

## Today's Outline

- Announcements
- Assignment \#3 due Thurs, May $7^{\text {th }}$.
- Today's Topics:


## - Priority Queues

- Binary Min Heap - buildheap
- D-Heaps
- Leftist Heaps


## Facts about Binary Min Heaps

Observations:

- finding a child/parent index is a multiply/divide by two
- operations jump widely through the heap
- each percolate step looks at only two new nodes
- inserts are at least as common as deleteMins


## Realities:

- division/multiplication by powers of two are equally fast
- looking at only two new pieces of data: bad for cache!
- with huge data sets, disk accesses dominate 5/01/2009



## A Solution: $d$-Heaps

- Each node has $d$ children
- Still representable by array
- Good choices for $d$ :
- (choose a power of two

for efficiency)
 cache line
- fit one set of children on a $\min _{5012009}{ }^{\text {mery }}$ page/disk block 55012009


## Operations on $d$-Heap

- Insert : runtime =
- deleteMin: runtime =


## One More Operation

- Merge two heaps. Ideas?


## Leftist Heaps

Idea:
Focus all heap maintenance work in one small part of the heap

Leftist heaps:

1. Most nodes are on the left
2. All the merging work is done on the right
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## New Operation: Merge

Given two heaps, merge them into one heap

- first attempt: insert each element of the smaller heap into the larger.
runtime:
- second attempt: concatenate binary heaps, arrays and run buildHeap. runtime:


## Definition: Null Path Length

null path length ( $n \mathrm{npl}$ ) of a node $x=$ the number of nodes between $x$ and a null in its subtree

OR
$\mathrm{npl}(\mathrm{x})=\min$ distance to a descendant with 0 or 1 children

- $n p l($ null $)=-1$
- $n p l($ leaf, aka zero children $)=0$
- $n p l($ node with one child $)=0$

Equivalent definitions:

1. $n p l(x)$ is the height of largest perfect subtree rooted at $x$
2. $n p l(x)=1+\min \{n p l(\operatorname{left}(\mathrm{x})), n p l(\operatorname{right}(\mathrm{x}))\}$ 5/01/2009

## Leftist Heap Properties

- Heap-order property
- parent's priority value is $\leq$ to childrens' priority values
- result: minimum element is at the root
- Leftist property
- For every node $x, n p l(\operatorname{left}(x)) \geq n p l(\operatorname{right}(x))$
- result: tree is at least as "heavy" on the left as the right

Are leftist trees...
complete?
5/01/2009
balanced?


## Right Path in a Leftist Tree is Short (\#2)

Claim: If the right path has $\mathbf{r}$ nodes, then the tree has at least
$2^{x}-1$ nodes.
Proof: (By induction)
Base case : $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{1}$. Tree has at least $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{- 1}=\mathbf{1}$ node
Inductive step : assume true for $\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}<\boldsymbol{r}$. Prove for tree with right path at least $\mathbf{r}$.

1. Right subtree: right path of $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{- 1}$ nodes
$\Rightarrow \mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{r}-1}-1$ right subtree nodes (by induction)
2. Left subtree: also right path of length at least $\mathbf{r}-1$ (by previous
slide) $\quad \Rightarrow 2^{\mathrm{r}-1}-1$ left subtree nodes (by induction)
Total tree size: $\left(2^{x-1}-1\right)+\left(2^{x-1}-1\right)+1=2^{x}-1$

## Why do we have the leftist property?

Because it guarantees that:

- the right path is really short compared to the number of nodes in the tree
- A leftist tree of N nodes, has a right path of at most $\log (\mathbf{N}+1)$ nodes

Idea - perform all work on the right path 5/01/2009 17

## Merge two heaps (basic idea)

- Put the smaller root as the new root,
- Hang its left subtree on the left.
- Recursively merge its right subtree and the other tree.



## Other Heap Operations

- insert?
- deleteMin ?


## Leftist Heaps: Summary

Good
-
-
$\underline{B a d}$
-
-
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## Skew Heaps

Problems with leftist heaps

- extra storage for npl
- extra complexity/logic to maintain and check npl
- right side is "often" heavy and requires a switch

Solution: skew heaps

- "blindly" adjusting version of leftist heaps
- merge always switches children when fixing right path
- $\underline{\text { amortized time for: merge, insert, deleteMin }=\mathrm{O}(\log n), ~(1) ~}$
- however, worst case time for all three $=\mathrm{O}(n)$
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## Operations on Leftist Heaps

- merge with two trees of total size $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
- insert with heap size $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
- pretend node is a size 1 leftist heap
- insert by merging original heap with one node heap

$$
\triangle \bigcirc \xrightarrow{\text { merge }} \wedge
$$

- deleteMin with heap size $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$
- remove and return root
- merge left and right subtrees



## Amortized Time

## am•or•tized time

Running time limit resulting from "writing off" expensive runs of an algorithm over multiple cheap runs of the algorithm, usually resulting in a lower overall running time than indicated by the worst possible case.

If M operations take total $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M} \log \mathrm{N})$ time, amortized time per operation is $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{N})$

Difference from average time:



## Runtime Analysis: <br> Worst-case and Amortized

- No worst case guarantee on right path length!
- All operations rely on merge
$\Rightarrow$ worst case complexity of all ops =
- Amortized Analysis (Chapter 11)
- Result: $M$ merges take time $M \log n$
$\Rightarrow$ amortized complexity of all ops $=$
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