References » Painless Functional Specifications, Joel Spolsky **Functional Specs** • http://www.joelonsoftware.com/printerFriendly/articles/fog000000036.html » Anchoring the Software Process, Barry Boehm, USC • http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/boehm95anchoring.html CSE 403, Winter 2003 Software Engineering http://www.cs.washington.edu/education/courses/403/03wi/ 2 22-January-2003 cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington 22-January-2003 cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington Elements of Lifecycle Objectives (LCO) System Requirements • Essential features of the system What is it? • Operational Concepts » defined at a level appropriate to the spin cycle » capabilities, interfaces, reliability levels, appearance • System Requirements What does it do for us? » Easy to change early on, grows increasingly more difficult • Customer's involvement very important • System and software architecture How? » they know the domain of interest far better than you do » what fits with their daily work and life patterns • Lifecycle plan Who wants it? Who'll support it? » what might the future bring • Neither you nor the customer know everything • Feasibility Rationale Is this really true? » try to build joint ownership of the process » open communication can make change more acceptable 3 22-January-2003 cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington 22-January-2003 cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington 4

Readings and References

System and Software Architecture

- Sufficient detail to support feasibility analysis
 - » multiple viable choices is great at this stage
 - » people lock on to a particular architecture *very* quickly and get attached to their perceived piece
- If you can't define an architecture that seems to make sense, don't ignore the problem
 - » Basic data flow or performance problems will kill a system, no matter how many features it has
 - » Rethink why and for whom you are doing this

22-January-2003

22-January-2003

cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington

Once in motion, ideas stay in motion

- People get attached to their creations
 - » if it's just a paragraph or two, it's easy to change
 - » if it's pages and pages, it's hard to change
- Nobody wants to throw out hard work
 - » even if the problem it solves is now irrelevant!
 - » it feels like criticizing, instead of discussing
- Architects get blinders very quickly
 - » if we take that approach, then my group won't be needed at all on this project ∴ that's a bad approach

cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington

7

5

Risk Reduction

- "Failing to write a spec is the *single biggest* unnecessary risk you take in a software project"
 » Joel Spolsky
- The act of writing the spec -- describing how the program works [from user perspective] in minute detail -- will force you to actually design the program
 - » you get a chance to see the potholes before you fall in
 - » you get a chance to back up and change your mind before you've written thousands of lines of code

22-January-2003 cse

cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington

6

Specs as Communication Support

- It's always amazing how:
 - » people hear and remember some things
 - » people hear and don't remember other things
 - » two people hear exactly the same thing and remember something completely different
- Write stuff down, then point to it when needed
 - » single source of information
 - » fantasy reduction benefits are huge
 - but remember, specs are a tool, not a magic elixer

Face the problems early

- Writing an outline of program features makes you think about the high level areas of interest
 » Can't overlook major functional areas
- Writing the details makes you think about how you are going to do these things
 - » Can't overlook major architectural defects
- While you've still got time, you can toss the early architecture and replace it completely

cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington

What's in the spec?

- An author
 - » Take responsibility for your work
- Scenarios
 - » Let the customers see these ideas in action
- Non-goals
 - » Eliminate the "implied" goals
- Overview
 - » Elevator pitch with a drawing or two

22-January-2003 cse403-06-FunctionalSpecs © 2003 University of Washington

10

What else is in the spec?

- Details of operation from user perspective
 - » what's it look like to the various users
 - » what happens during overload, weekends
 - » general performance parameters
 - » typical equipment requirements
- Open issues
 - » state them explicitly
- Side notes
 - » for different reader communities

22-January-2003

22-January-2003

11

9

Spolsky's Rules for Writing

• Be funny

» be specific, people love it and will discuss it

• Be understandable

» a customer who understands will help you succeed

- Write as simply as possible
- Review and reread
- Templates considered harmful
 » an entry to fix every oversight in the last 5 years