Topics in Articulated Animation
$\square$

## Reading

Shoemake, "Quaternions Tutorial"

|  | Animation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Articulated models: |  |
| • rigid parts |  |
| • connected by joints |  |
| They can be animated by specifying the joint angles (or other |  |
| display parameters) as functions of time. |  |

## Character Representation

Character Models are rich, complex

- hair, clothes (particle systems)
- muscles, skin (FFD's etc.)

Focus is rigid-body Degrees of Freedom (DOFs)

- joint angles



## Kinematics and dynamics

Kinematics: how the positions of the parts vary as a function of the joint angles.
Dynamics: how the positions of the parts vary as a function of applied forces.

## Key-frame animation

- Each joint specified at various key frames (not necessarily the same as other joints)
- System does interpolation or in-betweening

Doing this well requires:

- A way of smoothly interpolating key frames: splines
- A good interactive system
- A lot of skill on the part of the animator




## Joints $=$ Rotations

To specify a pose, we specify the joint-angle rotations
Each joint can have up to three rotational DOFs

1 DOF: knee


2 DOF: wrist


3 DOF: arm
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## Singularities

What is a singularity?

- continuous subspace of parameter space all of whose elements map to same rotation

Why is this bad?

- induces gimbal lock - two or more axes align, results in loss of rotational DOFs (i.e. derivatives)




## Other Properties of Euler Angles

Several important tasks are easy:

- interactive specification (sliders, etc. )
- joint limits
- Euclidean interpolation (Hermites, Beziers, etc.)
- May be funky for tumbling bodies
- fine for most joints


## Quaternions

But... singularities are unacceptable for IK, optimization

Traditional solution: Use unit quaternions to represent rotations

- $S^{3}$ has same topology as rotation space (a sphere), so no singularities


## History of Quaternions

Invented by Sir William Rowan Hamilton in 1843

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=w+\mathbf{i} x+\mathbf{j} y+\mathbf{k} z \\
& \text { where } \mathbf{i}^{2}=\mathbf{j}^{2}=\mathbf{k}^{2}=\mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

I still must assert that this discovery appears to me to be as important for the middle of the nineteenth century as the discovery of fluxions [the calculus] was for the close of the seventeenth

Hamilton

〔quaternions] ... although beautifully ingenious, have been an unmixed evil to those who have touched them in any way

Thompson
Quaternion as a 4 vector

$$
\mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
w \\
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\binom{w}{\mathbf{v}}
$$



## Quaternion Conjugate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{q}^{*}=\binom{w_{1}}{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{*}=\binom{w_{1}}{-\mathbf{v}_{1}} \\
& \left(\mathbf{p}^{*}\right)^{*}=\mathbf{p} \\
& (\mathbf{p q})^{*}=\mathbf{q}^{*} \mathbf{p}^{*} \\
& (\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})^{*}=\mathbf{p}^{*}+\mathbf{q}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$



Restricting the rotation twist around an axis


## Quaternion Rotation



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{q p q}^{-1} & =\binom{w}{\mathbf{v}}\binom{0}{\mathbf{p}}\binom{w}{-\mathbf{v}} \\
& =\binom{w}{\mathbf{v}}\binom{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{w \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{v}} \\
& =\binom{u \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}-w \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0}{w(w \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p} \mathbf{v})+(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v}(u \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{v})}
\end{aligned}
$$

What about a quaternion product $\mathbf{q}_{1} \mathbf{q}_{2}$ ?

| Matrix Form |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{l}w \\ x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1-2 y^{2}-2 z^{2} & 2 x y+2 w z & 2 x z-2 w y \\ 2 x y-2 w z & 1-2 x^{2}-2 z^{2} & 2 y z+2 w x \\ 2 x z+2 w y & 2 y z-2 w x & 1-2 x^{2}-2 y^{2}\end{array}\right)$ |  |
|  |  |

## Quaternions: What Works

Simple formulae for converting to rotation matrix

Continuous derivatives - no singularities
"Optimal" interpolation - geodesics map to shortest paths in rotation space

Nice calculus (corresponds to rotations)

## What Hierarchies Can and Can't Do

Advantages:

- Reasonable control knobs
- Maintains structural constraints

Disadvantages:

- Doesn't always give the "right" control knobs
- e.g. hand or foot position-re-rooting may help
- Can't do closed kinematic chains (keep hand on hip)
- Other constraints: do not walk through walls


## Procedural Animation

Transformation parameters as functions of other variables

Simple example:

- a clock with second, minute and hour hands
- hands should rotate together
- express all the motions in terms of a "seconds" variable
- whole clock is animated by varying the seconds parameter


## Hard Example

In the figure below, what expression would you use to calculate the arm's rotation angle to keep the tip on the star-shaped wheel as the wheel rotates???


