## Reading

## 14. Subdivision curves

## Recommended:

- Stollnitz, DeRose, and Salesin. Wavelets for Computer Graphics: Theory and Applications, 1996, section 6.1-6.3, A.5.

Note: there is an error in Stollnitz, et al., section A.5. Equation A. 3 should read:

$$
\mathbf{M V}=\mathbf{V} \Lambda
$$

## Subdivision curves

## Idea:

- repeatedly refine the control polygon

$$
P^{1} \rightarrow P^{2} \rightarrow P^{3} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

- curve is the limit of an infinite process

$$
Q=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} P^{j}
$$





## Chaikin's algorithm

Chakin introduced the following "corner-cutting" scheme in 1974:

- Start with a piecewise linear curve
- Insert new vertices at the midpoints (the splitting step)
- Average each vertex with the "next" (clockwise) neighbor (the averaging step)
- Go to the splittina step


3. Split


## Averaging masks

The limit curve is a quadratic B-spline!
Instead of averaging with the nearest neighbor, we can generalize by applying an averaging mask during the averaging step:

$$
r=\left(\ldots, r_{-1}, r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots\right)
$$

In the case of Chaikin's algorithm:

$$
r=
$$

## Lane-Riesenfeld algorithm (1980)

Use averaging masks from Pascal's triangle:

$$
r=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(\binom{n}{0},\binom{n}{1}, \cdots,\binom{n}{n}\right)
$$

Gives B-splines of degree $n+1$.
$\mathrm{n}=0$ :
$\mathrm{n}=1$ :
$\mathrm{n}=2$ :

## Subdivide ad nauseum?

After each split-average step, we are closer to the limit curve.

How many steps until we reach the final (limit) position?

Can we push a vertex to its limit position without infinite subdivision? Yes!

## Local subdivision matrix

Consider the cubic B-spline subdivision mask:

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now consider what happens during splitting and averaging:


We can write equations that relate points at one subdivision level to points at the previous:
$Q_{L}^{1 *}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{L}^{0}+Q_{C}^{0}\right)$
$Q_{R}^{1 *}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{C}^{0}+Q_{R}^{0}\right)$
$Q_{L}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(Q_{L}^{0}+2 Q_{L}^{1^{*}}+Q_{C}^{0^{*}}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 Q_{L}^{0}+2 Q_{C}^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(4 Q_{L}^{0}+4 Q_{C}^{0}\right)$
$Q_{C}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(Q_{L}^{1^{*}}+2 Q_{C}^{0}+Q_{R}^{1^{*}}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(Q_{L}^{0}+6 Q_{C}^{0}+Q_{R}^{0}\right)$
$Q_{R}^{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(Q_{C}^{0}+2 Q_{R}^{1^{*}}+Q_{R}^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 Q_{C}^{0}+2 Q_{R}^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(4 Q_{C}^{0}+4 Q_{R}^{0}\right)$

## Local subdivision matrix

We can write this as a recurrence relation in matrix form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
Q_{L}^{j} \\
Q_{C}^{j} \\
Q_{R}^{j}
\end{array}\right) & =\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 6 & 1 \\
0 & 4 & 4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
Q_{L}^{j-1} \\
Q_{C}^{j-1} \\
Q_{R}^{j-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
Q^{j} & =S Q^{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where the Q's are (for convenience) row vectors and $S$ is the local subdivision matrix.

We can think about the behavior of each coordinate independently. For example, the x-coordinate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{L}^{j} \\
x_{C}^{j} \\
x_{R}^{j}
\end{array}\right) & =\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 6 & 1 \\
0 & 4 & 4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{L}^{j-1} \\
x_{C}^{j-1} \\
x_{R}^{j-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
X^{j} & =S X^{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Local subdivision matrix, cont'd

Tracking just the $x$ components through subdivision:

$$
X^{j}=S X^{j-1}=S \cdot S X^{j-2}=S \cdot S \cdot S X^{j-3}=\cdots=S^{j} X^{0}
$$

The limit position of the $x$ 's is then:

$$
X^{\infty}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} S^{j} X^{0}
$$

OK, so how do we apply a matrix an infinite number of times??

## Eigenvectors and eigenvalues

To solve this problem, we need to look at the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $S$. First, a review...

Let $v$ be a vector such that:

$$
S v=\lambda v
$$

We say that $v$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda$.
An $n \times n$ matrix can have $n$ eigenvalues and eigenvectors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S v_{1}=\lambda_{1} v_{1} \\
\vdots \\
S v_{n}=\lambda_{n} v_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the eigenvectors are linearly independent (which means that $S$ is non-defective), then they form a basis, and we can re-write $X$ in terms of the eigenvectors:

$$
x=\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}
$$

## To infinity, but not beyond...

Now let's apply the matrix to the vector X :

$$
X^{1}=S X^{0}=s \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}=\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} S v_{i}=\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} \lambda_{i} v_{i}
$$

Applying it $j$ times:

$$
X^{j}=S^{j} X=S^{j} \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} v_{i}=\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} S^{j} v_{i}=\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} \lambda_{i}^{j} v_{i}
$$

Let's assume the eigenvalues are non-negative and sorted so that:

$$
\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{3} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n} \geq 0
$$

Now let $j$ go to infinity:

$$
x^{\infty}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} S^{j} X^{0}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} \lambda_{i}^{j} v_{i}
$$

If $\lambda_{1}>1$, then:
If $\lambda_{1}<1$, then:
If $\lambda_{1}=1$, then:

## Evaluation masks

What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of our cubic B-spline subdivision matrix?

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{1}=1 & \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} & \lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \\
v_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) & v_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) & v_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
2
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

We're OK!
But where did the $x$-coordinates end up?

What about the y-coordinates?

## Evaluation masks, cont'd

To finish up, we need to compute $a_{1}$. First, we can reorganize the expansion of $X$ into the eigenbasis:
$X^{0}=a_{1} v_{1}+a_{2} v_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} v_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n}\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{V} A$
We can then solve for the coefficients in this new basis:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\mathbf{V}^{-1} X^{0} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdots & u_{1}^{T} & \cdots \\
\cdots & u_{2}^{T} & \cdots \\
& \vdots & \\
\cdots & u_{n}^{T} & \cdots
\end{array}\right] X^{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we can compute the limit position of the $x$-coordinate:

$$
x_{C}^{\infty}=a_{1}=u_{1}^{\top} X^{0}
$$

We call $u_{1}$ the evaluation mask.

## Evaluation masks, cont'd

Note that we need not start with the $0^{\text {th }}$ level control points and push them to the limit.

If we subdivide and average the control polygon $j$ times, we can push the vertices of the refined polygon to the limit as well:

$$
x^{\infty}=S^{\infty} X^{j}=u_{1}^{T} X^{j}
$$

The same result obtains for the $y$-coordinate:

$$
y^{\infty}=S^{\infty} Y^{j}=u_{1}^{T} Y^{j}
$$

## Left eigenvectors

What are these $u$-vectors? Consider the eigenvector relation:

$$
S v_{i}=\lambda_{i} v_{i}
$$

We can re-write this as a matrix:

$$
S \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \Lambda
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix filled with the eigenvalues of $S$.
Now lets multiply both sides by $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ from the left and right and then simplify:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}^{-1}(S \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{V}^{-1} & =\mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{V} \Lambda) \mathbf{V}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{V}^{-1} S & =\Lambda \mathbf{V}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{U S} & =\Lambda \mathbf{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we find that the $u$-vectors obey the relation:

$$
u_{i}^{\top} S=\lambda_{i} u_{i}^{T}
$$

These are the "left eigenvectors" of $S$.

## Recipe for subdivision curves

The evaluation mask for the cubic B-spline is:

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we can cook up a simple procedure for creating subdivision curves:

- Subdivide (split+average) the control polygon a few times. Use the averaging mask.
- Push the resulting points to the limit positions. Use the evaluation mask.


## Tangent analysis

What is the tangent to the cubic B-spline curve?

First, let's consider how we represent the $x$ and $y$ coordinate neighborhoods:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{0}=a_{1} v_{1}+a_{2} v_{2}+a_{3} v_{3} \\
& Y^{0}=b_{1} v_{1}+b_{2} v_{2}+b_{3} v_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can view the point neighborhoods then as:

$$
Q^{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
X^{0} & Y^{0}
\end{array}\right]=v_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+v_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+v_{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

After $j$ subdivisions, we would get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{j} & =S^{j}\left\{v_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+v_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+v_{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right\} \\
& =\lambda_{1}^{j} v_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{2}^{j} v_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j} v_{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write this more explicitly as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
Q_{L}^{j} \\
Q_{C}^{j} \\
Q_{R}^{j}
\end{array}\right]=\lambda_{1}^{j}\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1, L} \\
v_{1, C} \\
v_{1, R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{2}^{j}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{2, L} \\
v_{2, C} \\
v_{2, R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j}\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{3, L} \\
v_{3, C} \\
v_{3, R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Tangent analysis (cont'd)

The tangent to the curve is along the direction:

$$
\mathbf{t}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}\right)
$$

What's wrong with this definition?
Instead, we'll find the normalized tangent direction :

$$
\mathbf{t}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}}{\left\|Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}\right\|}
$$

Now, let's look at the "right" and "center" points in isolation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{R}^{j}=\lambda_{1}^{j} v_{1, R}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{2}^{j} v_{2, R}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j} v_{3, R}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& Q_{C}^{j}=\lambda_{1}^{j} v_{1, C}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{2}^{j} v_{2, C}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j} v_{3, C}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between these is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}= & \lambda_{1}^{j}\left(v_{1, R}-v_{1, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]+ \\
& \lambda_{2}^{j}\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
= & \lambda_{2}^{j}\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## The tangent mask

And now computing the tangent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}}{\left\|Q_{R}^{j}-Q_{C}^{j}\right\|}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{j}\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{3}^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]}{\| \lambda_{2}^{j}\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & \left.b_{2}\right] \left.+\lambda_{3}^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\,
\end{array}{ }^{1}\right]} \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]}{\left\|\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{2}}\right)^{j}\left(v_{3, R}-v_{3, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{3} & b_{3}
\end{array}\right]\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]}{\left\|\left(v_{2, R}-v_{2, C}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]}{\left.\|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\,} \\
& \left.=\frac{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u_{2}^{T} X^{0} & u_{2}^{T} Y^{0}
\end{array}\right]}{\|\left[u_{2}^{T} X^{0}\right.} u_{2}^{T} Y^{0}\right] \| \\
& =\frac{u_{2}^{\top} Q^{0}}{\left\|u_{2}^{\top} Q^{0}\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can compute the tangent using the second left eigenvector! This analysis holds for general subdivision curves and gives us the tangent mask.

## DLG interpolating scheme (1987)

Slight modification to subdivision algorithm:

- splitting step introduces midpoints
- averaging step only changes midpoints

For DLG (Dyn-Levin-Gregory), use:

$$
r=\frac{1}{16}(-2,5,10,5,-2)
$$



Since we are only changing the midpoints, the points after the averaging step do not move.

