Lecture 8: Linear Algebra Background

Lecturer: Shayan Oveis Gharan   *2/1/2017*   *Scribe: Swati Padmanabhan*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications.*

Now that we have finished our lecture series on randomized algorithms, we start with a bit of linear algebra review so that we can use these tools in the algorithms we learn next. The book 'Matrix Analysis' by Horn and Johnson is an excellent reference for all the concepts reviewed here.

8.1   Eigenvalues

For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair is defined as (λ, x), where

$$Ax = \lambda x.$$

Many of our algorithms will deal with the family of symmetric matrices (which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_n$), with special properties of eigenvalues. We start with the fact that a symmetric matrix has real eigenvalues. This means we can order them and talk about the largest/smallest eigenvalues (which we'll do in Section 8.2).

8.1.1   Spectral Theorem

Theorem 8.1 (Spectral Theorem). *For any symmetric matrix, there are eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$, with corresponding eigenvectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ which are orthonormal (that is, they have unit length measured in the ℓ_2 norm and $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for all i and j). We can then write*

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i v_i^T = V \Lambda V^T. \tag{8.1}$$

where V is the matrix with v_i's arranged as column vectors and Λ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues.

The v_i's in the above theorem form a basis for all vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This means that for any vector x we can uniquely write it as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle v_i, x \rangle v_i.$$

An application of this is being able to write complicated functions of a symmetric matrix in terms of functions of the eigenvalues that is, $f(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\lambda_i) v_i v_i^T$ for $M \in \mathcal{S}_n$. For example:

- $M^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 v_i v_i^T$.

- $\exp(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\lambda_i) v_i v_i^T$

- For an invertible matrix, $M^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{\lambda_i}) v_i v_i^T$.

Two special functions of eigenvalues are the *trace* and *determinant*, described in the next subsection.

8.1.2   Trace, Determinant and Rank

Definition 8.2. *The trace of a square matrix is the sum of its diagonal entries.*

Alternatively, we can say the following:

Lemma 8.3. *The trace of a square matrix is the sum of its eigenvalues.*

We will give three different proofs for this lemma.

Proof 1   : By definition of trace,

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_i^T A \mathbf{1}_i,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_i$ is the indicator vector of i, i.e., it is a vector which is equal to 1 in the i-th coordinate and it is 0 everwhere else. Using (8.1) we can write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_i^T \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j v_j v_j^T \right) \mathbf{1}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \mathbf{1}_i^T v_j v_j^T \mathbf{1}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \langle \mathbf{1}_i, v_j \rangle^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{1}_i, v_j \rangle^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last identity uses the fact that for any vector v_j, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{1}_i, v_j \rangle^2 = \|v_j\|^2 = 1$, as $\mathbf{1}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{1}_n$ form another orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Next, we give two other proofs of the same statement for the sake of intuition.

Proof 2:   Write out the characteristic polynomial of A. It turns out that the characteristic polynomial of A is the unique polynomial of degree n with eigenvalues of A as the roots,

$$\chi_A(t) = \mathbf{det}(tI - A) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \ldots (t - \lambda_n)$$

Observe that in the RHS of the above, the coefficient of t (up to a negative sign) is equal to the sum of all eigenvalues. On the other hand, if we expand the determinant of $tI - A$ matrix we see that the sum of the diagonal entries exactly equals the coefficient of t.

Proof 3:   Recall the *cyclic permutation* property of trace is that

$$\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(BCA) = \text{Tr}(CAB)$$

This is derived simply from definition. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A with corresponding eigenvalues $v_1, \ldots, v_n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(A) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Tr}(\lambda_i v_i v_i^T) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \mathrm{Tr}(\langle v_i, v_i^T \rangle) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last identity we used that $\|v_i\| = 1$ for all i.

Lemma 8.4. *The determinant of a matrix is the product of its eigenvalues.*

The above lemma can be proved using the characteristic polynomial. It follows from the lemma that determinant is zero if and only if at least one eigenvalue is zero, that is, if the matrix is not full *rank*. For a symmetric matrix, we can also state that the rank is the number of non-zero eigenvalues.

8.2 Rayleigh Quotient

Let A be a symmetric matrix. The Rayleigh coefficient gives a characterization of all eigenvalues (and eigenvectors of A) in terms of the solution to optimization problems. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A. Then,

$$
\lambda_1(A) = \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} x^T A x = \max_x \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \tag{8.2}
$$

Let x_1 be the optimum vector in the above. It follows that x_1 is the eigenvector of A corresponding to λ_1. Then,

$$
\lambda_2(A) = \max_{x: \langle x, x_1 \rangle = 0, \|x\| = 1} x^T A x
$$

And so on, the third eigenvector is the vector maximizing the quadratic form $x^T A x$ over all vectors that orthogonal to the first two eigenvectors. Similarly, we can write

$$
\lambda_n(A) = \min_{\|x\|_2 = 1} x^T A x
$$

Let us derive, Equation (8.2). Note that $f(x) = x^T A x$ is a continuous function and $\{x \mid \|x\|_2 = 1\}$ is a compact set. So by Weierstrass Theorem, the maximum is attained. Now we diagonalize A using Equation (8.1) as $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$ and multiply on either side by x to get the following chain of equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^T A x &= x^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T\right) x \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x^T v_i v_i^T x \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle x, v_i \rangle^2. \tag{8.3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|x\| = 1$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle v_i, x \rangle^2 = \|x\|^2 = 1$. Therefore, (8.3) is maximized when $\langle x, v_1 \rangle = 1$ and the rest are 0. This means the vector x for which this optimum value is attained is v_1 as desired.

In the same way, we can also get the characterization for the minimum eigenvalue.

Positive (Semi) Definite Matrices A real symmetric matrix A is said to be positive semidefinite(PSD), $A \succeq 0$ if $x^T A x \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. A real symmetric matrix A is said to be positive definite (PD), $A \succ 0$, if $x^T A x > 0$ for all $x \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By Rayleigh-Ritz characterization, we can see that A is PSD if and only if all eigenvalues of A are nonnegative. Also A is positive definite if and only if all eigenvalues of A are positive.

8.3　Singular Value Decomposition

Of course not every matrix is unitarily diagonalizable. In fact non-symmetric matrices may not have real eigenvalues the space of eigenvectors is not necessarily orthonormal.

Instead, when dealing with a non-symmetric matrix, first we turn it into a symmetric matrix and then we apply the spectral theorem to that matrix. This idea is called the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (with $m \leq n$) can be written as

$$A = U \Sigma V^T = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i u_i v_i^T \tag{8.4}$$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_m \geq 0$ are the singular values of A, $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are orthonormal and are called the left singular vectors of A and $v_1, \ldots, vm \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are orthonormal and are call the right singular vectors of A. To construct this decomposition we need to apply the spectral theorem to the matrix $A^T A$. Observe that if the above identity holds then

$$A^T A = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i v_i u_i^T \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j u_j v_j^T = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^T v_i v_i^T$$

where we used that $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle$ is 1 if $i = j$ and it is zero otherwise. Therefore, $v_1, \ldots, v_m$ are in fact the eigenvectors of $A^T A$ and $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_m^2$ are the eigenvalues of $A^T A$. By a similar argument it follows that $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are eigenvectors of AA^T and $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_m^2$ are its eigenvalues.

Note that both matrices AA^T and $A^T A$ are symmetric PSD matrices. In the matrix form the above identities can be written as

$$A^T A = V \Sigma U^T U \Sigma V^T = V \Sigma^2 V^T = [V \ \tilde{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [V \ \tilde{V}]^T \tag{8.5}$$

$$AA^T = U \Sigma V^T V \Sigma U^T = U \Sigma^2 U^T = [U \ \tilde{U}] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [U \ \tilde{U}]^T \tag{8.6}$$

where $\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}$ are any matrices for which $[V \ \tilde{V}]$ and $[U \ \tilde{U}]$ are orthonormal. The righthand expressions are eigenvalue decompositions of $A^T A$ and AA^T.

To summarize,

- The singular values σ_i are the squareroots of eigenvalues of $A^T A$ and AA^T, that is, $\sigma_i(A) = \sqrt{\lambda_i(A^T A)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i(AA^T)}$ ($\lambda_i(A^T A) = \lambda_i(AA^T) = 0$ for $i > r$).

- The left singular vectors $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ are the eigenvectors of AA^T the right singular vectors $V = [v_1, \ldots, v_m]$ are the eigenvectors of $A^T A$.

In general, computing the singular value decomposition can take $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time.

8.4 Matrix Norms

Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be thought of as a vector of n^2 dimensions. Therefore, we can measure the 'size' of a matrix using matrix norms. For a function $\|.\| : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ to be a matrix norm, it must satisfy the properties of non-negativity (and zero only when the argument is zero), homogeneity, triangle inequality and submultiplicativity. We list below a few important matrix norms that we'll repeatedly encounter:

Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = |\operatorname{Tr}(AA^T)|^{1/2} = (\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^2)^{1/2}. \tag{8.7}$$

The Frobenius norm is just the Euclidean norm of matrix A thought of as a vector. As we just saw in Section 8.3,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(AA^T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(AA^T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(A)^2,$$

therefore this gives us an important alternative characterization of Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(A)^2)^{1/2}. \tag{8.8}$$

Operator norm: The operator norm $\|.\|_2$ is defined as

$$\|A\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \tag{8.9}$$

It follows by the Rayleigh-Ritz characterization that

$$\max_x \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \sqrt{\max_x \frac{\|Ax\|^2}{\|x\|^2}} = \sqrt{\max_x \frac{x^T A^T A x}{x^T x}} = \sqrt{\lambda_{max}(A^T A)} = \sigma_{\max}(A).$$

8.5 Low Rank Approximation

The ideas described in the previous sections are used in low-rank approximation theory which finds many applications in computer science. A famous recent example was the Netflix problem. We have a large dataset of users and many of them have provided ratings to many movies. But this ratings matrix obviously has several missing entries. The problem is to figure out, using this limited data, what movies to recommend to users. Under the (justifiable) assumption that this is a low-rank matrix,this is a matrix completion problem that falls in the category of low-rank approximation.

So, we may, for example, leave the unknown entries to be 0. Then, we can approximate the matrix with low rank matrix. Then, we can fill out the unknown entries with the entries of the estimated low rank matrix. This gives a heuristic for the matrix completion problem.

Formally, in the low rank approximation problem we are given a matrix M, we want to find another $\tilde{M}$ of rank k such that $\|M - \tilde{M}\|$ is as small as possible.

The famous Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimension reduction tells us that for any set of n points $P \in \mathbb{R}^m$, with high probability, we can map them using $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, to a $d = \mathcal{O}(\log n)/\epsilon^2$ dimensional space such that for any $x, y \in P$,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|x - y\|^2 \leq \|\Gamma(x) - \Gamma(y)\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|x - y\|^2$$

We do not prove this lemma in this course as it has been covered in the randomized algorithms course. The important fact here is that the mapping is a linear map and Γ is just a Guassian matrix; i.e., $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and each entry $\Gamma_{i,j} \sim \frac{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\sqrt{d}}$.

As as clear from this context, the dimension reduction ideas are oblivious to the structure of the data. That is the Gaussian mapping that we defined above does not look at the data point to construct the lower dimensional map. Because of that it may now help us to observe certain hidden structures in the data. As we will see in the next lecture, low rank approximation algorithms, chooses the low rank matrix by looking at the SVD of M. Because of that it typically can reveal many unknown hidden structures between the data points that M represent.