CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Dan Grossman Spring 2005 Lecture 23— Version control, shared files, cvs

Where are We

Learning tools and concepts relevant to multi-file, multi-person, multi-platform, multi-month projects.

Today: Managing source code

- Reliable backup of hard-to-replace information (i.e., the source code)
- Tools for managing concurrent and potentially conflicting changes from multiple people
- Version numbers, ability to "rollback" or at least see the differences with previous versions.

Note: None of this has anything to do with code. Like make, version-control systems are typically not language-specific.

- I use cvs (a version-control system) for papers I write too.
- But cvs is better at plain-text (for detecting differences)

Version-control systems

There are plenty: rcs, cvs, subversion, sourceforge, SourceSafe,

The terminology and commands aren't so standard, but once you know one, the others shouldn't be difficult.

cvs is actually a layer over rcs.

Weakpoint of cvs: renaming files or (worse) directories.

The set-up

There is a cvs *repository*, where files (and past versions) are reliably stored.

• Hopefully the repository files are backed up, but that's not cvs's problem.

You do not edit files in the repository directly. Instead:

- You check-out a working copy and edit it.
- You *commit* changes back to the repository.

You use the cvs program to perform any operations that need the repository.

One repository may hold many *projects*. (The repository itself just has a directory structure.)

Questions

- How do you set-up:
 - A repository (init)
 - A project in a repository (import)
 - A working copy of a project in a repository (checkout)
- How do you edit files:
 - Get latest updates of a project (update)
 - Add or remove files (add or remove)
 - Put changes back in repository (commit)
- How do you get information about:
 - History of revisions (log)
 - Difference between versions (diff)
- Other (branches, locks, watches, ...)

<u>Common vs. uncommon</u>

Learn the common cases; look up the uncommon ones:

- You will set up new repositories approx. once every 5 years
- You will add a project approx. once a year
- You will checkout a project approx. once a month
- You will update your working copy and update the repository approx. once a day.

Nonetheless, the command-structure for all of these is similar:

```
cvs cvs-options cmd cmd-options filenames
```

Examples:

```
cvs -d ~djg/cvsroot checkout foo
cvs update -P foo
```

Getting started

Set up a repository and project.

• Remember, I have to look up the commands for this.

Accessing the repository:

- From the same machine, just specify the root via a path name (-d).
- After the checkout, the working-copy "remembers" the repository so -d is unnecessary.
- Can access remotely by specifying user-id and machine.
 - Must have cvs and ssh installed on your local machine
 - Will be prompted for password.
 - How I write code with people in other time zones.
 - I recommend you *not* spend the time to set this up for hw6.

File manipulation

- Add files with cvs add.
- Get files with cvs update.
- Commit changes with cvs commit.
 - Any number of files (no filename means all files in directory and all transitive subdirectories)
 - Added files not really added until commit (unliked directories)

Commit messages are mandatory:

- -m "a short message"
- -F filename-containing-message
- else an editor pops up
 - vi unless you set environment variable VISUAL (or EDITOR?)
 - learn how to quit vi :) (or learn vi)

<u>Conflicts</u>

This all works great if there is one working-copy: you keep old versions, can see their differences, etc.

With multiple working-copies there can be *conflicts*:

- 1. Your working-copy checks out version 1.7 of foo.
- 2. You edit foo.
- 3. Somebody else commits a new version (1.8) of foo.

You *cannot* commit; you must update foo. What about your changes?

- If you're nervous, make a copy of foo locally first.
- But cvs will use diff and patch to *merge* the changes between 1.7 and 1.8 into your working-copy foo.
- Merging is *line-based*, which is why cvs is better for text files.
- Conflicts indicated in the working-copy file (search for <<<<<).

It's all just files and diff

There is very little magic to cvs; you can poke around to see how it's implemented:

- The repository just uses directories and files.
- Files are kept read-only to avoid "mistakes" (cvs command temporarily changes that and changes it back)
- Files are kept in terms of diffs (so small changes lead to small increase in repository size, even for large files)
- Set group permissions appropriately (see chgrp if necessary).
- Hard part of implementation is preventing simultaneous commits and other *concurrency* errors.

As for the working copy:

• All the "magic" is in the CVS subdirectory.

CVS gotchas

- To get new subdirectories to update -P (for hw6, one directory should be plenty).
- Do not forget to add files or your group members will be very unhappy.
- Keep in the repository *exactly what you need to build the application*!
 - Yes: foo.c foo.h Makefile
 - No: foo.o a.out
 - You don't want versions of .o files:
 - * Replacable things have no value
 - $\ast\,$ They will change a lot when .c files change a little
 - * Developers on other machines can't use them

Summary

Another tool for letting the computer do what it's good at:

- Much better than manually emailing files, adding dates to filenames, etc.
- Managing versions, storing the differences
- Keeping source-code safe.
- Preventing concurrent access, detecting conflicts.

How to "cheat" and throw away somebody else's changes – don't!

```
mv foo bar
cvs update foo
mv bar foo
cvs commit -m "muhahaha" foo
```

cvs just knows about version numbers and diff; it's not magic.