Ray Tracing

Brian Curless CSE 457 Spring 2011

1

Reading

Required:

- Shirley, section 10.1-10.7 (handout)
- Triangle intersection handout

Further reading:

- Shirley errata on syllabus page, needed if you work from his book instead of the handout, which has already been corrected.
- T. Whitted. An improved illumination model for shaded display. Communications of the ACM 23(6), 343-349, 1980.
- A. Glassner, An Introduction to Ray Tracing, Academic Press, 1989.
- K. Turkowski, "Properties of Surface Normal Transformations," Graphics Gems, 1990, pp. 539-547.

2

Geometric optics

Modern theories of light treat it as both a wave and a particle.

We will take a combined and somewhat simpler view of light – the view of **geometric optics**.


Here are the rules of geometric optics:

- Light is a flow of photons with wavelengths.
 We'll call these flows "light rays."
- Light rays travel in straight lines in free space.
- Light rays do not interfere with each other as they cross.
- Light rays obey the laws of reflection and refraction.
- Light rays travel from the light sources to the eye, but the physics is invariant under path reversal (reciprocity).


Eye vs. light ray tracing

Where does light begin?

At the light: light ray tracing (a.k.a., forward ray tracing or photon tracing)



At the eye: eye ray tracing (a.k.a., backward ray tracing)




We will generally follow rays from the eye into the scene.

Precursors to ray tracing


Local illumination

• Cast one eye ray, then shade according to light



Appel (1968)

• Cast one eye ray + one ray to light




5

Whitted ray-tracing algorithm

In 1980, Turner Whitted introduced ray tracing to the graphics community.

- Combines eye ray tracing + rays to light
- Recursively traces rays




Algorithm:

- 1. For each pixel, trace a primary ray in direction V to the first visible surface.
- 2. For each intersection, trace secondary rays:
 - Shadow rays in directions Lito light sources
 - + Reflected ray in direction R.
 - Refracted ray or transmitted ray in direction T.


6

Whitted algorithm (cont'd)


Let's look at this in stages:




Primary rays



Shadow rays




Reflection rays




Refracted rays

Ray casting and local illumination

Now let's actually build the ray tracer in stages. We'll start with ray casting and local illumination:



Direct illumination



A ray is defined by an origin P and a unit direction d and is parameterized by t > 0:

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = P + t\mathbf{d}$$

Let $I(P, \mathbf{d})$ be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:

$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}}$$

where

• Idirect is computed from the Blinn-Phong model

Shading in "Trace"

The Trace project uses a version of the Blinn-Phong shading equation we derived in class, with two modifications:

• Distance attenuation is clamped to be at most 1:

$$A_{j}^{\text{dist}} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{a_{I} + b_{I}r_{I} + c_{J}r_{I}^{2}} \right\}$$

• Shadow attenuation Ashadow is included.

Here's what it should look like:

$$\begin{split} I &= \mathcal{K}_{\!\!\!\boldsymbol{G}} + \mathcal{K}_{\!\!\!\boldsymbol{G}} I_{L\!\!\!\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \\ &= \sum_{I} \mathcal{A}_{\!J}^{\mathit{shadow}} \mathcal{A}_{\!J}^{\mathit{dist}} I_{L,I} \mathcal{B}_{I} \bigg[\, \mathcal{K}_{\!\!\boldsymbol{G}} \big(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}_{I} \big) + \mathcal{K}_{\!\!\!\boldsymbol{S}} \big(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}_{I} \big)^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{X}} \, \bigg] \end{split}$$

This is the shading equation to use in the Trace project!

10

Ray-tracing pseudocode

We build a ray traced image by casting rays through each of the pixels.

function traceImage (scene):

for each pixel (i,j) in image

A = pixelToWorld(i,j)

P = COP

 $\mathbf{d} = (A - P)/||A - P||$

I(i,j) = traceRay(scene, P, d)

endfor

end function

function traceRay(scene, P, d):

 $(t, \mathbf{N}, mtrl) \leftarrow scene.intersect(P, \mathbf{d})$

 $Q \leftarrow \text{ray } (P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at t}$ 1 = shade(N, MTrl, Scene, d, Q)

りたり returni

end function

Shading pseudocode

Next, we need to calculate the color returned by the shade function.

function shade(mtrl, scene, Q, N, d):

 $I \leftarrow mtrl.k_p + mtrl.k_a * I_{la}$

for each light source L do:

atten = L -> distanceAttenuation(Q)

Lir > L -> directive(Q)

I \leftarrow I + atten#(diffuse term + specular term)

end for


return l

end function

LJE

Ray casting with shadows

Now we'll add shadows by casting shadow rays:



Shading with shadows

To include shadows, we need to modify the shade function:

```
function shade(mtrl, scene, Q, N, d):

I ← mtrl.k<sub>e</sub> + mtrl. k<sub>a</sub> * I<sub>La</sub>

for each light source L do:

I ← L → Q → distanceAttenuation(Q) *

L → shadowAttenuation(Q, scent)

I ← I + atten*(diffuse term + specular term)

end for

return I

end function
```



14

Shadow attenuation

Computing a shadow can be as simple as checking to see if a ray makes it to the light source.

For a point light source:

function PointLight::shadowAttenuation(scene, P)

d = (this.position - P).normalize() ≥ $\frac{E \cdot P}{||E \cdot P||}$ (t, **N**, mtrl) ← scene.intersect(P, **d**)

Compute t_{light}

if (t < t $_{light}$) then:

atten = (0, 0, 0)

else

atten = (1, 1, 1)

end if

return atten


end function

Note: we will later handle color-filtered shadowing, so this function needs to return a *color* value.

For a directional light, $t_{light} = \infty$.




Now we'll add reflection:



13

Shading with reflection



Let I(P, d) be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:


$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}} + I_{\text{reflected}}$$

where

- I_{direct} is computed from the Blinn-Phong model, plus shadow attenuation
- $I_{\text{reflected}} = k_r I(Q, \mathbf{R})$

Typically, we set $k_r = k_s$. (k_r is a color value.)

Reflection



Law of reflection:

$$\theta_i = \theta_r$$

R is co-planar with d and N.

18

Ray-tracing pseudocode, revisited

function traceRay(scene, P, d):

 $(t, \mathbf{N}, mtrl) \leftarrow scene.intersect(P, \mathbf{d})$

 $Q \leftarrow \text{ray } (P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at } \mathbf{t}$

I = shade(scene, mtrl, Q, N, -d)

 $\mathbf{R} = reflect Direction(\mathbf{N}, -\mathbf{\lambda})$

 $I \leftarrow I + mtrl.k_r * traceRay(scene, Q, R)$

return l

end function

Terminating recursion

Q: How do you bottom out of recursive ray tracing?

Possibilities:


if (depth = depth-max) => stop recursion

 $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \kappa_{r,3} (I_4 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_r))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_r)))$ $I = I_1 + \kappa_{r,1} (I_2 + \kappa_{r,2} (I_3 + \cdots I_$

17


Whitted ray tracing

Finally, we'll add refraction, giving us the Whitted ray tracing model:



21

Shading with reflection and refraction



Let $I(P, \mathbf{d})$ be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:

$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}} + I_{\text{reflected}} + I_{\text{transmitted}}$$

where

- I_{direct} is computed from the Blinn-Phong model, plus shadow attenuation
- I_{reflected} = k_rI (Q, **R**).
- $I_{\text{transmitted}} = k_t I(Q, \mathbf{T})$

Typically, we set $k_r = k_s$ and $k_t = 1 - k_s$ (or (0,0,0), if opaque, where k_t is a color value).

[Generally, k_r and k_r are determined by "Fresnel reflection," which depends on angle of incidence and changes the polarization of the light. This is discussed in Shirley's textbook and can be implemented for extra credit.]

22


Refraction

Snell's law of refraction:

$$\eta_i \sin \theta_i = \eta_t \sin \theta_t$$

where η_i , η_t are indices of refraction.

In all cases, **R** and **T** are coplanar with **d** and **N**.



Sindi = Sinde

The index of refraction is material dependent.

It can also vary with wavelength, an effect called **dispersion** that explains the colorful light rainbows from prisms. (We will generally assume no dispersion.)

Medium	Index of refraction
Vaccum	1
Air	1.0003
Water	1.33
Fused quartz	1.46
Glass, crown	1.52
Glass, dense flint	1.66
Diamond	2.42

1.480 pt 1.475 pt 1.475 pt 1.475 pt 1.475 pt 1.460 pt 1.455 pt 1.465 pt 1.455 pt 1.4

Index of refraction variation for fused quartz

٨

Total Internal Reflection

The equation for the angle of refraction can be computed from Snell's law:


$$M_i sinb_i = M_t sinb_t$$

 $\sin \Theta_{t} = \frac{M_{t}}{M_{t}} \sin \Theta_{t}$ $\Theta_{t} = \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{M_{t}}{M_{t}} \sin \Theta_{t} \right)$

What happens when ฦ > ฦ.?

When $\theta_{\rm c}$ is exactly 90°, we say that $\theta_{\rm c}$ has achieved the "critical angle" $\theta_{\rm c}$.

For $\theta_i > \theta_c$, no rays are transmitted, and only reflection occurs, a phenomenon known as "total internal reflection" or TIR.



2

Shirley handout

Shirley uses different symbols. Here is the translation between them:

 $\Gamma = R$

t = T

 $\phi = \theta_r$

 $\theta = \theta_r = \theta_i$

 $n = \eta$

 $n_{\rm c} = \eta_{\rm c}$

Also, Shirley has two important errors that have already been corrected in the handout.

But, if you're consulting the original text, be sure to refer to the errata posted on the syllabus and on the project page for corrections.

25

Ray-tracing pseudocode, revisited

function traceRay(scene, P, d):

 $(t, \mathbf{N}, mtrl) \leftarrow scene.intersect(P, \mathbf{d})$

 $Q \leftarrow \text{ray } (P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at t}$

I = shade(scene, mtrl, Q, N, -d)

 $\mathbf{R} = reflect Direction(\mathbf{N}, -\mathbf{d})$

 $I \leftarrow I + mtrl.k_x * traceRay(scene, Q, R)$

if ray is entering object then

n_i = index_of_air = 1,6003

n t = mtrl.index

else

 $n_i = mtrl.in dex$

 $n_t = index_of_air = 1.1003$

if (not 178 (N , n - i , n - t , - d)) then

T = refractDirection (

 $I \leftarrow I + mtrl.k_{+} * traceRay(scene, Q, T)$

end if

returni

end function

Q: How do we decide if a ray is entering the object?


20

N.(-d)>0 ⇒enterry else exiting

Terminating recursion, incl. refraction

Q: Now how do you bottom out of recursive ray tracing?


depth = depth-max => terminate



ITK {r,t,} < 6 => term.

Shadow attenuation (cont'd)

Q: What if there are transparent objects along a path to the light source?




We'll take the view that the color is really only at the surface, like a glass object with a colored transparency coating on it. In this case, we multiply in the transparency constant, k, every time an object is entered or exited, possibly more than once for the same object.

Another model would be to treat the glass as solidly colored in the interior. Shirley's textbook describes a the resulting volumetric attenuation based on Beer's Law, which you can implement for extra credit.


Photon mapping


Combine light ray tracing (photon tracing) and eye ray tracing:





...to get photon mapping.







Renderings by Henrik Wann Jensen: http://graphics.ucsd.edu/~henrik/ images/caustics.html


29

Normals and shading when inside

When a ray is inside an object and intersects the object's surface on the way out, the normal will be pointing **away** from the ray (i.e., the normal always points to the outside by default).

You must **negate** the normal before doing any of the shading, reflection, and refraction that follows.

Finally, when shading a point inside of an object, apply $k_{\rm t}$ to the ambient component, since that "ambient light" had to pass through the object to get there in the first place.




30

Intersecting rays with spheres

Now we've done everything except figure out what that "scene.intersect(P, d)" function does.

Mostly, it calls each object to find out the t value at which the ray intersects the object. Let's start with intersecting spheres...



Given:

 The coordinates of a point along a ray passing through P in the direction d are:

$$X = P_x + td_x$$
$$y = P_y + td_y$$
$$z = P_x + td_x$$

• A unit sphere S centered at the origin defined by the equation: $\chi^2 + \chi^2 + \chi^2 = 1$

Find: The tat which the ray intersects S.

Intersecting rays with spheres

Solution by substitution:

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - 1 = 0$$

$$(P_{x} + td_{x})^{2} + (P_{y} + td_{y})^{2} + (P_{x} + td_{x})^{2} - 1 = 0$$

$$at^{2} + bt + c = 0$$

where

$$a = d_x^2 + d_y^2 + d_x^2$$

$$b = 2(P_x d_x + P_y d_y + P_x d_x)$$

$$c = P_x^2 + P_y^2 + P_x^2 - 1$$

Q: What are the solutions of the quadratic equation in *t* and what do they mean?

$$t = -b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}$$


$$\frac{1}{2a}$$

$$\frac{1}{discriminant}$$

Q: What is the normal to the sphere at a point (x,y,z) on the sphere?



Ray-plane intersection



We can write the equation of a plane as:

$$ax + by + cz = k$$

The coefficients a, b, and c form a vector that is normal to the plane, $\mathbf{n} = [a b c]^{\mathsf{T}}$. Thus, we can rewrite the plane equation as:

$$\vec{N} \cdot \vec{x} = K$$

We can solve for the intersection parameter (and thus the point):

oint):


$$\vec{n} \cdot (P + t\vec{a}) = k$$

$$\vec{n} \cdot \vec{a} = k$$

$$\vec{n} \cdot \vec{a} = 0 \Rightarrow // \Rightarrow 1.01$$

$$t = \frac{k - \hat{n} \cdot \hat{l}}{\hat{n} \cdot \hat{d}}$$

Ray-triangle intersection



To intersect with a triangle, we first solve for the equation of its supporting plane.

How might we compute the (un-normalized) normal?

Ñ.X=K


Given this normal, how would we compute
$$k$$
?
 $\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{A} = \overrightarrow{K} = \overrightarrow{\Lambda} \cdot \overrightarrow{B} = \overrightarrow{\Lambda} \cdot \overrightarrow{C}$

Using these coefficients, we can solve for Q. Now, we need to decide if Q is inside or outside of the triangle.

34

3D inside-outside test


One way to do this "inside-outside test," is to see if Q lies on the left side of each edge as we move counterclockwise around the triangle.



How might we use cross products to do this?

2D inside-outside test

Without loss of generality, we can perform this same test after projecting down a dimension:



If Q' is inside of A'B'C', then Q is inside of ABC.

Why is this projection desirable? faster

Which axis should you "project away"?

Barycentric coordinates

As we'll see in a moment, it is often useful to represent Q as an **affine combination** of A, B, and C:

$$Q = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$

where:

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$$



We call α , β , and γ the **barycentric coordinates** of Qwith respect to A, B, and C.

$$Q = A + \beta (B-A) + \delta (C-A)$$

$$= A + \beta B - \beta A + \delta C - \delta A$$


$$= (1-\beta-\delta) A + \beta B + \delta C$$

Q=1-1-8-8 => Q+10+8=1

37

Computing barycentric coordinates

Given a point Q that is inside of triangle ABC, we can solve for Q's barycentric coordinates in a simple way:



How can cross products help here?

Area (ABC) =
$$\left\| \frac{(B-A)\times(C-A)}{2} \right\|$$

In the end, these calculations can be performed in the 2D projection as well!

38

Interpolating vertex properties

The barycentric coordinates can also be used to interpolate vertex properties such as:

- · material properties
- texture coordinates
- normals

For example:

$$k_d(Q) = \alpha k_d(A) + \beta k_d(B) + \gamma k_d(C)$$

Interpolating normals, known as Phong interpolation, gives triangle meshes a smooth shading appearance. (Note: don't forget to normalize interpolated normals.)

Epsilons

Due to finite precision arithmetic, we do not always get the exact intersection at a surface.

Q: What kinds of problems might this cause?




Q: How might we resolve this?

ray has to have t>RAY_EPSIZON

Intersecting with xformed geometry

In general, objects will be placed using transformations. What if the object being intersected were transformed by a matrix M?

Apply M⁻¹ to the ray first and intersect in object (local)



$$Q = P + t_n d$$

$$M^{-1}(Q = P + t_n d)$$


$$M^{-1}Q = M^{-1}(P + t_n d)$$


$$Q' = M^{-1}Q = M^{-1}P + M^{-1}(t_n d)$$

$$M^{-1}Q = M^{-1}P + t_n M^{-1}d$$

Intersecting with xformed geometry

The intersected normal is in object (local) coordinates. How do we transform it to world coordinates?









$$M = \left[\underbrace{A_{3\times3} t}_{0} \right]$$

$$N = A_{3x_3}^{-T} N_{18...3}'$$

42

Summary

What to take home from this lecture:

- The meanings of all the boldfaced terms.
- Enough to implement basic recursive ray tracing.
- How reflection and transmission directions are computed.
- How ray-object intersection tests are performed on spheres, planes, and triangles
- How barycentric coordinates within triangles are computed
- How ray epsilons are used.