Object Class Recognition Readings: Yi Li's 2 Papers

- Abstract Regions (multiple different segmentations)
- Paper 1: EM as a Classifier (Generative Approach)
- Paper 2: EM Clustering, Feature Generation, Neural Net Classifier (Generative/Discriminative Approach)

Object Class Recognition using Images of Abstract Regions

Yi Li, Jeff A. Bilmes, and Linda G. Shapiro Department of Computer Science and Engineering Department of Electrical Engineering University of Washington

## **Problem Statement**

Given: Some images and their corresponding descriptions



To solve: What object classes are present in new images



# Image Features for Object Recognition

• Color



• Texture



• Structure





Context



## **Abstract Regions**



## **Object Model Learning (Ideal)**





## **Object Model Learning**

Assumptions:

- The objects to be recognized can be modeled as multivariate Gaussian distributions.
- 2. The regions of an image can help us to recognize its objects.

## **Model Initial Estimation**

 Estimate the initial model of an object using all the region features from all images that contain the object





**EM Variant** 

- Fixed Gaussian components (one Gaussian per object class) and fixed weights corresponding to the frequencies of the corresponding objects in the training data.
- Customized initialization uses only the training images that contain a particular object class to initialize its Gaussian.
- Controlled expectation step ensures that a feature vector only contributes to the Gaussian components representing objects present in its training image.
- Extra background component absorbs noise.



Gaussian for buildings





#### 1. Initialization Step (Example)

Image & description



2. Iteration Step (Example)





What could it be?

### Combining different abstract regions

 Treat the different types of regions independently and combine at the time of classification.

 $p(o | \{F_I^a\}) = \prod_a p(o | F_I^a)$ 

Form intersections of the different types of regions, creating smaller regions that have both color and texture properties for classification.

### Experiments (on 860 images)

- 18 keywords: mountains (30), orangutan (37), track (40), tree trunk (43), football field (43), beach (45), prairie grass (53), cherry tree (53), snow (54), zebra (56), polar bear (56), lion (71), water (76), chimpanzee (79), cheetah (112), sky (259), grass (272), tree (361).
- A set of cross-validation experiments (80% as training set and the other 20% as test set)
- The poorest results are on object classes "tree," "grass," and "water," each of which has a high variance; a single Gaussian model is insufficient.





Independent Treatment of Color and Texture Using Intersections of Color and Texture Regions

### Sample Retrieval Results





## Sample Results (Cont.)













## Sample Results (Cont.)

### cherry tree











### Sample Results (Cont.)

lion



# Summary

- Designed a set of abstract region features: color, texture, structure, . . .
- Developed a new semi-supervised EM-like algorithm to recognize object classes in color photographic images of outdoor scenes; tested on 860 images.
- Compared two different methods of combining different types of abstract regions. The intersection method had a higher performance

A Generative/Discriminative Learning Algorithm for Image Classification

Y. Li, L. G. Shapiro, J. Bilmes Department of Computer Science Department of Electrical Engineering University of Washington Our New Approach to Combining Different Feature Types

Phase 1:

- Treat each type of abstract region separately
- For abstract region type *a* and for object class *o*, use the EM algorithm to construct a model that is a mixture of multivariate Gaussians over the features for type *a* regions.

This time Phase 1 is just EM clustering.

For object class (tree) and abstract region type color we will have some preselected number M of clusters, each represented by a 3-dimensional Gaussian distribution in color space.

 $N_1(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$   $N_2(\mu_2, \Sigma_2)$  ...  $N_M(\mu_M, \Sigma_M)$ 

Consider only abstract region type color (c) and object class object (o)

At the end of Phase 1, we can compute the distribution of color feature vectors X<sup>c</sup> in an image containing object o.
This is called a

$$P(X^{c}|o) = \sum_{m=1}^{M^{c}} w_{m}^{c} \cdot N(X^{c}; \mu_{m}^{c}, \Sigma_{m}^{c})$$

This is called a Gaussian **mixture model** for the object o

- *M<sup>c</sup>* is the number of components for object *O*.
- The w's are the weights of the components.
- The  $\mu$ 's and  $\sum$ 's are the parameters of the components

Now we can determine which components are likely to be present in an image.

 The probability that the feature vector X from color region r of image I<sub>i</sub> comes from component m is given by Just use the Gaussian

$$P(X_{i,r}^c, m^c) = w_m^c \cdot N(X_{i,r}^c, \mu_m^c, \Sigma_m^c)$$

Just use the Gaussian function to decide on the probability of region.

Then the probability that image I<sub>i</sub> has a region that comes from component m is

 $P(I_i, m^c) = f(\{P(X_{i,r}^c, m^c) | r = 1, 2, ...\})$ Probability of a component in image is max of all regions.

where f is an aggregate function such as mean or max



We now use **positive** and negative training images, calculate for each the probabilities of regions of each component, and form a training matrix.

 $I_{1}^{+} \begin{bmatrix} P(I_{1}^{+}, 1^{c}) & P(I_{1}^{+}, 2^{c}) & \cdots & P(I_{1}^{+}, M^{c}) \\ I_{2}^{+} & P(I_{2}^{+}, 1^{c}) & P(I_{2}^{+}, 2^{c}) & \cdots & P(I_{2}^{+}, M^{c}) \end{bmatrix}$ 

## Phase 2 Learning

• Let  $C_i$  be row *i* of the training matrix.

- Each such row is a feature vector for the color features of regions of image *I<sub>i</sub>* that relates them to the Phase 1 components.
- Now we can use a second-stage classifier to learn P(o/I<sub>i</sub>) for each object class o and image I<sub>i</sub>.

### Multiple Feature Case

We calculate separate Gaussian mixture models for each different features type:

 $C_i$ 

 $T_i$ 

- Color:
- Texture:
- Structure:  $S_i$
- and any more features we have (motion).

Now we concatenate the matrix rows from the different region types to obtain a multifeature-type training matrix.



### **Discriminative Phase**

- Given the training set for a single object (like tree)
- The classifier is given many samples of positive and negative feature vectors containing color, texture, and structure features all together.
- Yi trained a neural net classifier for object recognition.

# ICPR04 Data Set with General Labels

	EM-variant with single Gaussian per object	EM-variant extension to mixture models	Gen/Dis with Classical EM clustering	Gen/Dis with EM-variant extension
African animal	71.8%	85.7%	89.2%	90.5%
arctic	80.0%	79.8%	90.0%	85.1%
beach	88.0%	90.8%	89.6%	91.1%
grass	76.9%	69.6%	75.4%	77.8%
mountain	94.0%	96.6%	97.5%	93.5%
primate	74.7%	86.9%	91.1%	90.9%
sky	91.9%	84.9%	93.0%	93.1%
stadium	95.2%	98.9%	99.9%	100.0%
tree	70.7%	79.0%	87.4%	88.2%
water	82.9%	82.3%	83.1%	82.4%
MEAN	82.6%	85.4%	89.6%	89.3%

Comparison to ALIP: the Benchmark Image Set

- Test database used in SIMPLIcity paper and ALIP paper.
- 10 classes (African people, beach, buildings, buses, dinosaurs, elephants, flowers, food, horses, mountains).
   100 images each.

# Comparison to ALIP: the Benchmark Image Set

	ALIP	CS	ts	st	ts+st	cs+st	cs+ts	cs+ts+st
African	52	69	23	26	35	79	72	74
beach	32	44	38	39	51	48	59	64
buildings	64	43	40	41	67	70	70	78
buses	46	60	72	92	86	85	84	95
dinosaurs	100	88	70	37	86	89	94	93
elephants	40	53	8	27	38	64	64	69
flowers	90	85	52	33	78	87	86	91
food	68	63	49	41	66	77	84	85
horses	60	94	41	50	64	92	93	89
mountains	84	43	33	26	43	63	55	65
MEAN	63.6	64.2	42.6	41.2	61.4	75.4	76.1	80.3

- 59,895 COREL images and 599 categories;
- Each category has about 100 images;
- 8 images per category were reserved for testing.
- To train on one category, all the available 92 positive images were used find the clusters. Those positive images, along with 1,000 randomly selected negative images were then used to train the MLPs.

0. Africa, people, landscape, animal



1. autumn, tree, landscape, lake



2. Bhutan, Asia, people, landscape, church



3. California, sea, beach, ocean, flower







4. Canada, sea, boat, house, flower, ocean



5. Canada, west, mountain, landscape, cloud, snow, lake



Number of top-ranked categories required	1	2	3	4	5
ALIP	11.88	17.06	20.76	23.24	26.05
Gen/Dis	11.56	17.65	21.99	25.06	27.75

The table shows the percentage of test images whose true categories were included in the top-ranked categories.

### Groundtruth Data Set

- UW Ground truth database (1224 images)
- 31 elementary object categories: river (30), beach (31), bridge (33), track (35), pole (38), football field (41), frozen lake (42), lantern (42), husky stadium (44), hill (49), cherry tree (54), car (60), boat (67), stone (70), ground (81), flower (85), lake (86), sidewalk (88), street (96), snow (98), cloud (119), rock (122), house (175), bush (178), mountain (231), water (290), building (316), grass (322), people (344), tree (589), sky (659)
- 20 high-level concepts: Asian city, Australia, Barcelona, campus, Cannon Beach, Columbia Gorge, European city, Geneva, Green Lake, Greenland, Indonesia, indoor, Iran, Italy, Japan, park, San Juans, spring flowers, Swiss mountains, and Yellowstone.



beach, sky, tree, water



people, street, tree



building, grass, people, sidewalk, sky, tree



building, bush, sky, tree, water



flower, house, people, pole, sidewalk, sky



flower, grass, house, pole, sky, street, tree



building, flower, sky, tree, water



boat, rock, sky, tree, water



building, car, people, tree



car, people, sky



boat, house, water



building

## Groundtruth Data Set: ROC Scores

street	60.4	tree	80.8	stone	87.1	columbia gorge	94.5
people	68.0	bush	81.0	hill	87.4	green lake	94.9
rock	73.5	flower	81.1	mountain	88.3	italy	95.1
sky	74.1	iran	82.2	beach	89.0	swiss moutains	95.7
ground	74.3	bridge	82.7	snow	92.0	sanjuans	96.5
river	74.7	car	82.9	lake	92.8	cherry tree	96.9
grass	74.9	pole	83.3	frozen lake	92.8	indoor	97.0
building	75.4	yellowstone	83.7	japan	92.9	greenland	98.7
cloud	75.4	water	83.9	campus	92.9	cannon beach	99.2
boat	76.8	indonesia	84.3	barcelona	92.9	track	99.6
lantern	78.1	sidewalk	85.7	geneva	93.3	football field	99.8
australia	79.7	asian city	86.7	park	94.0	husky stadium	100.0
house	80.1	european city	87.0	spring flowers	94.4		

## Groundtruth Data Set: **Top Results**

Asian city

Cannon beach









Italy

park













## Groundtruth Data Set: **Top Results**

sky

1 - 1 - and a state of the spring flowers

tree

water

# Groundtruth Data Set: Annotation Samples



tree(97.3), bush(91.6), spring flowers(90.3), flower(84.4), park(84.3), sidewalk(67.5), grass(52.5), pole(34.1)



sky(99.8), Columbia gorge(98.8), lantern(94.2), street(89.2), house(85.8), bridge(80.8), car(80.5), hill(78.3), boat(73.1), pole(72.3), water(64.3), mountain(63.8), building(9.5)



sky(95.1), **Iran**(89.3), house(88.6), **building**(80.1), boat(71.7), bridge(67.0), **water**(13.5), **tree**(7.7)



Italy(99.9), grass(98.5), sky(93.8), rock(88.8), boat(80.1), water(77.1), Iran(64.2), stone(63.9), bridge(59.6), European(56.3), sidewalk(51.1), house(5.3)

### Comparison to Fergus and to Dorko/Schmid using their Features

Using their features and image sets, we compared our generative / discriminative approach to those of Fergus and Dorko/Schmid.

The image set contained 1074 airplane images, 826 motor bike images, 450 face images, and 900 background. Half were used to train and half to test. We added half the background images to the training set for our negative examples.

	Fergus	Dorko/Schmid	Ours
airplanes	90.2%	96.0%	96.6%
faces	96.4%	96.8%	96.5%
motorbikes	92.5%	98.0%	99.2%

### Structure Feature Experiments

(from other data sets with more manmade structures)

- 1,951 total from freefoto.com
- bus (1,013)

### house/building (609)

#### skyscraper (329)





















### Structure Feature Experiments: Area Under the ROC Curves

<ul> <li>1. Structure (with color pairs)</li> <li>Attributes (10)</li> </ul>		bus	house/ building	skyscraper
<ul><li>Color pair</li><li>Number of lines</li><li>Orientation of lines</li></ul>	Structure only	0.900	0.787	0.887
<ul><li>Line overlap</li><li>Line intersection</li></ul>	Structure + Color Seg	0.924	0.853	0.926
2. Structure (with color pairs)				
+ Color Segmentation	Structure <sup>2</sup> + Color Seg	0.940	0.860	0.919
<ul><li>3. Structure (without color pairs) + Color Segmentation</li></ul>				