

## Lecture 7: Hard-Core Bits; PRNG's from One-Way Functions

25 January 2006

Lecturer: Paul Beame

Scribe: Paul Beame

## 1 Hard-Core Bits

Even though a function may be one-way, given  $f(x)$  it may be possible to learn a great deal about  $x$ . (Consider, for example, the subset sum candidate one-way function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, I) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \sum_{i \in I} x_i)$ .)

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $B : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a hard-core bit for a function  $f$  if and only if for every PPT  $A$ , the function  $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is negligible where

$$\epsilon(n) = \Pr[A(f(x)) = B(x) \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n] - 1/2.$$

That is,  $B$  is a hard-core bit if and only if it is computationally infeasible to predict  $B(x)$  given  $f(x)$  with probability significantly better than  $1/2$ . It is trivial to predict any value with probability  $\geq 1/2$  since random guessing ensures that the success rate is exactly  $1/2$ . Observe also that by this definition, if  $B$  is efficiently computable, the value of  $B(x)$  must be very close to being balanced on inputs in  $\mathcal{U}_n$  since otherwise a guess that succeeds with probability above  $1/2$  can be made by evaluating  $B$  on a number of random  $y$  in  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and outputting the majority answer of the  $B(y)$ .

If a function  $f$  loses information about  $x$  then it can be easy to produce a hard-core bit for  $f$ . For example, suppose that  $f(x)$  produces all but the last bit of  $x$  and  $B(x)$  is that last bit. That is not the kind of case we will be interested in. We will typically consider functions  $f$  that do not lose this any information (for example functions that are permutations on the set of inputs of length  $n$ ) and in this case, in order for  $B$  to be hard-core for  $f$ ,  $f$  must be a one-way function.

The following alternative definition of hard-core bit can be seen to be equivalent to the original definition and, although it is more complicated, it is more convenient for analysis.

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $B : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a hard-core bit for a function  $f$  if and only if for every PPT  $A'$ , the function  $\epsilon' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is negligible where

$$\epsilon'(n) = \Pr[A'(f(x), B(x)) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n] - \Pr[A'(f(x), b) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n, b \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_1].$$

Clearly if we define  $A'(y, b)$  to run  $A$  on input  $y$  and output 1 if and only if  $A(y)$  outputs  $b$ , then  $\Pr[A'(f(x), b) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n, b \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_1] = 1/2$  and  $\Pr[A'(f(x), B(x)) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n] = \Pr[A(f(x)) = B(x) \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n]$  so  $\epsilon'(n)$  from this definition is precisely the same as  $\epsilon(n)$  from the previous definition so this definition is at least as strong as the earlier one. One can also show the reverse implication by observing that  $\Pr[A'(f(x), b) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n, b \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_1]$  is the average of the distributions conditioned on  $b = B(x)$  and  $b = 1 - B(x)$ .

This latter definition looks very much our definitions of statistical indistinguishability, except that in trying to distinguish  $B(x)$  from a random  $b$ ,  $A'$  is given  $f(x)$  as advice. Using this latter definition we can extend the notion of hard-core bits to hard-core functions.

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{m(n)}$  is hard-core for a function  $f$  if and only if for every PPT  $A'$ , the function  $\epsilon' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is negligible where

$$\epsilon'(n) = \Pr[A'(f(x), H(x)) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n] - \Pr[A'(f(x), \vec{b}) = 1 \mid x \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_n, \vec{b} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_{m(n)}].$$

Similar notions of hard-core bits and hard-core functions can be defined for collections of functions but for simplicity we do not state them formally. As we will see, if our candidate collections of one-way functions are indeed one-way then each has a natural hard-core bit.

## 1.1 Hard-Core Bits for Candidate Functions

Define  $LSB_k(x)$  to be the  $k$  least-significant bits of  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and define  $LSB(x) = LSB_1(x)$ . Similarly for  $p$  a prime and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  define the most significant bit of  $x$ ,

$$MSB_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (p-1)/2 \leq x \leq p-2 \\ 0 & 0 \leq x < (p-1)/2 \end{cases}.$$

Observe that for  $g$  a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ,  $1 = g^{(p-1)} \pmod p = (g^{(p-1)/2})^2 \pmod p$  but  $g^{(p-1)/2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$ . Thus  $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod p$  and we can write

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{(p-1)/2} = -1, -g, -g^2, \dots, -g^{p/2-1}\}.$$

**Lemma 1.4** (Blum-Micali 1982). *If  $EXP_{(p,g)}$  (a.k.a.  $DLP_{(p,g)}$ ) is one-way then  $MSB(x)$  is a hard-core bit for  $EXP_{(p,g)}$ .*

*Proof Sketch.* The basic idea of the argument is that if one has an algorithm that can determine  $MSB_p(x)$  from  $EXP_{(p,g)} = g^x \pmod p$  then one actually invert  $EXP_{(p,g)}$ . We use the following two facts:

- Given  $z$  such that  $z$  is a square modulo  $p$ , there is a randomized algorithm that will find an  $w$  such that  $w^2 \equiv z \pmod p$ . (This is known as the Tonelli-Shanks algorithm.)
- $y$  is a square modulo  $p$  if and only if  $y = g^{2k} \pmod p$  for some integer  $k$  and thus if and only if  $y^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod p$ .

We now describe the algorithm. Given  $y = g^x \pmod p$ , we can determine the low order bit of  $x$  simply by determining whether  $y$  is a square modulo  $p$ . Now define

$$z = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \text{ is a square mod } p \\ g^{-1}y & \text{if } y \text{ is not a square mod } p \end{cases}.$$

Clearly  $z$  is always square mod  $p$  and  $z = g^{2k} \pmod p$  where  $k$  is the integer given by the bits of  $x$  shifted right by one bit.

Now, when the square root algorithm is run on  $z$  we get one of two square roots of  $z$ , either  $w = g^k$  or  $w = -g^k = g^{(p-1)/2+k}$ . Thus  $w = g^v$  where  $v$  is either  $k$  or  $(p-1)/2+k$ . We really want the former one but just given  $w$  we don't know which case we have. However, if given can find the  $MSB_p(v)$  given  $w = g^v$  then we can tell which case we have and simply multiply by  $-1$  to obtain  $g^k$ . This can be repeated to cover each bit of  $x$  in turn for a total of  $n$  calls where  $n$  is the number of bits in  $x$ .  $\square$

Similar properties hold for other one-way candidate functions.

**Lemma 1.5** (Blum, Blum, Schub 1982). *If  $Blum_N$  is one-way then  $LSB(x)$  is hard-core bit for  $Blum_N$ .*

**Lemma 1.6** (Alexi, Chor, Goldreich, Schnorr 1983).  *$LSB(x)$  is a hard-core bit for  $RSA_{(N,e)}$ ,  $Blum_N$ ,  $Rabin_N$  if the corresponding function is one-way. Moreover, For  $m = O(\log \log N)$ ,  $LSB_m(x)$  is hard-core for  $RSA_{(N,e)}$ ,  $Blum_N$ ,  $Rabin_N$  if the corresponding function is one-way.*

In each of the above cases the number of calls to the hard-core predicate in order to invert the function is  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of bits in the parameters. As a result the advantage at predicting the hard-core bit must be at most  $O(n)$  times the inverting probability for the underlying one-way function. The following result is more recent, much more general, but a fair bit less efficient.

**Lemma 1.7** (Høastad, Naslund 2004). *Any block of  $\log \log N$  bits of  $RSA_{(N,e)}$  are simultaneously secure.*

## 1.2 A Hard-core Bit from any One-Way Function

The following is a general method for deriving hard-core bits from one-way functions.

**Theorem 1.8** (Goldreich-Levin). *If  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is a one-way function that is length-preserving (maps  $\{0, 1\}^n$  to  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ) then  $B : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  defined by  $B(xr) = x \cdot r \pmod 2$  where  $|x| = |r|$  and  $x \cdot r$  is the inner product of  $x$  and  $r$  is a hard-core bit for the function  $g(x, r) = (f(x), r)$ .*

This theorem is very general and useful although the difference in the predictability of  $B$  versus the invertability of  $f$  is cubic and so not as efficient as the specific candidates functions above.

## 2 Pseudorandom Number Generators from One-Way Permutations

Recall that a pseudorandom generator (PRNG) is a deterministic polynomial-time computable function  $G : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  that is length-increasing (mapping  $n$  bits to  $\ell(n)$  bits) and such that for all PPT  $A$ ,

$$Adv_A^{PRNG, G}(n) = \Pr[A(G(\mathcal{U}_n)) = 1] - \Pr[A(\mathcal{U}_{\ell(n)}) = 1]$$

is negligible.

The following is a general method for using one-way permutations to build PRNGs. We will prove part (b) next time. Part (a) is an exercise.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  is a permutation and  $B$  is a hard-core bit for  $f$  that is polynomial-time computable then*

- (a)  $G : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  given by  $G(x) = f(x)B(x)$  is a PRNG with  $\ell(n) = n + 1$ .
- (b) For every polynomial  $\ell(n) > n$  the function  $G^\ell : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  given by  $G(x) = B(x)B(f(x))B(f(f(x))) \cdots B(f^{\ell(|x|)-1}(x))$  is a PRNG.