Dan Grossman	Course Motivation (Did you think I forgot? ③) • Why learn the fundamental concepts that appear in all (most?) languages? • Why use languages quite different from C, C++, Java, Python? • Why focus on functional programming? • Why use ML, Racket, and Ruby in particular? • Not: Language X is better than Language Y [You won't be tested on this stuff]		
Spring 2019	Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 2		
 Summary No such thing as a "best" PL Fundamental concepts easier to teach in some (multiple) PLs A good PL is a relevant, elegant interface for writing software There is no substitute for precise understanding of PL semantics Functional languages have been on the leading edge for decades Ideas have been absorbed by the mainstream, but very slowly First-class functions and avoiding mutation increasingly essential 	What is the best kind of car? What is the best kind of shoes?		
 Meanwhile, use the ideas to be a better C/Java/PHP hacker Many great alternatives to ML, Racket, and Ruby, but each was chosen for a reason and for how they complement each other Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 3 	Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 4		
Cars / Shoes Cars are used for rather different things: – Winning a Formula 1 race – Taking kids to soccer practice – Off-roading – Hauling a mattress – Getting the wind in your hair – Staying dry in the rain Shoes: – Playing basketball – Going to a formal – Going to the beach	 More on cars A good mechanic might have a specialty, but also understands how "cars" (not a particular make/model) work The upholstery color isn't essential (syntax) A good mechanical engineer really knows how cars work, how to get the most out of them, and how to design better ones I don't have a favorite kind of car or a favorite PL To learn how car pieces interact, it may make sense to start with a classic design rather than the latest model A popular car may not be best May especially not be best for learning how cars work 		
Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 5	Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 6		

Why semantics and idioms Hamlet This course focuses as much as it can on semantics and idioms The play Hamlet. - Is a beautiful work of art · Correct reasoning about programs, interfaces, and compilers - Teaches deep, eternal truths requires a precise knowledge of semantics - Is the source of some well-known sayings - Not "I feel that conditional expressions might work like this" - Makes you a better person - Not "I like curly braces more than parentheses" - Much of software development is designing precise Continues to be studied centuries later even though: interfaces; what a PL means is a really good example - The syntax is really annoying to many - There are more popular movies with some of the same lessons · Idioms make you a better programmer - Reading Hamlet will not get you a summer internship - Best to see in multiple settings, including where they shine - See Java in a clearer light even if I never show you Java CSE341: Programming Languages Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 7 Spring 2019 8 All cars are the same Are all languages the same? To make it easier to rent cars, it is great that they all have Yes: steering wheels, brakes, windows, headlights, etc. - Any input-output behavior implementable in language X is - Yet it is still uncomfortable to learn a new one implementable in language Y [Church-Turing thesis] - Can you be a great driver if you only ever drive one car? - Java, ML, and a language with one loop and three infinitelylarge integers are "the same" Yes: · And maybe PLs are more like cars, trucks, boats, and bikes Same fundamentals reappear: variables, abstraction, one-of types, recursive definitions, ... · So are all PLs really the same ... No. - The human condition vs. different cultures (travel to learn more about home) - The primitive/default in one language is awkward in another - Beware "the Turing tarpit" Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages Spring 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages 10

Functional Programming

Why spend 60-80% of course using functional languages:

- Mutation is discouraged
- Higher-order functions are very convenient
- One-of types via constructs like datatypes

Because:

- 1. These features are invaluable for correct, elegant, efficient software (great way to think about computation)
- 2. Functional languages have always been ahead of their time
- 3. Functional languages well-suited to where computing is going

Most of course is on (1), so a few minutes on (2) and (3) \ldots

Spring	2019

11

Ahead of their time

All these were dismissed as "beautiful, worthless, slow things PL professors make you learn"

- Garbage collection (Java didn't exist in 1995, PL courses did)
- Generics (List<T> in Java, C#), much more like SML than C++
- XML for universal data representation (like Racket/Scheme/LISP/...)
- Higher-order functions (Ruby, Javascript, C#, now Java, ...)
- Type inference (C#, Scala, ...)
- Recursion (a big fight in 1960 about this I'm told ©)
- ...

The future n	nay resemble the past		Recent-is	sh Surge, Part 1	
Somehow nobody no	otices we are right 20 years later			functional PLs (alphabetized, pardon omis	sions)
"To conquer" vers	sus "to assimilate"		 Clojure http://clojure.org Erlang http://www.erlang.org F# http://tryfsharp.org 		
Societal progress takes time and muddles "taking credit"		 Haskell http://www.haskell.org OCaml http://ocaml.org Scala http://www.scala-lang.org 			
Maybe pattern-matching, currying, hygienic macros, etc. will be next					
			http://www.http://ocam	y users" lists (surely more exist): haskell.org/haskellwiki/Haskell_in_industry l.org/companies.html see http://cufp.org	/
Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	13	Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	14

Recent-ish Surge, Part 2

 C#, LINQ (closure Java 8 (closures) MapReduce / Ha Avoiding side- 	MapReduce / Hadoop – Avoiding side-effects essential for fault-tolerance here Scala libraries (e.g., Akka, …)		 My best guesses: Concise, elegant, productive programming JavaScript, Python, Ruby helped break the Java/C/C++ hegemony Avoiding mutation is <i>the</i> easiest way to make concurrent and parallel programming easier In general, to handle sharing in complex systems Sure, functional programming is still a small niche, but there is so much software in the world today even niches have room 			re is
Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	15	5	Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	16

The languages together

SML, Racket, and Ruby are a useful combination for us

	dynamically typed	statically typed			
functional	Racket	SML			
object-oriented	Ruby	Java			
ML: polymorphic types, pattern-matching, abstract types & modules					
Racket: dynamic typing, "good" macros, minimalist syntax, eval					
<i>Ruby</i> : classes but not types, very OOP, mixins [and much more]					
Really wish we had more time:					
Haskell: laziness, purity, type classes, monads					
Prolog: unification and backtracking [and much more]					
Spring 2019 Co	SE341: Programming Languages	17			

But why not...

Why a surge?

Instead of SML, could use similar languages easy to learn after:

- OCaml: yes indeed but would have to port all my materials ©
 And a few small things (e.g., second-class constructors)
- F#: yes and very cool, but needs a .Net platform
 And a few more small things (e.g., second-class constructors, less elegant signature-matching)
- Haskell: more popular, cooler types, but lazy semantics and type classes from day 1

Admittedly, SML and its implementations are showing their age (e.g., andalso and less tool support), but it still makes for a fine foundation in statically typed, eager functional programming

CSE341: Programming Languages

18

But why not			But why not			
Instead of Racke	et, could use similar languages easy	to learn after:	Instead of Ruby	, could use another language:		
 Scheme, Lisp, Clojure, Racket has a combination of: A modern feel and active evolution "Better" macros, modules, structs, contracts, A large user base and community (<i>not</i> just for education) An IDE tailored to education Could easily define our own language in the Racket system Would rather use a good and vetted design 		education)	 Python, Perl, JavaScript are also dynamically typed, but are not as "fully" OOP, which is what I want to focus on Python also does not have (full) closures JavaScript also does not have classes but is OOP Smalltalk serves my OOP needs But implementations merge language/environment Less modern syntax, user base, etc. 			
Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	19	Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	20	
 The way we u "silly" precise interesting lan "Real" progra point, graphic build systems Many eleg Includ 	I programming? use ML/Racket/Ruby can make them by because lecture and homework for nguage constructs mming needs file I/O, string operation cs, project managers, testing framewors, s, gant languages have all that and mor ing Racket and Ruby d Java the same way, Java would see	cus on ns, floating- orks, threads, e	 What librarie What tools an What can get What does m What is the d What is the d What do I alr Our course by d You have 	estions when deciding to use/learn a lar s are available for reuse? re available? t me a job? ny boss tell me to do? le facto industry standard?		
Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	21	Spring 2019	CSE341: Programming Languages	22	