesizing everything, converting the program text into a ree representing the program (parsing) is trivial and unambiguous

- Atoms are leaves
- Sequences are nodes with elements as children
- (No other rules)

Also makes indentation easy
Example:
(define cube

(* $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ )))
No need to discuss "operator precedence" (e.g., $\mathbf{x}+\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{z}$ )
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## Parenthesis bias

- If you look at the HTML for a web page, it takes the same approach:
- (foo written <foo>
-) written </foo>
- But for some reason, LISP/Scheme/Racket is the target of subjective parenthesis-bashing
- Bizarrely, often by people who have no problem with HTML
- You are entitled to your opinion about syntax, but a good historian wouldn't refuse to study a country where he/she didn't like people's accents
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http://xkcd.com/297
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## Parentheses matter

## Examples (more in code)

You must break yourself of one habit for Racket:

- Do not addremove parens because you feel like - Parens are never optional or meaningless!!!
- In most places (e) means call e with zero arguments
- So (e)) means call e with zero arguments and call the
result with zero arguments

Without static typing, often get hard-to-diagnose run-time errors

## Correc

(define (fact n$)\left(\mathrm{if}(=\mathrm{n} 0) 1\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right.$ (fact $\left.\left.\left.(-\mathrm{n} 1)\right)\right)\right)$ ) Treats 1 as a zero-argument function (run-time error):
(define (fact n ) (if ( $=\mathrm{n} 0$ ) ( 1 ) ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (fact ( $\left.-\mathrm{n} 1\right)$ ))))
(syntax error)
(define (fact n$)\left(\right.$ if $=\mathrm{n} 01\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{n}(\right.$ fact $\left.\left.(-\mathrm{n} 1))\right)\right)$
3 arguments to define (including ( $n$ )) (syntax error)
(define fact ( n ) (if ( $=\mathrm{n} 0$ ) 1 (* n (fact ( $-\mathrm{n} 1)$ ))))
Treats n as a function, passing it * (run-time error)
(define (fact n$)($ if $(=\mathrm{n} 0) 1(\mathrm{n}$ * (fact $(-\mathrm{n} 1)))))$

## Dynamic typing

Maior topic coming later: contrasting static typing (e.g., ML) with dynamic typing (e.g., Racket)
or now:

- Frustrating not to catch "little errors" like ( n * $\mathbf{x}$ ) until you test your function
But can use very flexible data structures and code withou convincing a type checker that it makes sense
- A list that can contain numbers or other lists
- Assuming lists or numbers "all the way down," sum all the numbers...
$\qquad$ CSE341: Programming Languages


## Example

```
(define (sum xs)
(if (null? xs)
    \({ }^{(1 f}{ }^{\text {(null? }}\) xs)
        \(f\) (number? (car xs)
```



- No need for a fancy datatype binding, constructors, etc.
- Works no matter how deep the lists go

But assumes each element is a list or a number

- Will get a run-time error if anything else is encountered

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Langugec 19

## Better style

Avoid nested if-expressions when you can use cond-expressions instead

- Can think of one as sugar for the other

General syntax: (cond [e1a elb
[e2a e2b]
[eNa enb])

- Good style: eNa should be \#t
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## Example

```
(define (sum xs)
```

[(number? (car xs))
(+ (car xs) (sum (cdr xs)))]
(\#t (+ (sum (car xs)) (sum (cdr xs))) J))
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## A variation

As before, we could change our spec to say instead of errors on non-numbers, we should just ignore them
So this version can work for any list (or just a number)

- Compare carefully, we did not just add a branch


## (define (sum xs)


$[($ nimber xs)
$(+($ sum (car xs) $)$
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { (sum ( } \\ \text { (cdr } \mathbf{x s})))]\end{array}\right]$
(+ (sum
[\#t 01$)$

## What is true?

For both if and cond, test expression can evaluate to anything

- It is not an error if the result is not \#t or \#f
- (Apologies for the double-negative (3))


## Semantics of if and cond:

- "Treat anything other than \#f as true"
- (In some languages, other things are false, not in Racket)

This feature makes no sense in a statically typed language
Some consider using this feature poor style, but it can be Some consi
convenient
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## Local bindings

Racket has 4 ways to define local variables

- let
- letre
- define
- Variety is good: They have different semantics
- Use the one most convenient for your needs, which helps Use the one most convenient for your needs, which help
communicate your intent to people reading your code - If any will work, use let
- Will help us better learn scope and environments
- Like in ML, the 3 kinds of let-expressions can appear anywhere
$\qquad$ CSE341 Programming Language

| Let |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A let expression can bind any number of local variables <br> - Notice where all the parentheses are |  |
| The expressions are all evaluated in the environment from before the let-expression <br> - Except the body can use all the local variables of course <br> - This is not how ML let-expressions work <br> - Convenient for things like (let ([xy][y $x]$ ) ...) ```(define (silly-double x) (let ([x (+ x 3)] [y (+ x 2)]) (+ x y -5)))``` |  |
|  |  |
| Autumn 2019 | 25 |

## Let*

Syntactically, a let* expression is a let-expression with 1 more character
The expressions are evaluated in the environment produced from the previous bindings

- Can repeat bindings (later ones shadow)
- This is how ML letexpressions work
CSE34:1: Progamming Languages


(+ $x y-8$ )))
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## Letrec

Syntactically, a letrec expression is also the same
The expressions are evaluated in the environment that includes all the bindings
(define (silly-triple x)

( $\mathrm{f}-9$ ) ) )

- But expressions are still evaluated in order. accessing an uninitialized binding raises an error - Remember function bodies not evaluated until called

Autumn 2019 CSE34: Progaramming Languages ${ }_{2} 7$

## More letrec

- Letrec is ideal for recursion (including mutual recursion) (define (silly-mod2 x )
 [odd? ( $\lambda(x)$ (if (zero
(if (even? x) 0 1) )
- Do not use later bindings except inside functions -This example will raise an error when called (define (bad-letrec x) (letrec ( $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { y } \\ z\end{array}\right]} \\ z & 13\end{array}\right)$



## Local defines

- In certain positions, like the beginning of function bodies, you can put defines
- For defining local variables, same semantics as letrec
(define (silly-mod2 x)
 (define (odd? x ) (i.
(if (even? x )
0
- Local defines is preferred Racket style, but course materials will

Local defines is preferred Racket style, but course material
avoid them to emphasize let, let ${ }^{*}$, letrec distinction

- You can choose to use them on homework or not
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Top-level
The bindings in a file work like local defines, i.e., letrec - Like ML, you can refer to earlier bindings

- Unlike ML, you can also refer to later bindings
- But refer to later bindings only in function bodies
- Because bindings are evaluated in order
- Get an error if try to use a not-yet-defined binding

Unike ML, cannot define the same variable twice in module

- Would make no sense: cannot have both in environment


## REPL

Unfortunate detail:

- REPL works slightly differently
- Not quite let* or letre
- ;

Best to avoid recursive function definitions or forward references
Actually okay unless shadowing something (you may not

- Actualy okay unless shadowing somet

And calling recursive functions is fine of course
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## Optional: Actually...

- Racket has a module system
- Each file is implicitly a module
- Not really "top-level"
- A module can shadow bindings from other modules it use
- Including Racket standard library

So we could redefine + or any other function

- But poor style
- Only stard library) standard library)
- (Optional note: Scheme is different)
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## Set!

Unlike ML, Racket really has assignment statements

- But used only-when-really-appropriate!


## (set! $\mathbf{x}$ e)

- For the $\mathbf{x}$ in the current environment, subsequent lookups of $\mathbf{x}$ get the result of evaluating expression $\mathbf{e}$
- Any code using this $\mathbf{x}$ will be affected
-Like $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}$ in Java, C, Python, et
- Once you have side-effects, sequences are useful:
(begin el e2 ... en)
Autumn 2019 CSE34: Programming Languages


## Example

Example uses set! at top-level; mutating local variables is similar

```
(define b 3) (1ambda (x) (* 1 (+xb))))
*)
*)
lol
```

Not much new here:

Environment for closure determined when function is defined,
but body is evaluated when function is called
but body is evaluated when function is called

- Once an expression produces a value, it is irrelevant how the
$\qquad$ CSE34: Proganming Languages


## Top-level

- Mutating top-level definitions is particularly problematic
- What if any code could do set! on anything?
- How could we defend against this?
- A general principle: If something you need not to change migh
change, make a local copy of it. Example:
(define b
(define $f$
(define $f$
$\underset{(\text { (lambda }(x) \text { ( }}{\text { ( }}$ ( 1 ( +x b) )))))
Could use a different name for local copy but do not need to
$\qquad$
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## But wait...

Simple elegant language design:
Primitives like + and $*$ are just predefined variables bound to - Primitives
functions

- But maybe that means they are mutable
- Example continued:
(define $\underset{\text { (let ( }}{\text { f }}$

(lambda (x) (* 1 ( +x b) )) ))
- Even that won't work if $f$ uses other functions that use things Even that wont work if $f$ uses other functions that use thing
that might get mutated - all functions would need to copy everything mutable they used


## No such madness

In Racket, you do not have to program like this

- Each file is a module

If a module does not use set! on a top-level variable, then
Racket makes it constant and forbids set! outside the module
Primitives like,$+ \star$, and cons are in a module that does not
mutate them
Showed you this for the concept of copying to defend against mutation Easier defense: Do not allow mutation
Mutable top-level bindings a highly dubious idea
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## The truth about cons

cons just makes a pair

- Often called a cons cell

By convention and standard library, lists are nested pairs that
(define pr (cons 1 (cons \#t "hi"))) ; (1 \#t "hi"
define lst (cons 1 (cons \#t (cons "hi" nulli)))
(define hi- (cdr (cdr pr)))
(define hi-again (car (cdr (cdr 1st))))
(define hi-another (caddr 1st))
(define no (list? pr))
(define of-course (and (list? 1st) (pair? 1st)))
Passing an improper list to functions like length is a run-time error
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## The truth about cons

So why allow improper lists?

- Pairs are useful

Without static types, why distinguish (e1,e2) and e1 : :e2
Style:

- Use proper lists for collections of unknown siza
- But feel free to use cons to build a pair
- Though structs (like records) may be better

Built-in primitives:
-1 inst? retur

- 1ist? returns true for proper lists, including the empty list
- pair? returns true for things made by cons
- All improper and proper lists except the empty list
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| cons cells are immutable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What if you wanted to mutate the contents of a cons cell? <br> - In Racket you cannot (major change from Scheme) <br> - This is good <br> - List-aliasing irrelevant <br> - Implementation can make list? fast since listness is determined when cons cell is created |  |  |
| Autunn 2019 | CSE341: Progranming Langugas | ${ }^{40}$ |

## Set! does not change list contents

This does not mutate the contents of a cons cell:
(define x (cons 14 null))
(define $\mathbf{y} \mathbf{x}$ )
(set! $\mathbf{x}$ (cons
(set! $\times$ (cons 42 null))
(define fourteen (car )

- Like Java's $\mathbf{x}=$ new Cons ( 42 , null), not $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c a r}=42$


## mcons cells are mutable

Since mutable pairs are sometimes useful (will use them soon) Racket provides them too:

- mcons
- mons
- macar
- madr
- mpair?
- set-mear!
- set-mcdr!

Run-time error to use mcar on a cons cell or car on an mcons cell

