CSE 143 Lecture 12

Inheritance

slides created by Ethan Apter http://www.cs.washington.edu/143/

Intuition: Employee Types

• Consider this (partial) hierarchy of employee types:



• What kind of tasks can each employee type perform?

Intuition: Employee Types

- What tasks should *all* employees be able to do?
 - show up for work
 - work
 - collect paychecks
- What tasks can a lawyer do that an engineer cannot?
 - sue
 - file legal briefs
- Which kind of secretary (regular or legal) can accomplish a greater variety of tasks?
 - legal secretaries can do all regular secretarial work and have special training for additional tasks

Intuition: Employee Training

- On your first day at work, you'll likely receive some general training for your new job
- If it's a big company (like Microsoft), you'll likely receive this with many other types of employees
 - engineers, business people, lawyers, etc
- After this general training, you'll probably receive some specialized training
 - "I know yesterday they told you to fill out your time-card on the white sheet, but here we do it online instead"
- We call this kind of replacement **overriding**
 - the new behavior overrides/replaces the old behavior

Inheritance Overview

- Java does something similar with inheritance
- If we want to show an inheritance relationship between two classes, we use the **extends** keyword:

```
public class B extends A {
    ...
}
```

• Which sets up the following inheritance hierarchy:



Superclasses and Subclasses

- In the previous example, A is the **superclass** of B (A is above B on the hierarchy), and B is a **subclass** of A (B is below A on the hierarchy)
- This wording is somewhat different from standard English:



- So, a "super bacon cheeseburger" is just a hamburger
 - that doesn't seem right: it's missing bacon and cheese!
 - but that's how inheritance works

Base and Derived Classes

- We also say A is the **base class** of B, and B is a **derived class** of A
- This makes a little more sense:



• A hamburger provides the basic form of a bacon cheeseburger. Alternately, a bacon cheeseburger is a hamburger with minor additions

Extending Object

• Consider the class **A** that we've been discussing:

```
public class A {
    ...
}
```

• We didn't write that **A** extends anything, but it automatically extends **Object**:



This diagram is more complete than before. However, we often don't draw Object because we know it *must* be there

• All the classes you've written so far extend Object

Object

- Object is a very general class
- Since every class must extend Object, either directly like **A** or indirectly like **B**, Object must have only state and behavior that is needed by every class:
 - equals
 - toString
 - this is where the weird default toString comes from
 - and more (but we won't bother with the others)
- Why doesn't Object contain a compareTo method?

Why Use Inheritance?

- Inheritance allows us to reuse code we've already written
 - this makes it a powerful tool
- Inheritance also allows us to express the core relationship between different classes
- Subclasses allow us to do two things:
 - add new state and behavior (fields and methods)
 - useful when a superclass has most of the needed state and behavior
 - override inherited methods
 - useful when you need to modify the way an inherited method functions

- When can we substitute one object for another?
- Recall our employee hierarchy:



- We can always substitute a more specific object for a less specific one. With inheritance, we call this an "is-a" relationship
 - a lawyer **is-a** professional employee **is-an** employee
 - a lawyer can substitute for an employee, etc
 - a legal secretary **is-a** secretary **is-a** clerical employee
 is-an employee
 - a legal secretary can substitute for a secretary, etc
- You can see the is-a relationship by moving up the inheritance hierarchy
- It's *not* ok to substitute across the hierarchy
 - a secretary is NOT a lawyer and can't substitute for one

- Recall our classes B and A (B extends A)
- Obviously we can do this:

variable type object type

• But what if the variable type and object type don't match?



• But, what does it mean when the variable type doesn't match the object type?

A x = new B();

- We are limited to the behaviors of the variable type
 - for x above, we are limited to using only methods defined for class A (which may be inherited from Object)
- When executed, the methods will behave as defined in the object type
 - for x above, the methods will execute as defined in B (which may be inherited from A or Object)

Casting

- Suppose that:
 - you're an unemployed legal secretary
 - you know that legal secretaries earn \$20 an hour
 - you know that generic secretaries earn \$15 an hour
 - you accept a job as a generic secretary for \$15 an hour
- So far, this is fine (just not ideal)
- But what if:
 - your employer discovers that you're a legal secretary
 - ...and wants you to do legal secretary work
 - …for just \$15 an hour?
- Is that ok?



- No, it's not ok!
- If he wants you to do legal secretary work, he can renegotiate your contract to reflect this
 - and pay you \$20 an hour
- Java lets us do something similar when we class cast
 - the class cast essentially renegotiates the contract

```
Secretary you = new LegalSecretary();
LegalSecretary youWithRaise = (LegalSecretary)you;
cast
```

Exercise: Inheritance Mystery

- 4-5 classes with inheritance relationships are shown
 - the class names won't make sense (inheritance mystery)
- A client program calls methods on objects of each class
 - some questions involve casting
 - some lines of code are illegal and produce errors
- You must read the code and determine what it does
 - if it produces output, you must be precise
 - if it produces an error, you need to specify what kind of error (either a compiler error or a runtime error)
- A similar problem **will** be on your midterm!

Exercise: Inheritance Mystery

• Assume that the following classes have been declared:

```
public class Fog extends Sleet {
    public void method1() {
        System.out.println("Fog 1");
    ł
    public void method3() {
        System.out.println("Fog 3");
    }
}
public class Rain extends Snow {
    public void method1() {
        System.out.println("Rain 1");
    }
    public void method2() {
        System.out.println("Rain 2");
    }
}
```

Exercise: Inheritance Mystery

```
public class Sleet extends Snow {
    public void method2() {
        System.out.println("Sleet 2");
        super.method2();
        method3();
    }
    public void method3() {
        System.out.println("Sleet 3");
    }
}
public class Snow {
    public void method2() {
        System.out.println("Snow 2");
    public void method3() {
        System.out.println("Snow 3");
```

Technique: Diagramming

• First, determine the inheritance hierarchy:



Technique: Diagramming

• ...and determine where methods are defined and inherited



Method Calls

• Let's look a little closer at Sleet's method2():

```
public class Sleet extends Snow {
    public void method2() {
        System.out.println("Sleet 2");
        super.method2();
        method3();
    }
    ...
}
```

- **super** is a Java keyword to look to the super class
 - SO super.method2() is like saying Snow.method2()
 - **super** is static: it always refers to **Snow**'s methods
- however, the call on method3 is dynamic
 - it always runs the current version of method3, because it's possible that another subclass can redefine method3

Technique: Behavior Table

• Then, figure out the behaviors of each type of object

method	Snow	Rain	Sleet	Fog
method1		Rain 1		Fog 1
method2	Snow 2	Rain 2	Sleet 2	Sleet 2
			Snow 2	Snow 2
			<pre>method3()</pre>	method3()
method3	Snow 3	Snow 3	Sleet 3	Fog 3

Italics - inherited behavior

Circled - dynamic method call



What happens when the following examples are executed?

• Example 1 (letter a on handout #15):

```
Snow var1 = new Sleet();
var1.method3();
```

• Example 2 (letter c on handout #15):

```
Snow var1 = new Sleet();
var1.method2();
```

• Example 3 (letter o on handout #15):

```
Snow var3 = new Fog();
((Sleet)var3).method1();
```

• Example 4 (letter m on handout #15):

```
Snow var3 = new Fog();
((Rain)var3).method1();
```









Solving Inheritance Mystery

- Steps to solving inheritance mystery:
 - 1. Look at the variable type (if there is a cast, look at the casted variable type). If the variable type does not have the requested method the compiler will report an error.
 - If there was a cast, make sure the casted variable type is compatible with the object type (i.e. ensure the object type is a subclass of the variable type). If they are not compatible, a runtime error (ClassCastException) will occur.
 - 3. Execute the method in question, behaving like the object type (the variable type and casted variable type no longer matter at all)

Solutions to Handout #15

• Solutions for letters a through j:

Letter	Code	Output
a	<pre>var1.method1();</pre>	compiler error
b	<pre>var2.method1();</pre>	Rain 1
С	<pre>var1.method2();</pre>	Sleet 2/Snow 2/Sleet 3
d	<pre>var2.method2();</pre>	Rain 2
е	<pre>var3.method2();</pre>	Sleet 2/Snow 2/Fog 3
f	<pre>var4.method2();</pre>	compiler error
g	<pre>var5.method2();</pre>	Sleet 2/Snow 2/Fog 3
h	<pre>var1.method3();</pre>	Sleet 3
i	<pre>var2.method3();</pre>	Snow 3
j	<pre>var3.method3();</pre>	Fog 3

Solutions to Handout #15

• Solutions for letters k through t:

Letter	Code	Output
k	<pre>var4.method3();</pre>	compiler error
1	<pre>var5.method3();</pre>	Fog 3
m	((Rain)var3).method1();	runtime error
n	((Fog)var5).method1();	Fog 1
0	((Sleet)var3).method1();	compiler error
р	((Sleet)var3).method3();	Fog 3
q	((Fog)var6).method3();	runtime error
r	((Snow)var4).method2();	Snow 2
S	((Sleet)var4).method3();	runtime error
t	((Rain)var6).method3();	Snow 3