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## Procedures as unnamed blobs

- With lambda, we've separated the body of the procedure from any particular name for the procedure
- Procedures are objects like any other, and can be handed around from procedure to procedure as arguments, return values, etc
- Procedures can be defined and applied without ever getting a name assigned to them


## Readings and References

- Reading
» Section 1.3, Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs, by Abelson, Sussman, and Sussman, but you've already read this, right?
- Other References
a numeric interval



## anonymous calculate-h



## calculate last-x

```
; define a function that figures out what the beginning
; of the last interval is
; calculate a+(h*(n-1)) directly
(define (last-x1 a b n)
    (+ a (* (- n 1) (/ (- b a) n))))
```


; do the same thing without naming the function


1
$\overline{10}$
last-x using a helper function
; calculate $a+(k * h)$ using a simple function, and
; pre-calculate $k$ and $h$ to pass to the function

last-x using anonymous helper function
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## last-x with concealed anonymous function



## Special form let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { let } \quad\left(\left(\left\langle v a r_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\exp _{1}\right\rangle\right)\right. \\
\\
\left.\left(\left\langle v a r_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle\exp _{2}\right\rangle\right)\right)
\end{array} \\
& \langle\operatorname{body\rangle )}
\end{aligned}
$$

- When the let is evaluated, each expression $\exp _{i}$ is evaluated and the resulting value is associated with the related name $v a r_{i}$, then the body is evaluated.
- There is no order implied in the evaluation of $\exp _{i}$
- This is exactly the same as parameter evaluation before a procedure call
» This is parameter evaluation before a procedure call


## nesting lets lets us get x

```
; nested lets and let*
(define x 2) is this x referenced anywhere?
(let ((x 3))
    (let ((y (+ x 2)))
        (* x y)))
(let* ((x 3)
            (y (+ x 2)))
    (* x y))
```


## an iterator with parameter $h$

```
; show all the x values on the interval
(define (show-x1 a b n)
    (define (iter h count)
        (if (> count n)
            (newline)
            (begin
                (display (+ a (* h count)))
                (display " ")
                (iter h (+ count 1)))))
    (iter (/ (- b a) n) 0))
```


## Special form let*

```
(let* ((\langlevar \rangle}\rangle\langle\mp@subsup{exp}{l}{l}\rangle
    (\langlevar }\rangle\langle<\mp@subsup{exp}{2}{}\rangle)
\langlebody\rangle)
```

- When the let* is evaluated, each expression $\exp _{i}$ is evaluated in turn and the resulting value is associated with the related name $v a r_{i}$, then the body is evaluated.
- The $\exp _{i}$ are evaluated in left to right order
» each binding indicated by $\left(\left\langle v a r_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\exp _{i}\right\rangle\right)$ is part of the environment for ( $\left\langle\operatorname{var}_{i+1}\right\rangle\left\langle\exp _{i+1}\right\rangle$ ) and following
» This is exactly equivalent to nesting the let statements


## $h$ defined in enclosing scope

```
; show all the x values on the interval
; using let
(define (show-x2 a b n)
    (let ((h (/ (- b a) n)))
        (define (iter count)
            (if (> count n)
                (newline)
                (begin
                            (display (+ a (* h count)))
                (display " ")
                    (iter (+ count 1)))))
        (iter 0)))
```


## Special form begin

(begin $\left.\left\langle\exp _{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\exp _{2}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle\exp _{n}\right\rangle\right)$

- Evaluate the $\exp _{i}$ in sequence from left to right
- The value returned by the entire begin expression is the value of $\exp _{n}$
- Best used to sequence side effects like I/O
» for example displaying each of the x values in show-x
- There is implicit sequencing in the body of a lambda procedure or a let but we generally don't use it
" the procedure returns the value of the last $\exp _{i}$, so the body of most of our procedures consists of one expression only


## sequencing with begin

```
; show all the x values on the interval
; using let
(define (show-x2 a b n)
    (let ((h (/ (- b a) n)))
        (define (iter count)
            (if (> count n)
            (newline)
            (begin
                            (display (+ a (* h count)))
                            (display " ")
                (iter (+ count 1)))))
        (iter 0)))```

