


Semantic Analysis

Having figured out the program's structure, now figure out what it means

Compiler Passes



Semantic Analysis/Checking

Semantic analysis: the final part of the analysis half of compilation
– afterwards comes the synthesis half of compilation

Purposes:

- perform final checking of legality of input program, "missed" by lexical and syntactic checking
- name resolution, type checking, break stmt in loop, ...
- "understand" program well enough to do synthesis
- Typical goal: relate assignments to & references of particular variable

Symbol Tables

Key data structure during semantic analysis, code generation

Build in semantic pass

Stores info about the names used in program

- a map (table) from names to info about them
- each symbol table entry is a binding
- a declaration adds a binding to the map
- a use of a name looks up binding in the map
- report a type error if none found

An Example

```
class C {
    int x;
    boolean y;
    int f(C c) {
        int z;
        ...
        ...z...c...new C(...x...f(...))...
    }
}
```

A Bigger Example

```
class C {
    int x;
    boolean y;
    int f(C c) {
        int z;
        ...
        {
            boolean x;
            C z;
            int f;
            ...z...c...new C(...x...f(...))...
        }
        ...z...c...new C(...x...f(...))...
    }
}
```

Nested Scopes

Can have same name declared in different scopes

- Want references to use closest textually-enclosing declaration
 - static/lexical scoping, block structure
 - closer declaration shadows declaration of enclosing scope

Simple solution:

- one symbol table per scope
- each scope's symbol table refers to its lexically enclosing scope's symbol table
- root is the global scope's symbol table
- look up declaration of name starting with nearest symbol table, proceed to enclosing symbol tables if not found locally

All scopes in program form a tree

Name Spaces

Sometimes we can have same name refer to different things, but still unambiguously. Example:

```
class F {
  int F(F F) {
    // 3 different F's are available here!
    ... new F() ...
    ... F = ...
    ... this.F(...) ...
  }
}
```

In MiniJava: three name spaces

- classes, methods, and variables
 - We always know which we mean for each name reference, based on its syntactic position
- Simple solution: symbol table stores a separate map for each name space

Information About Names

- Different kinds of declarations store different information about their names
 - must store enough information to be able to check later references to the name
- A variable declaration:
 - its type
 - whether it's final, etc.
 - whether it's public, etc.
 - (maybe) whether it's a local variable, an instance variable, a global variable, or ...

Information About Names (Continued)

- A method declaration:
 - its argument and result types
 - whether it's static, etc.
 - whether it's public, etc.
- A class declaration:
 - its class variable declarations
 - its method and constructor declarations
 - its superclass

Generic Type Checking Algorithm

- To do semantic analysis & checking on a program, recursively type check each of the nodes in the program's AST, each in the context of the symbol table for its enclosing scope
 - going down, create any nested symbol tables & context needed
 - recursively type check child subtrees
 - on the way back up, check that the children are legal in the context of their parents
- Each AST node class defines its own type check method, which fills in the specifics of this recursive algorithm
- Generally:
 - declaration AST nodes add bindings to the current symbol table
 - statement AST nodes check their subtrees
 - expression AST nodes check their subtrees and return a result type

MiniJava Type Check Implementation

In the SymbolTable subdirectory:

Various SymbolTable classes, organized into a hierarchy:

```
SymbolTable
GlobalSymbolTable
NestedSymbolTable
ClassSymbolTable
CodeSymbolTable
```

- Support the following operations (and more):
 - declareClass, lookupClass
 - declareInstanceVariable, declareLocalVariable, lookupVariable
 - declareMethod, lookupMethod

Class, Variable and Method Information

`lookupClass` returns a `ClassSymbolTable`
– includes all the information about the class's interface

`lookupVariable` returns a `VarInterface`
– stores the variable's type

A hierarchy of implementations:

```
VarInterface
  LocalVarInterface
  InstanceVarInterface
```

`lookupMethod` returns a `MethodInterface`
– stores the method's argument and result types

Key AST Type Check Operations

```
void Program.typecheck()
  throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
– typecheck the whole program
```

```
void Stmt.typecheck(CodeSymbolTable)
  throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
– Type check a statement in the context of the given symbol table
```

```
ResolvedType Expr.typecheck(CodeSymbolTable)
  throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
– type check an expression in the context of the given symbol
table, returning the type of the result
```

Forward References

Typechecking class declarations is tricky: need to allow for forward references from the bodies of earlier classes to the declarations of later classes

```
class First {
  Second next; // must allow this forward ref
  int f() {
    ... next.g() ... // and this forward ref
  }
}
class Second {
  First prev;
  int g() {
    ... prev.f() ...
  }
}
```

Supporting Forward References

Simple solution:

type check a program's class declarations in multiple passes

- first pass: remember all class declarations
{First --> class(?), Second --> class(?)}
- second pass: compute interface to each class, checking class types in headers
{First --> class(next:Second),
Second --> class(prev:First)}
- third pass: check method bodies, given interfaces

Supporting Forward References [continued]

```
void
  ClassDecl.declareClass(GlobalSymbolTable)
  throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
```

- declare the class in the global symbol table
- ```
void ClassDecl.computeClassInterface()
 throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
```

- fill out the class's interface, given the declared classes

```
void ClassDecl.typecheckClass()
 throws TypecheckCompilerExn;
```

- type check the body of the class, given all classes' interfaces

## Example Type Checking Operation

```
class VarDeclStmt {
 String name;
 Type type;

 void typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerExn {
 st.declareLocalVar(type.resolve(st), name);
 }
}
```

- `resolve` checks that a syntactic type expression is a legal type, and returns the corresponding resolved type
- `declareLocalVar` checks for duplicate variable declaration in this scope

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class AssignStmt {
 String lhs;
 Expr rhs;
 void typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 VarInterface lhs_iface = st.lookupVar(lhs);
 ResolvedType lhs_type = lhs_iface.getType();
 ResolvedType rhs_type = rhs.typecheck(st);
 rhs_type.checkIsAssignableTo(lhs_type);
 }
}
```

lookupVar checks that the name is declared as a var  
checkIsAssignableTo verifies that an expression yielding the rhs type can be assigned to a variable declared to be of lhs type

- initially, rhs type is equal to or a subclass of lhs type

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class IfStmt {
 Expr test;
 Stmt then_stmt;
 Stmt else_stmt;
 void typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 ResolvedType test_type = test.typecheck(st);
 test_type.checkIsBoolean();
 then_stmt.typecheck(st);
 else_stmt.typecheck(st);
 }
}
```

- checkIsBoolean checks that the type is a boolean

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class BlockStmt {
 List<Stmt> stmts;
 void typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 CodeSymbolTable nested_st =
 new CodeSymbolTable(st);
 foreach Stmt stmt in stmts {
 stmt.typecheck(nested_st);
 }
 }
}
```

- (Garbage collection will reclaim nested\_st when done)

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class IntLiteralExpr extends Expr {
 int value;

 ResolvedType typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 return ResolvedType.intType();
 }
}
```

ResolvedType.intType() returns the resolved int type

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class VarExpr extends Expr {
 String name;

 ResolvedType typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 VarInterface iface = st.lookupVar(name);
 return iface.getType();
 }
}
```

### Example Type Checking Operation

```
class AddExpr extends Expr {
 Expr arg1;
 Expr arg2;

 ResolvedType typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 ResolvedType arg1_type =
 arg1.typecheck(st);
 ResolvedType arg2_type =
 arg2.typecheck(st);
 arg1_type.checkIsInt();
 arg2_type.checkIsInt();
 return ResolvedType.intType();
 }
}
```

## Polymorphism and Overloading

Some operations are defined on multiple types

Example: assignment statement: lhs = rhs;

- works over any lhs & rhs types, as long as they're compatible
- works the same way for all such types

Assignment is a **polymorphic** operation

Another example: equals expression: expr1 == expr2

- works if both exprs are ints or both are booleans (but nothing else, in MiniJava)
- compares integer values if both are ints, compares boolean values if both are booleans
- works differently for different argument types

Equality testing is an **overloaded** operation

## Polymorphism and Overloading [continued]

- Full Java allows methods & constructors to be overloaded, too
  - different methods can have same name but different argument types
- Java 1.5 supports (parametric) polymorphism via generics: parameterized classes and methods

## An Example Overloaded Type Check

```
class EqualExpr extends Expr {
 Expr arg1;
 Expr arg2;
 ResolvedType typecheck(CodeSymbolTable st)
 throws TypecheckCompilerException {
 ResolvedType arg1_type = arg1.typecheck(st);
 ResolvedType arg2_type = arg2.typecheck(st);
 if (arg1_type.isIntType() &&
 arg2_type.isIntType()) {
 //resolved overloading to int version
 return ResolvedType.booleanType();
 } else if (arg1_type.isBooleanType() &&
 arg2_type.isBooleanType()) {
 //resolved overloading to boolean version
 return ResolvedType.booleanType();
 } else {
 throw new TypecheckCompilerException("bad
 overload");
 }
 }
}
```

## Type Checking Extensions in Project [1]

Add resolved type for double

Add resolved type for arrays

- parameterized by element type

Questions:

- when are two array types equal?
- when is one a subtype of another?
- when is one assignable to another?

Add symbol table support for static class variable declarations

- StaticVarInterface class
- declareStaticVariable method

## Type Checking Extensions in Project [2]

Implement type checking for new statements and expressions:

IfStmt

- else stmt is optional

ForStmt

- loop index variable must be declared to be an int
- initializer & increment expressions must be ints
- test expression must be a boolean

BreakStmt

- must be nested in a loop

DoubleLiteralExpr

- result is double

OrExpr

- like AndExpr

## Type Checking Extensions in Project [3]

ArrayAssignStmt

- array expr must be an array
- index expr must be an int
- rhs expr must be assignable to array's element type

ArrayLookupExpr

- array expr must be an array
- index expr must be an int
- result is array's element type

ArrayLengthExpr

- array expr must be an array
- result is an int

ArrayNewExpr

- length expr must be an int
- element type must be a legal type
- result is array of given element type

## Type Checking Extensions in Project [4]

Extend existing operations on ints to also work on doubles

Allow unary operations taking ints (`NegateExpr`) to be overloaded on doubles

Allow binary operations taking ints (`AddExpr`, `SubExpr`, `MulExpr`, `DivExpr`, `LessThanExpr`, `LessEqualExpr`, `GreaterEqualExpr`, `GreaterThanExpr`, `EqualExpr`, `NotEqualExpr`) to be overloaded on doubles

- also allow *mixed* arithmetic: if operator invoked on an int and a double, then implicitly coerce the int to a double and then use the double version

Extend `isAssignableTo` to allow ints to be assigned/passed/returned to doubles, via an implicit coercion

## Type Checking Terminology

Static vs. dynamic typing

- static: checking done prior to execution (e.g. compile-time)
- dynamic: checking during execution

Strong vs. weak typing

- strong: guarantees no illegal operations performed
- weak: can't make guarantees

Caveats:

- Hybrids common
- Mistaken usage also common
- "untyped," "typeless" could mean dynamic or weak

|        | static | dynamic |
|--------|--------|---------|
| strong |        |         |
| weak   |        |         |

## Type Checking Terminology

Static vs. dynamic typing

- static: checking done prior to execution (e.g. compile-time)
- dynamic: checking during execution

Strong vs. weak typing

- strong: guarantees no illegal operations performed
- weak: can't make guarantees

Caveats:

- Hybrids common
- Mistaken usage also common
- "untyped," "typeless" could mean dynamic or weak

|        | static | dynamic    |
|--------|--------|------------|
| strong | Java   | Lisp       |
| weak   | C      | PERL (1-5) |

## Type Equivalence

When is one type equal to another?

implemented in MiniJava with  
`ResolvedType.equals(ResolvedType)` method

"Obvious" for atomic types like `int`, `boolean`, `class` types

What about type "constructors" like arrays?

```
int[] a1;
int[] a2;
int[][] a3;
boolean[] a4;
Rectangle[] a5;
Rectangle[] a6;
```

## Type Equivalence

Parameterized types in Java 1.5:

```
List<int> l1; List<int> l2; List<List<int>> l3;
```

In C:

```
int* p1; int* p2;
struct {int x;} s1; struct {int x;} s2;
typedef struct {int x;} S; S s3; S s4;
```

## Name vs Structural Equivalence

Name equivalence:

two types are equal iff they came from the same textual occurrence of a type constructor

- implement with pointer equality of `ResolvedType` instances
- special case: type synonyms (e.g. `typedef`) don't define new types
- e.g. class types, struct types in C, `datatype`s in ML

Structural equivalence:

two types are equal iff they have same structure

- if atomic types, then obvious
- if type constructors:
  - same constructor
  - recursively, equivalent arguments to constructor
- implement with recursive implementation of `equals`, or by canonicalization of types when types created then use pointer equality
- e.g. atomic types, array types, record types in ML

## Type Conversions and Coercions

In Java, can **explicitly convert** an object of type double to one of type int

- can represent as unary operator
- typecheck, codegen normally

In Java, can **implicitly coerce** an object of type int to one of type double

- compiler must insert unary conversion operators, based on result of type checking

## Type Casts

In C and Java, can explicitly **cast** an object of one type to another

- sometimes cast means a conversion (casts between numeric types)
- sometimes cast means just a change of static type without doing any computation (casts between pointer types or pointer and numeric types)

In C: **safety/correctness of casts not checked**

- allows writing low-level code that's type-unsafe
- more often used to work around limitations in C's static type system

In Java: **downcasts from superclass to subclass include run-time type check to preserve type safety**

- static typechecker allows the cast
- codegen introduces run-time check
- Java's main form of dynamic type checking

| Programming language     | static / dynamic | strong / weak | safe   | nominal / structural |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------|
| Ada                      | static           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| assembly language        | none             | weak          | unsafe | structural           |
| BASIC                    | static           | weak          | safe   | nominal              |
| C                        | static           | weak          | unsafe | nominal              |
| C++                      | static           | strong        | unsafe | nominal              |
| Go <sup>2</sup>          | static           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| Objective-C              | dynamic          | weak          | safe   | nominal              |
| D                        | static           | strong        | unsafe | nominal              |
| Fortran                  | static           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| Haskell                  | static           | strong        | safe   | structural           |
| Io                       | dynamic          | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| Java                     | static           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| Lisp                     | dynamic          | strong        | safe   | structural           |
| ML                       | static           | strong        | safe   | structural           |
| Objective-C <sup>1</sup> | dynamic          | weak          | safe   | nominal              |
| Perl                     | static           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| Perl 5                   | dynamic          | weak          | safe   | nominal              |
| Perl 6                   | hybrid           | strong        | safe   | nominal              |
| PHP                      | dynamic          | strong        | safe   | ?                    |
| Prolog                   | static           | weak          | safe   | nominal              |