

Implementing a Language

Given type-checked AST program representation:

- might want to run it
- might want to analyze program properties
- might want to display aspects of program on screen for user
- ...

To run program:

- can interpret AST directly
- can generate target program that is then run recursively

Tradeoffs:

- time till program can be executed (turnaround time)
- speed of executing program
- simplicity of implementation
- flexibility of implementation

Interpreters

Create data structures to represent run-time program state

- values manipulated by program
- **activation record** (a.k.a. stack frame) for each called method
 - **environment** to store local variable bindings
 - pointer to lexically-enclosing activation record/environment (**static link**)
 - pointer to calling activation record (**dynamic link**)

EVAL loop executing AST nodes

Pros and cons of interpretation

- + simple conceptually, easy to implement
- + fast turnaround time
- + good programming environments
- + easy to support fancy language features
- slow to execute
 - data structure for value vs. direct value
 - variable lookup vs. registers or direct access
 - EVAL overhead vs. direct machine instructions
 - no optimizations across AST nodes

Compilation

Divide interpreter work into two parts:

- compile-time
- run-time

Compile-time does preprocessing

- perform some computations at compile-time once
- produce an equivalent program that gets run many times

Only advantage over interpreters: faster running programs

Compile-time processing

Decide representation of run-time data values

Decide where data will be stored

- registers
- format of stack frames
- global memory
- format of in-memory data structures (e.g. records, arrays)

Generate machine code to do basic operations

- just like interpreting expression, except generate code that will evaluate it later

Do optimizations across instructions if desired

Compile time vs. run time

Compile time	Run time
Procedure	Activation record/ stack frame
Scope, symbol table	Environment (Contents of stack frame)
Variable	Memory location, Register
Lexically-enclosing scope	Static link
Calling procedure	Dynamic link

An interpreter for MiniJava

In `Environment` subdirectory:

Data structure to represent run-time values: `Value` hierarchy

- analogous to `ResolvedType` hierarchy

`Value`

`IntValue`

`BooleanValue`

`ClassValue`

`NullValue`

Data structure to store `Values` for each variable:

`Environment` hierarchy

- analogous to `SymbolTable` hierarchy

`Environment`

`GlobalEnvironment`

`NestedEnvironment`

`ClassEnvironment`

`CodeEnvironment`

`MethodEnvironment`

evaluate methods for each kind of AST class

Activation records

Each call of a procedure allocates an **activation record** (instance of `Environment`, somewhat poorly named)

Activation record stores:

- mapping from names to `Values`, for each formal and local variable in that scope (**environment**)
- lexically enclosing activation record (**static link**)

Method activation record: also

- calling activation record (**dynamic link**)

Class activation record: also

- methods (to support run-time method lookup)
- instance variable *declarations*, not *values*
 - values stored in class instances, i.e., `ClassValues`

Activation records vs. symbol tables

For each method/nested block scope in a program:

- exactly one symbol table, storing **types** of names
- possibly many activation records, one per invocation, each storing **values** of names

For recursive procedures, can have several activation records for same procedure on stack simultaneously

All activation records have same “shape,” described by single symbol table

Example

...

```
class Fac {
    public int ComputeFac(int num) {
        int numAux;
        if (num < 1) {
            numAux = 1;
        } else {
            numAux = num * this.ComputeFac(num-1);
        }
        return numAux;
    }
}
```