

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 9 Function-Closure Idioms

Eric Mullen Spring 2019

More idioms

- · We know the rule for lexical scope and function closures
 - Now what is it good for

A partial but wide-ranging list:

- · Pass functions with private data to iterators: Done
- · Combine functions (e.g., composition)
- · Currying (multi-arg functions and partial application)
- · Callbacks (e.g., in reactive programming)
- · Implementing an ADT with a record of functions (optional)

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Combine functions

Canonical example is function composition:

```
fun compose (f,g) = fn x \Rightarrow f (g x)
```

- Creates a closure that "remembers" what f and g are bound to
- Type ('b -> 'c) * ('a -> 'b) -> ('a -> 'c) but the REPL prints something equivalent
- · ML standard library provides this as infix operator o
- · Example (third version best):

```
fun sqrt_of_abs i = Math.sqrt(Real.fromInt(abs i))
fun sqrt_of_abs i = (Math.sqrt o Real.fromInt o abs) i
val sqrt_of_abs = Math.sqrt o Real.fromInt o abs
```

Autumn 201

CSE341: Programming Languages

Left-to-right or right-to-left

val sqrt_of_abs = Math.sqrt o Real.fromInt o abs

As in math, function composition is "right to left"

- "take absolute value, convert to real, and take square root"
- "square root of the conversion to real of absolute value"

"Pipelines" of functions are common in functional programming and many programmers prefer left-to-right

- Can define our own infix operator
- This one is very popular (and predefined) in F#

```
infix |>
fun x |> f = f x

fun sqrt_of_abs i =
    i |> abs |> Real.fromInt |> Math.sqrt
```

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Another example

· "Backup function"

```
fun backup1 (f,g) =
  fn x => case f x of
    NONE => g x
    | SOME y => y
```

As is often the case with higher-order functions, the types hint at what the function does

```
('a -> 'b option) * ('a -> 'b) -> 'a -> 'b
```

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

More idioms

- · We know the rule for lexical scope and function closures
 - Now what is it good for

A partial but wide-ranging list:

- · Pass functions with private data to iterators: Done
- · Combine functions (e.g., composition)
- Currying (multi-arg functions and partial application)
- · Callbacks (e.g., in reactive programming)
- · Implementing an ADT with a record of functions (optional)

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Currying

- · Recall every ML function takes exactly one argument
- · Previously encoded n arguments via one n-tuple
- · Another way: Take one argument and return a function that takes another argument and...
- Called "currying" after famous logician Haskell Curry

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example

```
val sorted3 = fn x => fn y => fn z =>
                z >= y andalso y >= x
val t1 = ((sorted3 7) 9) 11
```

- Calling (sorted3 7) returns a closure with:
 - Code fn y => fn z => z >= y andalso y >= x
 - Environment maps x to 7
- Calling that closure with 9 returns a closure with:
- Code fn $z \Rightarrow z >= y$ andalso y >= x
- Environment maps x to 7, y to 9
- . Calling that closure with 11 returns true

CSE341: Programming Languages

Syntactic sugar, part 1

```
val sorted3 = fn x => fn y => fn z =>
    z >= y andalso y >= x
val t1 = ((sorted3 7) 9) 11
```

- In general, e1 e2 e3 e4 ..., means (...((e1 e2) e3) e4)
- So instead of ((sorted3 7) 9) 11, can just write sorted3 7 9 11
- Callers can just think "multi-argument function with spaces instead of a tuple expression"
 - Different than tupling; caller and callee must use same technique

CSE341: Programming Languages

Syntactic sugar, part 2

```
val sorted3 = fn x => fn y => fn z =>
                z >= y andalso y >= x
val t1 = ((sorted3 7) 9) 11
```

- In general, fun f p1 p2 p3 ... = e, means fun f p1 = fn p2 => fn p3 => ... => e
- . So instead of val sorted3 = fn x => fn y => fn z => ... or fun sorted3 $x = fn y \Rightarrow fn z \Rightarrow ...,$ can just write fun sorted3 x y z = x >=y andalso y >= x
- · Callees can just think "multi-argument function with spaces instead of a tuple
 - Different than tupling; caller and callee must use same technique

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

Final version

```
fun sorted3 x y z = z >= y andalso y >= x
val t1 = sorted3 7 9 11
```

As elegant syntactic sugar (even fewer characters than tupling) for:

```
val sorted3 = fn x => fn y => fn z =>
                z >= y andalso y >= x
val t1 = ((sorted3 7) 9) 11
```

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

11

Curried fold

A more useful example and a call to it

- Will improve call next

```
fun fold f acc xs =
    case xs of
    [] => acc
| x::xs' => fold f (f(acc,x)) xs'
fun sum xs = fold (fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y) 0 xs
```

Note: fold1 in ML standard-library has f take arguments in opposite order

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

"Too Few Arguments"

- · Previously used currying to simulate multiple arguments
- · But if caller provides "too few" arguments, we get back a closure "waiting for the remaining arguments"
- Called partial application
- Convenient and useful
- Can be done with any curried function
- · No new semantics here: a pleasant idiom

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

Example

```
fun fold f acc xs =
   case xs of
    | x::xs' => fold f (f(acc,x)) xs'
fun sum inferior xs = fold (fn (x,y) => x+y) 0 xs
val sum = fold (fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y) 0
```

As we already know, fold (fn (x,y) => x+y) 0 evaluates to a closure that given xs, evaluates the case-expression with f bound to fold (fn $(x,y) \Rightarrow x+y$) and acc bound to 0

CSE341: Programming Languages

Unnecessary function wrapping

```
fun sum inferior xs = fold (fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y) 0 xs
val sum = fold (fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y) 0
```

- Previously learned not to write fun f x = g xwhen we can write val f = q
- This is the same thing, with fold $(fn (x,y) \Rightarrow x+y) = 0$ in place of q

CSE341: Programming Languages

Iterators

- · Partial application is particularly nice for iterator-like functions
- Example:

```
fun exists predicate xs =
    case xs of
    | x::xs' => predicate x
                 orelse exists predicate xs'
val no = exists (fn x => x=7) [4,11,23]
val hasZero = exists (fn x => x=0)
```

- · For this reason, ML library functions of this form usually curried
- Examples: List.map, List.filter, List.foldl

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

The Value Restriction Appears 😕



17

If you use partial application to create a polymorphic function, it may not work due to the value restriction

- Warning about "type vars not generalized" · And won't let you call the function
- This should surprise you; you did nothing wrong 😌 but you still must change your code
- See the code for workarounds
- Can discuss a bit more when discussing type inference

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

More combining functions

- · What if you want to curry a tupled function or vice-versa?
- · What if a function's arguments are in the wrong order for the partial application you want?

Naturally, it is easy to write higher-order wrapper functions

- And their types are neat logical formulas

```
fun other_curry1 f = fn x => fn y => f y x
fun other_curry2 f x y = f y x
fun curry f x y = f (x,y)
fun uncurry f (x,y) = f x y
```

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Efficiency

So which is faster: tupling or currying multiple-arguments?

- They are both constant-time operations, so it doesn't matter in most of your code – "plenty fast"
- Don't program against an implementation until it matters!
- For the small (zero?) part where efficiency matters:
 - It turns out SML/NJ compiles tuples more efficiently
- But many other functional-language implementations do better with currying (OCaml, F#, Haskell)
 - So currying is the "normal thing" and programmers read
 t1 -> t2 -> t3 -> t4 as a 3-argument function that
 also allows partial application

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

More idioms

- · We know the rule for lexical scope and function closures
 - Now what is it good for

A partial but wide-ranging list:

- · Pass functions with private data to iterators: Done
- · Combine functions (e.g., composition)
- · Currying (multi-arg functions and partial application)
- Callbacks (e.g., in reactive programming)
- · Implementing an ADT with a record of functions (optional)

tumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

ML has (separate) mutation

- · Mutable data structures are okay in some situations
- When "update to state of world" is appropriate model
- But want most language constructs truly immutable
- ML does this with a separate construct: references
- Introducing now because will use them for next closure idiom
- · Do not use references on your homework
 - You need practice with mutation-free programming
 - They will lead to less elegant solutions

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

References

- · New types: t ref where t is a type
- · New expressions:
 - ref e to create a reference with initial contents e
 - e1 := e2 to update contents
 - !e to retrieve contents (not negation)

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 22

References example

```
val x = ref 42
val y = ref 42
val z = x
val _ = x := 43
val w = (!y) + (!z) (* 85 *)
(* x + 1 does not type-check *)
```



23

- A variable bound to a reference (e.g., x) is still immutable: it will always refer to the same reference
- . But the contents of the reference may change via :=
- And there may be aliases to the reference, which matter a lot
- · References are first-class values
- Like a one-field mutable object, so := and ! don't specify the field

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Callbacks

A common idiom: Library takes functions to apply later, when an event occurs – examples:

- When a key is pressed, mouse moves, data arrives
- When the program enters some state (e.g., turns in a game)

A library may accept multiple callbacks

- Different callbacks may need different private data with different types
- Fortunately, a function's type does not include the types of bindings in its environment
- (In OOP, objects and private fields are used similarly, e.g., Java Swing's event-listeners)

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Mutable state

While it's not absolutely necessary, mutable state is reasonably appropriate here

We really do want the "callbacks registered" to change when a function to register a callback is called

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example call-back library

Library maintains mutable state for "what callbacks are there" and provides a function for accepting new ones

- A real library would also support removing them, etc.
- In example, callbacks have type int->unit

So the entire public library interface would be the function for registering new callbacks:

```
val onKeyEvent : (int -> unit) -> unit
```

(Because callbacks are executed for side-effect, they may also need mutable state)

CSE341: Programming Languages

Library implementation

```
val cbs : (int -> unit) list ref = ref []
fun onKevEvent f = cbs := f :: (!cbs)
fun onEvent i =
   let fun loop fs =
             case fs of
             [] => ()
| f::fs' => (f i; loop fs')
    in loop (!cbs) end
```

CSE341: Programming Languages

Clients

Can only register an int -> unit, so if any other data is needed, must be in closure's environment

- And if need to "remember" something, need mutable state

Examples:

```
val timesPressed = ref 0
fun printIfPressed i =
  onKeyEvent (fn j =>
     if i=j
      then print ("pressed " ^ Int.toString i)
      else ())
```

Autumn 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

More idioms

- We know the rule for lexical scope and function closures
 - Now what is it good for

A partial but wide-ranging list:

- · Pass functions with private data to iterators: Done
- Combine functions (e.g., composition)
- · Currying (multi-arg functions and partial application)
- · Callbacks (e.g., in reactive programming)
- Implementing an ADT with a record of functions (optional)

Autumn 2019

28

CSE341: Programming Languages

29

Optional: Implementing an ADT

As our last idiom, closures can implement abstract data types

- Can put multiple functions in a record
- The functions can share the same private data
- Private data can be mutable or immutable
- Feels a lot like objects, emphasizing that OOP and functional programming have some deep similarities

See code for an implementation of immutable integer sets with operations insert, member, and size

The actual code is advanced/clever/tricky, but has no new features

- Combines lexical scope, datatypes, records, closures, etc.
- Client use is not so tricky

Autumn 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages