# **FIT 100**

# Assignment 2: Evaluating Web Sites (or, Now that you've found it, how good is it?) Winter 200s

"You may have heard that 'knowledge is power,' or that information, the raw material of knowledge, is power. But the truth is that only some information is power: **reliable information**"

~Robert Harris~

# Reading for Assignment 2:

1) Evaluating Internet Resources: A checklist <a href="http://www.infopeople.org/bkmk/select.html">http://www.infopeople.org/bkmk/select.html</a>

2) The Virtual Chase: Legal Research on the Internet http://www.virtualchase.com/quality/checklist\_print.html

#### Introduction:

Many of you have done a fair amount of browsing and searching on the Internet. Have you ever stopped to question the content of sites you encounter when you are looking for cold, hard facts?

Anyone can publish on the Internet and most of that content is not verified for accuracy, unlike many print journals and other publications. The job of fact verification is left up to you, the user. Expert searching of the Internet for information is a valuable skill, but knowing how to evaluate what you find is something of an art.

#### Objectives:

- In a search engine, use basic search strategies to bring back sites with information on a topic.
- Use evaluation techniques to determine authenticity and credibility of web sites.

#### Online Resources:

Web search tips from Search Engine Watch:

http://www.searchenginewatch.com/facts/index.html

List of Search Engines by function:

http://www.searchenginewatch.com/links/

# **Evaluation Criteria:**

When looking at information provided on the Internet, keep in mind the following criteria:

#### Accuracy

- o Is the information reliable? Free from errors?
- o Are the sources of information listed? Can you verify them?

## **Authority**

- o Is the author an expert in the field?
- Is publisher of the site reputable? What does the domain of the document tell you? (Is it an educational institution, .edu, or a government site, .gov, etc.)
- Can you tell the difference between an educational site, and a site that is simple hosted by an education domain?

# Objectivity/Reasonableness

- o Do you find any biases on the site?
- o What is the motivation for the site? To inform? To persuade?
- o Is the intended audience of the site indicated?

# Currency

o How updated in the content? Is the date of last update easily found?

# Coverage/Scope

- Does the site contain original information, or just a bunch of links?
- What topics are covered
- o Is the topic covered in depth?

# Accessibility

- o Is the site consistently available?
- o How many links are dead ends?
- o Does it cost money to use the site?
- o Do you have to register to use the site?

### To Do:

What happens when you encounter an "official" looking site? At first glance it appears authoritative and reliable. Deciding whether the information presented is accurate and objective, current and authoritative is a skill to be developed.

Web site evaluation is a contextual process. How you evaluate a site is usually dependent on your research task or information need. Are you looking for new ideas and opinions, facts on a subject, or evidence that supports your position on a topic? Answers to every question in the criteria listed above will not be found for every site. The art of web site evaluation is to obtain answers to enough of your questions that *IN YOUR JUDGEMENT* the site has substance, merit, reliability, authenticity, etc. for your purposesor it doesn't. In many cases it is your own knowledge or familiarity with some of the facts that may tip you off to inconsistencies in the web site content.

1. Take a look at the following groups of sites. Using the criteria listed above, rank their reliability on a scale of one to ten (ten being most reliable).

Would you use these sites as objective sources of information on the topics?

How much do you trust the information from each site? Do you find each one to be credible in one way or another? Is there a particular viewpoint the content is deriving from that should be considered as you view the site?

## Group 1:

- http://www.gatt.org/
- http://www.wto.org/

#### Group 2:

- <a href="http://www.whitehouse.gov/">http://www.whitehouse.gov/</a>
- http://www.whitehouse.net/
- <a href="http://www.whitehouse.org/">http://www.whitehouse.org/</a>

#### Group 3:

- <a href="http://oncolink.upenn.edu/cancer-news/1994/smoke-medi-a.html">http://oncolink.upenn.edu/cancer-news/1994/smoke-medi-a.html</a>
- <a href="http://www.smokingsection.com/issues1.html#smoke">http://www.smokingsection.com/issues1.html#smoke</a>

## Group 4:

- <a href="http://www.ushmm.org/kristallnacht/frame.htm">http://www.ushmm.org/kristallnacht/frame.htm</a>
- http://ihr.org/jhr/v15/v15n2p10 Okeefe.html

Use this table to note the web page's reliability/credibility/objectivity, etc. Mark each column with a number between 1 and 10. 1= Little or no accuracy, authority, etc. and 10 = very accurate, authoritative, etc.

Site Name/URL Currency	Accuracy Coverage/Scope		Authority Accessibility	Objectivity	Objectivity		

2. Use the skills gained in Assignment 1 to do a search on a topic of interest (in other words, a topic in which you have some previous knowledge). Evaluate the sites found on the topic and reflect on how you evaluate them and what criteria influence your decision about the validity of the site. Go through the questions listed above in number 1 and add your own questions as you form a judgment. Mark the reliability of the site for the various criteria below.

Site Name/URL Currency	Accuracy Coverage/Scope		Authority Accessibility	Objectivity		

3. As you evaluate the sites you find, keep this question in mind: At first glance, what aspects of a website make it appear "authoritative"?

Keep in mind the criteria you have read in the paragraphs above. Did all the sites answer all of the questions? Did they answer enough to appear authoritative even though you, as a knowledgeable searcher, knew different?

Project 1 will require you to create a site that provides "misinformation" for the novice user. Will your site pass all of the above criteria?

**Examples of sites** that could be seen as spoofs, or as misinformation:

Aluminum Foil Deflector Beanie <a href="http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html">http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html</a>

Real Aroma

http://realaroma.com/

Feline Reactions to Bearded Men

http://www.improb.com/airchives/classical/cat/cat.html

GenoChoice

http://www.genochoice.com/

Dyhodrogen Monoxide

http://www.dhmo.org/

Mankato, Minnesota Tourist site

http://www.lme.mankato.msus.edu/mankato/mankato.html