## CSE 143 Lecture 8

## Complexity

## Intuition

- Are the following operations "fast" or "slow"?

| array |  | linked list |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| behavior | fast/slow | behavior | fast/slow |
| add at front | slow | add at front | fast |
| add at back | fast | add at back | slow |
| get at index | fast | get at index | slow |
| resizing | slow | resizing | N/A (fast!) |
| binary search | (pretty) fast | binary search | (really) slow |

## Complexity

- "Complexity" is a word that has a special meaning in computer science
- complexity: the amount of computational resources a block of code requires in order to run
- main computational resources:
- time: how long the code takes to execute
- space: how much computer memory the code consumes
- Often, one of these resources can be traded for the other:
- e.g.: we can make some code use less memory if we don't mind that it will need more time to finish (and vice-versa)


## Time Complexity

- We usually care more about time complexity
- we want to make our code run fast!
- But we don't merely measure how long a piece of code takes to determine it's time complexity
- Why not?
- That approach would have results strongly skewed by:
- size/kind of input
- speed of the computer's hardware
- other programs running at the same time
- operating system
- etc


## Time Complexity

- Instead, we care about the growth rate as the input size increase
- First, we have to be able to measure the input size
- the number of names to sort
- the number of nodes in a linked list
- the number of students in the IPL queue
- We usually call the input size " $n$ "
- What happens if we double the input size ( $n \rightarrow 2 n$ )?
- Will the running time double? quadruple? take forever?


## Time Complexity

- We can learn about this growth rate in two ways:
- by examining code
- by running the same code over different input sizes
- Measuring the growth rate by is one of the few places where computer science is like the other sciences
- here, we actually collect data
- But this data can be misleading
- modern computers are very complex
- some features (code optimizations) interfere with our data


## Time Complexity

- We'll count most "simple" statements as 1 time unit
- this includes $i=i+1$, int $x=$ elementData[i], etc
- but not loops! (or methods that contain loops!)


## Time Complexity

- Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1[\text { int } x=4 * 10 / 3+2-10 * 42 \text {; } \\
& 100\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { for (int } i=0 ; i<100 ; i++)\{ \\
x+=i ;
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Optimizing Code

- Many programmers care a lot about efficiency
- But many inexperienced programmers obsess about it - and the wrong kind of efficiency, at that
- Which one is faster:

> System.out.println("print");

System.out.println("me");
or:
System.out.println("print\nme");
Who cares? Any difference is insignificant

- If you're going to optimize some code, improve it so that you get a real benefit!


## Growth Rates

- We care about n as it gets bigger
- it's a lot like calculus, with $n$ approaching infinity
- you all know calculus, right?
- So, when we see something complicated like this:

$$
\frac{n^{3}-18 n^{2}+385 n+708}{0.005 n^{4}-13 n^{2}+73842}
$$

- We can remove all the annoying terms:

$$
\frac{n^{3}}{n^{4}}
$$

- And as n gets really big, this approaches 0


## Big 0 Notation

- We need a way to write a growth rate of a block of code
- Computer scientists use big O ("big oh") notation
- O(n)
- O(n $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$
- In big O notation, we ignore coefficients that are constants
$-5 n$ is written as $O(n)$
- 100 n is also written as $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
- $0.05 n^{2}$ is written as $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ and will eventually outgrow $O(n)$
- Each O([something]) specifies a different complexity class


## Complexity Classes

| Complexity <br> Class | Name | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$ | constant time | accessing an array element |
| $\mathbf{O ( l o g} \mathrm{n})$ | logarithmic time | binary search on an array |
| $\mathbf{O ( n )}$ | linear time | scanning all elements of an array |
| $\mathbf{O ( n ~ l o g ~} \mathrm{n})$ | log-linear time | binary search on a linked list and <br> good sorting algorithms |
| $\mathbf{O ( n ^ { 2 } )}$ | quadratic time | poor sorting algorithms (like <br> inserting n items into <br> SortedIntList) |
| $\mathbf{O ( n ^ { 3 } )}$ | cubic time | (example later today) |
| $\mathbf{O ( \mathbf { 2 } ^ { \mathbf { n } } )}$ | exponential time | Really hard problems. These grow <br> so fast that they're impractical |

## Examples of Each Complexity Class's Growth Rate

- Assume that all complexity classes can process an input of size 100 in 100ms

| Input <br> Size (n) | $\underline{O(1)}$ | $\underline{O(\log \mathrm{n})}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})}$ | $\underline{O\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)}$ | $\underline{O\left(n^{3}\right)}$ | $\underline{O\left(2^{n}\right)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | 100 ms | 100 ms | 100 ms | 100 ms | 100 ms | 100 ms | 100 ms |
| 200 | 100 ms | 115 ms | 200 ms | 240 ms | 400 ms | 800 ms | 32.7 sec |
| 400 | 100 ms | 130 ms | 400 ms | 550 ms | 1.6 sec | 6.4 sec | 12.4 days |
| 800 | 100 ms | 145 ms | 800 ms | 1.2 sec | 6.4 sec | 51.2 sec | 36.5 million <br> years |
| 1600 | 100 ms | 160 ms | 1.6 sec | 2.7 sec | 25.6 sec | 6 min <br> 49.6 sec | $4.21 * 10^{24}$ <br> years |
| 3200 | 100 ms | 175 ms | 3.2 sec | 6 sec | 1 min <br> 42.4 sec | 54 min <br> 36 sec | $5.6^{*} 10^{61}$ <br> years |

## Case Study: maxSum

- Given an array of ints, find the subsequence with the maximum sum
- Additional information:
- values in the array can be negative, positive, or zero
- the subsequence must be contiguous (can't skip elements)
- you must compute:
- the value of the sum of this subsequence
- the starting index (inclusive) of this subsequence
- the stopping index (inclusive) of this subsequence
- This has been used as a Microsoft interview question!


## Case Study: maxSum

- For example: suppose you were given the following array:

max sum: $4+6+10+-18+5+5+11=23$
starting index: 3
stopping index: 9
- Notice that we included a negative number (-18)!
- but this also let us include the 4, 6, and 10


## Case Study: maxSum

- First, a simple way to solve this: try every subsequence!
- Psuedo-code:
// try every start index, from 0 to size - 1
// try every stop index, from start index to size - 1 // compute the sum from start index to stop index
- Converted to be part code, part pseudo-code:
for (int start $=0$; start < list.length; start++) \{ for (int stop $=$ start; stop < list.length; stop++) \{ // compute the sum from start index to stop index \}
\}


## Case Study: maxSum

- Now, we just need to convert this pseudo-code:
// compute the sum from start index to stop index
- ...into code. Here's one way:
int sum $=0$;
for (int $i=s t a r t ; i<=s t o p ; i++)$ \{ sum += list[i];
\}
- And we need to store this sum if it becomes our max sum:

```
if (sum > maxSum) {
        maxSum = sum;
}
```


## Case Study: maxSum

- Here's our whole algorithm, with some initialization:

```
int maxSum = list[0];
int maxStart = 0;
int maxStop = 0;
for (int start = 0; start < list.length; start++) {
    for (int stop = start; stop < list.length; stop++) {
        int sum = 0;
        for (int i = start; i <= stop; i++) {
            sum += list[i];
        }
        if (sum > maxSum) { frequently executed
            maxSum = sum; line of code
                this is the most
            maxStart = start;
            maxStop = stop;
        }
    }
}
```


## Case Study: maxSum

- What complexity class is the previous algorithm?
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right)$ (cubic time)
- This is pretty slow
- we recalculate the entire sum every time:
- calculate the entire sum from index 0 to index 0
- calculate the entire sum from index 0 to index 1
- ...
- calculate the entire sum from index 0 to index 999
- How can we improve it?
- remember the old sum (values list[start] to list[stop-1])
- add the single new value (list[stop]) to the old sum


## Case Study: maxSum

- Improved code, now with a running sum:

```
int maxSum = list[0];
int maxStart = 0;
int maxStop = 0;
for (int start = 0; start < list.length; start++) {
    int sum = 0;
    for (int stop = start: stop < list.length; stop++) {
        sum += list[stop];
        if (sum > maxSum) {
        maxSum = sum;
        maxStart = start;
        maxStop = stop;
        }
    }
}
```


## Case Study: maxSum

- What complexity class is the previous algorithm?
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ (quadratic time)
- This is a big improvement over the old code
- it now runs much faster for large input sizes
- And it wasn't that hard to convert our first version to this improved version
- But we can still do better
- if only we can figure out how...


## Case Study: maxSum

- There is a better algorithm, but it's harder to understand - (nor do you need to understand it)
- The main idea is that we will find the max subsequence without computing all the sums
- this will eliminate our inner for loop
- ...which means we can find the subsequence with just a single loop over the array
- We need to know when to reset our running sum
- this will "throw out" all previous values
- but we have to know for sure that we don't want them!


## Case Study: maxSum

- Suppose we're about to look at an index greater than 0
- for example index 10
- If we're going to include previous values, we must include the value at index 9
- index 9 is immediately before index 10
- We want to use only the best subsequence that ends at 9
- And only if it helps us. When does it help?
- it helps when the sum of this old subsequence is positive
- and hurts when the sum of this old subsequence is negative


## Case Study: maxSum

- Best code:

```
int maxSum = list[0];
int maxStart = 0;
int maxStop = 0;
int sum = 0;
int start = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < list.length; i++) {
        if (sum < 0) {
        sum = 0;
        start = i;
        }
    sum += list[i];
    if (sum > maxSum) {
        maxSum = sum;
        maxStart = start;
            maxStart = s
}
                            these are the most
                    frequently executed
                    lines of code
        }
```


## Case Study: maxSum

- What complexity class is our best algorithm?
- O(n) (linear time)
- This is again a big improvement over both other versions
- But let's not just take my word for it
- Let's conduct an experiment (in MaxSum.java -- available on the website)
- we'll give an array of ints of some size to each algorithm
- ...and then give the algorithm an array of twice that size
- ...and then give the algorithm an array of triple that size
- ...and see how long it takes


## MaxSum.java

- Output for an array of 1500 ints in the $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right)$ algorithm:

How many numbers do you want to use? 1500
Which algorithm do you want to use? 1
Max $=172769$
Max start $=677$
Max stop $=971$
for $\mathrm{n}=1500$, time $=0.96$
Max $=198959$
Max start $=1727$
Max stop $=1972$
for $n=3000$, time $=7.543$
these numbers are close to 8
Max $=614711$
Max start $=251$
( $2^{3}$ ) and 27 ( $3^{3}$ ) respectively, so this algorithm exhibited 0
Max stop $=3870$
for $\mathrm{n}=4500$, time $=25.427$
$\left(n^{3}\right)$ growth
Double/single ratio $=7.857291666666667$
Triple/single ratio $=26.486458333333335$

## MaxSum.java

- Output for an array of 30,000 ints in the $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ algorithm:

How many numbers do you want to use? 30000
Which algorithm do you want to use? 2
$\operatorname{Max}=809852$
Max start $=10146$
Max stop $=19139$
for $\mathrm{n}=30000$, time $=0.988$
Max $=2170008$
Max start $=9832$
Max stop $=25833$
for $n=60000$, time $=3.935$
these numbers are close to 4
Max $=4112483$
Max start $=74$
Max stop $=88871$
for $n=90000$, time $=8.853$
( $\mathbf{2}^{2}$ ) and 9 ( $3^{2}$ ) respectively, so this algorithm exhibited 0 ( $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ ) growth

Double/single ratio $=3.9827935222672064$
Triple/single ratio $=8.960526315789474$

## MaxSum.java

- Output for an array of 5,000,000 ints in the $O(n)$ algorithm:

How many numbers do you want to use? 5000000
Which algorithm do you want to use? 3
Max $=22760638$
Max start $=456$
Max stop $=4998134$

Max stop $=4998134$
for $\mathrm{n}=5000000$, time $=0.016$
Max $=27670910$
Max start $=1045808$
Max stop $=9643590$
for $\mathrm{n}=1000000$, time
$=0.031$
Max $=28178549$
Max start $=239081$
Max stop $=8574748$
for $\mathrm{n}=15000000$, time
Double/single ratio $=1.9375$
Triple/single ratio $=2.75$
look at how fast it processed 5,000,000, $10,000,000$, and 15,000,000 ints!
these numbers are close to 2 and 3 respectively, so this algorithm exhibited $O(n)$ growth

