

CSE341: Programming Languages

Lecture 23

Multiple Inheritance, Mixins, Interfaces,
Abstract Methods

Brett Wortzman Summer 2019

Slides originally created by Dan Grossman

What next?

Have used classes for OOP's essence: inheritance, overriding, dynamic dispatch

Now, what if we want to have more than just 1 superclass

- Multiple inheritance: allow > 1 superclasses
 - Useful but has some problems (see C++)
- Ruby-style mixins: 1 superclass; > 1 method providers
 - Often a fine substitute for multiple inheritance and has fewer problems (see also Scala traits)
- Java/C#-style interfaces: allow > 1 types
 - Mostly irrelevant in a dynamically typed language, but fewer problems

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Multiple Inheritance

- If inheritance and overriding are so useful, why limit ourselves to one superclass?
 - Because the semantics is often awkward (this topic)
 - Because it makes static type-checking harder (not discussed)
 - Because it makes efficient implementation harder (not discussed)
- Is it useful? Sure!
 - Example: Make a ColorPt3D by inheriting from Pt3D and ColorPt (or maybe just from Color)
 - Example: Make a StudentAthlete by inheriting from Student and Athlete
 - With single inheritance, end up copying code or using non-OOPstyle helper methods

Summer 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages

Trees, dags, and diamonds

- Note: The phrases subclass, superclass can be ambiguous
 - There are *immediate* subclasses, superclasses
 - And there are transitive subclasses, superclasses
- Single inheritance: the class hierarchy is a tree
 - Nodes are classes
 - Parent is immediate superclass
 - Any number of children allowed



- Cycles still disallowed (a directed-acyclic graph)
- If multiple paths show that X is a (transitive) superclass of Y, then we have diamonds

Summer 2019

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

What could go wrong?

- If V and Z both define a method m, what does Y inherit? What does super mean?
 Directed resends useful (e.g., Z::super)
- What if X defines a method m that Z but not V overrides?
 - Can handle like previous case, but sometimes undesirable (e.g., ColorPt3D wants Pt3D's overrides to "win")
- If X defines fields, should Y have one copy of them (£) or two (v::f and z::f)?
 - Turns out each behavior can be desirable (next slides)
 - So C++ has (at least) two forms of inheritance

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

3DColorPoints

If Ruby had multiple inheritance, we would want ColorPt3D to inherit methods that share one @x and one @y

```
class Pt
   attr_accessor :x, :y
   ...
end
class ColorPt < Pt
   attr_accessor :color
   ...
end
class Pt3D < Pt
   attr_accessor :z
   ... # override some methods
end
class ColorPt3D < Pt3D, ColorPt # not Ruby!
end</pre>
```

CSE341: Programming Languages

1

ArtistCowboys

This code has Person define a pocket for subclasses to use, but an ArtistCowboy Wants two pockets, one for each draw method

Mixins

- A mixin is (just) a collection of methods
 - Less than a class: no instances of it
- Languages with mixins (e.g., Ruby modules) typically let a class have one superclass but *include* any number of mixins
- Semantics: Including a mixin makes its methods part of the class
 - Extending or overriding in the order mixins are included in the
 - More powerful than helper methods because mixin methods can access methods (and instance variables) on self not defined in the mixin

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Example

```
module Doubler
     self + self # assume included in classes w/ +
   end
 end
 class String
   include Doubler
 end
 class AnotherPt
   attr_accessor :x, :y
    include Doubler
   def + other
     ans = AnotherPt.new
      ans.x = self.x + other.x
      ans.y = self.y + other.y
      ans
 end
Summer 2019
                  CSE341: Programming Languages
```

Lookup rules

Mixins change our lookup rules slightly:

- When looking for receiver obj's method m, look in obj's class, then mixins that class includes (later includes shadow), then obj's superclass, then the superclass' mixins, etc.
- As for instance variables, the mixin methods are included in the same object.
 - So usually bad style for mixin methods to use instance variables since a name clash would be like our CowboyArtist pocket problem (but sometimes unavoidable?)

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

10

12

The two big ones

The two most popular/useful mixins in Ruby:

- Comparable: Defines <, >, ==, !=, >=, <= in terms of <=>
- Enumerable: Defines many iterators (e.g., map, find) in terms of each

Great examples of using mixins:

- Classes including them get a bunch of methods for just a little work
- Classes do not "spend" their "one superclass" for this
- Do not need the complexity of multiple inheritance
- · See the code for some examples

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

11

Replacement for multiple inheritance?

- A mixin works pretty well for ColorPt3D:
 - Color a reasonable mixin except for using an instance variable

module Color
 attr_accessor :color
end

- A mixin works awkwardly-at-best for ArtistCowboy:
 - Natural for Artist and Cowboy to be Person subclasses
 - Could move methods of one to a mixin, but it is odd style and still does not get you two pockets

module ArtistM ...
class Artist < Person
include ArtistM
class ArtistCowboy < Cowboy
include ArtistM

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Statically-Typed OOP

- Now contrast multiple inheritance and mixins with Java/C#-style interfaces
- Important distinction, but interfaces are about static typing, which Ruby does not have
- So will use Java code after quick introduction to static typing for class-based OOP
 - Sound typing for OOP prevents "method missing" errors

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

13

15

Classes as Types

- In Java/C#/etc. each class is also a type
- · Methods have types for arguments and result

```
class A {
  Object m1 (Example e, String s) {...}
  Integer m2 (A foo, Boolean b, Integer i) {...}
}
```

- If c is a (transitive) subclass of D, then c is a subtype of D
 - Type-checking allows subtype anywhere supertype allowed
 - So can pass instance of c to a method expecting instance of D

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Interfaces are (or were) JustTypes

```
interface Example {
  void    m1(int x, int y);
    Object m2(Example x, String y);
}
```

- · An interface is not a class; it is [er, used to be] only a type
 - Does not contain method *definitions*, only their *signatures* (types)
 - · Unlike mixins
 - (Changed in Java 8, makes them more like mixins!)
 - Cannot use new on an interface
 - · Like mixins

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Implementing Interfaces

- · A class can explicitly implement any number of interfaces
 - For class to type-check, it must implement every method in the interface with the right type
 - · More on allowing subtypes later!
 - Multiple interfaces no problem; just implement everything
- If class type-checks, it is a subtype of the interface

```
class A implements Example {
   public void m1(int x, int y) {...}
   public Object m2(Example e, String s) {...}
}
class B implements Example {
   public void m1(int pizza, int beer) {...}
   public Object m2(Example e, String s) {...}
}
Summer 2019 CSE341: Programming Languages
```

Multiple interfaces

- · Interfaces provide no methods or fields
 - So no questions of method/field duplication when implementing multiple interfaces, unlike multiple inheritance
- · What interfaces are for:
 - "Caller can give any instance of any class implementing I"
 - So callee can call methods in I regardless of class
 - So much more flexible type system
- Interfaces have little use in a dynamically typed language
 - Dynamic typing already much more flexible, with trade-offs we studied

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Connections

Let's now answer these questions:

- What does a statically typed OOP language need to support "required overriding"?
- · How is this similar to higher-order functions?
- Why does a language with multiple inheritance (e.g., C++) not need Java/C#-style interfaces?

[Explaining Java's abstract methods / C++'s pure virtual methods]

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Required overriding

Often a class expects all subclasses to override some method(s)

 The purpose of the superclass is to abstract common functionality, but some non-common parts have no default

A Ruby approach:

- Do not define must-override methods in superclass
- Subclasses can add it
- Creating instance of superclass can cause method-missing

19

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Static typing

- In Java/C#/C++, prior approach fails type-checking
 - No method m2 defined in superclass
 - One solution: provide error-causing implementation

- Better: Use static checking to prevent this error...

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Abstract methods

- Java/C#/C++ let superclass give signature (type) of method subclasses should provide
 - Called abstract methods or pure virtual methods
 - Cannot creates instances of classes with such methods
 - · Catches error at compile-time
 - · Indicates intent to code-reader
 - Does *not* make language more powerful

```
abstract class A {
   T1 m1(T2 x) { ... m2(e); ... }
   abstract T3 m2(T4 x);
}
class B extends A {
   T3 m2(T4 x) { ... }
}
```

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

Passing code to other code

 Abstract methods and dynamic dispatch: An OOP way to have subclass "pass code" to other code in superclass

```
abstract class A {
   T1 ml(T2 x) { ... m2(e); ... }
   abstract T3 m2(T4 x);
}
class B extends A {
   T3 m2(T4 x) { ... }
}
```

Higher-order functions: An FP way to have caller "pass code" to callee

```
fun f (g,x) = ... g e ...
fun h x = ... f((fn y => ...),...)
```

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

22

No interfaces in C++

- If you have multiple inheritance and abstract methods, you do not also need interfaces
- · Replace each interface with a class with all abstract methods
- Replace each "implements interface" with another superclass

So: Expect to see interfaces only in statically typed OOP without multiple inheritance

- Not Ruby
- Not C++

Summer 2019

CSE341: Programming Languages

23

21