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Thanks to Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly
Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

## Goals for Today

- Asymmetric Cryptography
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## RSA Cryptosystem

- Key generation:
- Generate large primes p, q
- Say, 1024 bits each (need primality testing, too)
- Compute $n=p q$ and $\varphi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Choose small e, relatively prime to $\varphi(\mathrm{n})$
- Typically, $\mathrm{e}=3$ or $\mathrm{e}=2^{16}+1=65537$ (why?)
- Compute unique d such that ed $=1 \bmod \varphi(n)$
- Public key = (e,n); private key = (d,n)
$\checkmark$ Encryption of m: c = me mod $n$
- Modular exponentiation by repeated squaring

Decryption of c : $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathrm{d}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}$

## On PK encryption

- Encrypted message needs to be in interpreted as an integer less than $n$
- Reason: Otherwise can't decrypt.
- Message is very often a symmetric encryption key.
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## Caveats

- $\mathrm{e}=3$ is a common exponent
- If $m<n^{1 / 3}$, then $c=m^{3}<n$ and can just take the cube root of $c$ to recover $m$
- Even problems if "pad" $m$ in some ways [Hastad]
- Let $c_{i}=m^{3} \bmod n_{i}$ - same message is encrypted to three people
- Adversary can compute $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ mod $\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}$ (using CRT)
- Then take ordinary cube root to recover m
- Don't use RSA directly for privacy!


## Integrity in RSA Encryption

Plain RSA does not provide integrity

- Given encryptions of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, attacker can create encryption of $m_{1} \cdot m_{2}$
$-\left(m_{1}{ }^{e}\right) \cdot\left(m_{2}{ }^{e}\right) \bmod n=\left(m_{1} \cdot m_{2}\right)^{e} \bmod n$
- Attacker can convert $m$ into $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{k}}$ without decrypting
$-\left(m_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}} \bmod \mathrm{n}=\left(m^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$
- In practice, OAEP is used: instead of encrypting M, encrypt $\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}) ; \mathrm{r} \oplus \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M} \oplus \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{r}))$
- $r$ is random and fresh, G and H are hash functions
- Resulting encryption is plaintext-aware: infeasible to compute a valid encryption without knowing plaintext
- ... if hash functions are "good" and RSA problem is hard
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## OAEP (image from PKCS \#1 v2.1)




## Digital Signatures: Basic Idea



Given: Everybody knows Bob's public key
Only Bob knows the corresponding private key
Goal: Bob sends a "digitally signed" message

1. To compute a signature, must know the private key
2. To verify a signature, enough to know the public key

## RSA Signatures

- Public key is ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e}$ ), private key is d
- To sign message m: s = m ${ }^{\text {d }}$ mod $n$
- Signing and decryption are the same underlying operation in RSA
- It's infeasible to compute s on m if you don't know d
- To verify signature s on message $m$ :
$s^{e} \bmod n=\left(m^{d}\right)^{e} \bmod n=m$
- Just like encryption
- Anyone who knows $n$ and e (public key) can verify signatures produced with d (private key)
- In practice, also need padding \& hashing
- Standard padding/hashing schemes exist for RSA signatures


## Encryption and Signatures

- Often people think: Encryption and decryption are inverses.
- That's a common view
- True for the RSA primitive (underlying component)
- But not one we'll take
- To really use RSA, we need padding
- And there are many other decryption methods


## Digital Signature Standard (DSS)

- U.S. government standard (1991-94)
- Modification of the ElGamal signature scheme (1985)
- Key generation:
- Generate large primes p , q such that q divides p -1
$-2^{159}<\mathrm{q}<2^{160}, 2^{511+64 t}<\mathrm{p}<2^{512+64 t}$ where $0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leqslant 8$
- Select $h \in Z_{p}{ }^{*}$ and compute $g=h^{(p-1) / q} \bmod p$
- Select random $x$ such $1 \leq x \leq q-1$, compute $y=g^{x} \bmod p$
- Public key: ( $p, q, g, y=g^{\times}$mod $p$ ), private key: $x$

Security of DSS requires hardness of discrete log

- If could solve discrete logarithm problem, would extract x (private key) from $\mathrm{g}^{\times} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ (public key)


## DSS: Signing a Message (Skim)



## DSS: Verifying a Signature (Skim)




## Why DSS Verification Works (Skim)

- If $(r, s)$ is a legitimate signature, then
$r=\left(g^{k} \bmod p\right) \bmod q ; s=k^{-1} \cdot(H(M)+x \cdot r) \bmod q$
- Thus $H(M)=-x \cdot r+k \cdot s \bmod q$
- Multiply both sides by $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{s}^{-1} \bmod \mathrm{q}$
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M}) \cdot \mathrm{w}+\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{k} \bmod \mathrm{q}$
- Exponentiate g to both sides
$\checkmark\left(g^{H(M) \cdot w+x \cdot r \cdot w}=g^{k}\right) \bmod p \bmod q$
- In a valid signature, $g^{k} \bmod p \bmod q=r, g^{x} \bmod p=y$
- Verify $g^{H(M) \cdot w \cdot y^{r} \cdot w}=r \bmod p \bmod q$


## Security of DSS

- Can't create a valid signature without private key
- Given a signature, hard to recover private key
- Can't change or tamper with signed message
- If the same message is signed twice, signatures are different
- Each signature is based in part on random secret k
- Secret k must be different for each signature!
- If $k$ is leaked or if two messages re-use the same $k$, attacker can recover secret key $x$ and forge any signature from then on
- Example problem scenario: rebooted VMs; restarted embedded machines


## Advantages of Public-Key Crypto

- Confidentiality without shared secrets
- Very useful in open environments
- No "chicken-and-egg" key establishment problem
- With symmetric crypto, two parties must share a secret before they can exchange secret messages
- Caveats to come
- Authentication without shared secrets
- Use digital signatures to prove the origin of messages
- Reduce protection of information to protection of authenticity of public keys
- No need to keep public keys secret, but must be sure that Alice's public key is really her true public key


## Disadvantages of Public-Key Crypto

- Calculations are 2-3 orders of magnitude slower
- Modular exponentiation is an expensive computation
- Typical usage: use public-key cryptography to establish a shared secret, then switch to symmetric crypto
- E.g., IPsec, SSL, SSH, ...
- Keys are longer
- 1024+ bits (RSA) rather than 128 bits (AES)
- Relies on unproven number-theoretic assumptions
- What if factoring is easy?
- Factoring is believed to be neither P, nor NP-complete
- (Of course, symmetric crypto also rests on unproven assumptions)


## Exponentiation

- How to compute M ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ mod N ?
-Say, x = 13
Sums of power of $2, x=8+4+1=2^{3}+2^{2}+2^{0}$
- Can also write x in binary, e.g., $\mathrm{x}=1101$
- Can solve by repeated squaring
- y = 1;
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=M$
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=M^{2}{ }^{*} M=M^{2+1}=M^{3}$
- $y=y^{2} \bmod N / / y=\left(M^{3}\right)^{2}=M^{6}$
- $y=y^{2} * M \bmod N / / y=\left(M^{6}\right)^{2 *} M=M^{12+1}=M^{13}=M^{x}$


## Timing attacks

Collect timings for exponentiation with a bunch of messages M1, M2, ... (e.g., RSA signing operations with a private exponent)
Assume (inductively) know $\mathrm{b}_{3}=1, \mathrm{~b}_{2}=1$, guess $\mathrm{b}_{1}=1$

| $i$ | $b_{i}=0$ | $b_{i}=1$ | Comp | Meas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 2 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 1 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ | $X 1 \sec$ |  |
| 0 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 1 \bmod N$ |  | $Y 1 \operatorname{secs}$ |


| $i$ | $b_{i}=0$ | $b_{i}=1$ | Comp | Meas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 2 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  |  |
| 1 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ | $X 2 \operatorname{secs}$ |  |
| 0 | $y=y^{2} \bmod N$ | $y=y^{2} * M 2 \bmod N$ |  | $Y 2 \operatorname{secs}$ |

## Timing attacks

- If $\mathrm{b}_{1}=1$, then set of $\{\mathrm{Yj}-\mathrm{Xj} \mid \mathrm{j}$ in $\{1,2, .\}$.$\} has$ distribution with "small" variance (due to time for final step, $\mathrm{i}=0$ )
- "Guess" was correct when we computed $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \ldots$
- If $b_{1}=0$, then set of $\{Y j-X j \mid j$ in $\{1,2, .\}$.$\} has$ distribution with "large" variance (due to time for final step, $\mathrm{i}=0$, and incorrect guess for $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ )
- "Guess" was incorrect when we computed X1, X2, ...
- So time computation wrong (Xj computed as large, but really small, ...)
- Strategy: Force user to sign large number of messages M1, M2, .... Record timings for signing.
- Iteratively learn bits of key by using above property.

