## Combinational Logic Technologies

- Standard gates
- gate packages
- cell libraries
- Regular logic
- multiplexers
- decoders
- Two-level programmable logic
- PALs
- PLAs
- ROMs


## Random logic

- Transistors quickly integrated into logic gates (1960s)
- Catalog of common gates (1970s)
- Texas Instruments Logic Data Book - the yellow bible
- all common packages listed and characterized (delays, power)
- typical packages:
- in 14-pin IC: 6 -inverters, 4 NAND gates, 4 XOR gates
- Today, very few parts are still in use
- However, parts libraries exist for chip design
- designers reuse already characterized logic gates on chips
- same reasons as before
- difference is that the parts don't exist in physical inventory created as needed


## Random logic

- Too hard to figure out exactly what gates to use
- map from logic to NAND/NOR networks
- determine minimum number of packages
- slight changes to logic function could decrease cost
- Changes to difficult to realize
- need to rewire parts
- may need new parts
- design with spares (few extra inverters and gates on every board)


## Regular logic

- Need to make design faster
- Need to make engineering changes easier to make
- Simpler for designers to understand and map to functionality
- harder to think in terms of specific gates
- better to think in terms of a large multi-purpose block


## Making connections

- Direct point-to-point connections between gates
- wires we've seen so far
- Route one of many inputs to a single output --- multiplexer
- Route a single input to one of many outputs --- demultiplexer

multiplexer

demultiplexer

$4 \times 4$ switch


## Mux and demux

- Switch implementation of multiplexers and demultiplexers
- can be composed to make arbitrary size switching networks
- used to implement multiple-source/multiple-destination interconnections



## Mux and demux (cont'd)

- Uses of multiplexers/demultiplexers in multi-point connections



## Multiplexers/selectors

- Multiplexers/selectors: general concept
- $2^{n}$ data inputs, n control inputs (called "selects"), 1 output
- used to connect $2^{\text {n }}$ points to a single point
- control signal pattern forms binary index of input connected to output

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{0}+\mathrm{Al}_{1}
$$



## Multiplexers/selectors (cont'd)

- 2:1 mux: $Z=A^{\prime} I_{0}+\mathrm{Al}_{1}$
- $4: 1$ mux: $\quad Z=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} I_{0}+A^{\prime} B_{1}+A^{\prime} I_{2}+A B I_{3}$
- 8:1 mux: $\quad Z=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} I_{0}+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{BC}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{BCl}_{3}+$ $\mathrm{AB}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{4}+\mathrm{AB'Cl}_{5}+\mathrm{ABC}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{6}+\mathrm{ABCl}_{7}$
- In general: $Z=\Sigma_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1}\left(m_{k} l_{k}\right)$
- in minterm shorthand form for a $2^{\mathrm{n}}: 1$ Mux


Gate level implementation of muxes

- 2:1 mux

- $4: 1$ mux



## Cascading multiplexers

- Large multiplexers can be made by cascading smaller ones

control signals $B$ and $C$ simultaneously choose one of I0, I1, I2, I3 and one of I4, I5, I6, I7
control signal A chooses which of the upper or lower mux's output to gate to Z



## Multiplexers as general-purpose logic

- $A 2^{n}: 1$ multiplexer can implement any function of $n$ variables
- with the variables used as control inputs and
- the data inputs tied to 0 or 1
- in essence, a lookup table
- Example:
- $F(A, B, C)=m 0+m 2+m 6+m 7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B C^{\prime}+A B C '+A B C \\
= & A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}(1)+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C(0) \\
& +A^{\prime} B^{\prime}(1)+A^{\prime} B C(0) \\
& +A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}(0)+A B^{\prime} C(0) \\
& +A B^{\prime}(1)+A B C(1)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
Z=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} I_{0}+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C I_{1}+A^{\prime} B C^{\prime} I_{2}+A^{\prime} B C I_{3}+
$$

$$
A B^{\prime} C^{\prime} I_{4}+A B^{\prime} C_{5}+A B C^{\prime} I_{6}+A B C I_{7}
$$

## Multiplexers as general-purpose logic (cont'd)

- $A 2^{n-1}: 1$ multiplexer can implement any function of $n$ variables
- with $\mathrm{n}-1$ variables used as control inputs and
- the data inputs tied to the last variable or its complement
- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}) & =\mathrm{m} 0+\mathrm{m} 2+\mathrm{m} 6+m 7 \\
& =A^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{BC}^{\prime}+A B C^{\prime}+A B C \\
& =A^{\prime} B^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime}\right)+A^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)+A B^{\prime}(0)+A B(1)
\end{aligned}
$$



| B |  |  |  | C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
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Multiplexers as general-purpose logic (cont'd)

- Generalization
- Example:

G(A,B,C,D) can be realized by an 8:1 MUX
choose A,B,C as control variables

| A | B | C | D | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $0{ }^{0}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0^{\text {D }}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 D |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |



## Activity

- Realize $F=B^{\prime} C D^{\prime}+A B C^{\prime}$ with a $4: 1$ multiplexer and a minimum of other gates:


## Demultiplexers/decoders

- Decoders/demultiplexers: general concept
- single data input, $n$ control inputs, $2^{n}$ outputs
- control inputs (called "selects" (S)) represent binary index of output to which the input is connected
- data input usually called "enable" (G)

> | 1:2 Decoder: |
| :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 0=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S}$ |
|  |
| $2: 4$ Decoder: |
| $\mathrm{O} 0=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{SO}$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 0^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 0$ |

> 3:8 Decoder:
> $\mathrm{O} 0=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{SO}^{\prime}$
> $\mathrm{O} 1=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S} 0$
> $\mathrm{O} 2=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S} 1 \cdot \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}$
> $\mathrm{O} 3=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{S} 1 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 0$
> $\mathrm{O} 4=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 1^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$
> $\mathrm{O} 5=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2 \cdot \mathrm{~S}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{S} 0$
> $\mathrm{O} 6=\mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{S} 2 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 1 \cdot \mathrm{~S} 0^{\prime}$
> O 7 = G • S2 • S1 • S0

## Gate level implementation of demultiplexers






## Demultiplexers as general-purpose logic

- A $\mathrm{n}: 2^{\mathrm{n}}$ decoder can implement any function of n variables
- with the variables used as control inputs
- the enable inputs tied to 1 and
- the appropriate minterms summed to form the function

demultiplexer generates appropriate minterm based on control signals (it "decodes" control signals)

Demultiplexers as general-purpose logic (cont'd)

- $\mathrm{F} 1=\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{BC}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{CD}+\mathrm{ABCD}$
- $\mathrm{F} 2=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}+\mathrm{ABC}$
- $\mathrm{F} 3=\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}+\mathrm{C}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$


A B C D

## Cascading decoders

- 5:32 decoder
- 1x2:4 decoder
- $4 \times 3: 8$ decoders



## Programmable logic arrays

- Pre-fabricated building block of many AND/OR gates
- actually NOR or NAND
- "personalized" by making/breaking connections among the gates
- programmable array block diagram for sum of products form



## Enabling concept

- Shared product terms among outputs

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& F 0=A+B^{\prime} C^{\prime} \\
\text { example: } & F 1=A C^{\prime}+A B \\
& F 2=B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+A B \\
& F 3=B^{\prime} C+A
\end{array}
$$

personality matrix

| product | inputs |  |  | outputs |  |  | F3 | - = does not participate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| term | A | B | C | FO | F1 | F2 |  |  |
| AB | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | output side: |
| $B^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 = term connected to output |
| $A^{\prime}$ | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | $0=$ no connection to output |
| $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| A | 1 | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

## Before programming

- All possible connections are available before "programming" - in reality, all AND and OR gates are NANDs



## After programming

- Unwanted connections are "blown"
- fuse (normally connected, break unwanted ones)
- anti-fuse (normally disconnected, make wanted connections)



## Alternate representation for high fan-in structures

- Short-hand notation so we don't have to draw all the wires
- $\times$ signifies a connection is present and perpendicular signal is an input to gate

notation for implementing

$$
F 0=A B+A^{\prime} B^{\prime}
$$

$$
F 1=C D^{\prime}+C^{\prime} D
$$



Programmable logic array example

- Multiple functions of A, B, C



## PALs and PLAs

- Programmable logic array (PLA)
- what we've seen so far
- unconstrained fully-general AND and OR arrays
- Programmable array logic (PAL)
- constrained topology of the OR array
- innovation by Monolithic Memories
- faster and smaller OR plane
a given column of the OR array has access to only a subset of the possible product terms



## PALs and PLAs: design example

- BCD to Gray code converter

| A | B | C | D | W | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

minimized functions:
$W=A+B D+B C$
$X=B C^{\prime}$
$Y=B+C$
$Z=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D+B C D+A D^{\prime}+B^{\prime} C D^{\prime}$

## PALs and PLAs: design example (cont'd)

- Code converter: programmed PLA

minimized functions:
$W=A+B D+B C$
$X=B C^{\prime}$
$Y=B+C$
$Z=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D+B C D+A D^{\prime}+B^{\prime} C D^{\prime}$
not a particularly good candidate for PAL/PLA implementation since no terms are shared among outputs

PALs and PLAs: design example (cont'd)

- Code converter: programmed PAL

4 product terms per each OR gate


## PALs and PLAs: design example (cont'd)

- Code converter: NAND gate implementation
- loss or regularity, harder to understand
- harder to make changes
B




## PALs and PLAs: another design example

- Magnitude comparator

| A | B | C | D | EQ | NE | LT | GT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

minimized functions:
$E Q=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} D+A B C D+A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ $L T=A^{\prime} C+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} D+B^{\prime} C D$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NE}=A \mathrm{AC}^{\prime}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{BD}^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{GT}=\mathrm{AC} C^{\prime}+\mathrm{ABC}+\mathrm{BC}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Activity

- Map the following functions to the PLA below:
- $W=A B+A^{\prime} C^{\prime}+B C^{\prime}$
- $X=A B C+A B^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B$
- $Y=A B C^{\prime}+B C+B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$



## Activity (cont'd)

## Read-only memories

- Two dimensional array of 1 s and 0 s
word lines (only one is active - decoder is - entry (row) is called a "word"
- width of row = word-size
- index is called an "address"
- address is input
- selected word is output
nernal organization



## ROMs and combinational logic

- Combinational logic implementation (two-level canonical form) using a ROM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F 0=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C+A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+A B^{\prime} C \\
& F 1=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C+A^{\prime} B C^{\prime}+A B C \\
& F 2=A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C+A B^{\prime} C^{\prime} \\
& F 3=A^{\prime} B C+A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}+A B C^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | F0 | F1 | F2 | F3 |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |



## ROM structure

- Similar to a PLA structure but with a fully decoded AND array - completely flexible OR array (unlike PAL)



## ROM vs. PLA

- ROM approach advantageous when
- design time is short (no need to minimize output functions)
- most input combinations are needed (e.g., code converters)
- little sharing of product terms among output functions
- ROM problems
- size doubles for each additional input
- can't exploit don't cares
- PLA approach advantageous when
- design tools are available for multi-output minimization
- there are relatively few unique minterm combinations
- many minterms are shared among the output functions
- PAL problems
- constrained fan-ins on OR plane


## Regular logic structures for two-level logic

- ROM - full AND plane, general OR plane
- cheap (high-volume component)
- can implement any function of $n$ inputs
- medium speed
- PAL - programmable AND plane, fixed OR plane
- intermediate cost
- can implement functions limited by number of terms
- high speed (only one programmable plane that is much smaller than ROM's decoder)
- PLA - programmable AND and OR planes
- most expensive (most complex in design, need more sophisticated tools)
- can implement any function up to a product term limit
- slow (two programmable planes)


## Regular logic structures for multi-level logic

- Difficult to devise a regular structure for arbitrary connections between a large set of different types of gates
- efficiency/speed concerns for such a structure
- in 467 you'll learn about field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) that are just such programmable multi-level structures
- programmable multiplexers for wiring
- lookup tables for logic functions (programming fills in the table)
- multi-purpose cells (utilization is the big issue)
- Use multiple levels of PALs/PLAs/ROMs
- output intermediate result
- make it an input to be used in further logic


## Combinational logic technology summary

- Random logic
- Single gates or in groups
- conversion to NAND-NAND and NOR-NOR networks
- transition from simple gates to more complex gate building blocks
- reduced gate count, fan-ins, potentially faster
- more levels, harder to design
- Time response in combinational networks
- gate delays and timing waveforms
- hazards/glitches (what they are and why they happen)
- Regular logic
- multiplexers/decoders
- ROMs
- PLAs/PALs
- advantages/disadvantages of each

