

# CSE 303: Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Hal Perkins

Spring 2008

Lecture 4— Shell Variables, More Shell Scripts

## Where are We

---

We understand most of the bash shell and its “programming language”. Final pieces we’ll consider:

- Shell variables
  - Defining your own
  - Built-in meanings
  - Exporting
- Arrays
- Arithmetic
- For loops

End with:

- A long list of gotchas (some bash-specific; some common to shells)
- Why long shell scripts are a bad idea, etc.

# Shell variables

---

We already know a shell has state: current working directory, users, aliases, history.

Its state also includes *shell variables* which hold *strings*.

Features:

1. Change variables' values: `foo=blah`
2. Add new variables: `foo=blah` or `foo=`
3. Use variable: `${foo}` (braces sometimes optional)
4. Remove variables: `unset foo`
5. See what variables "are set": `set`

Omitted feature: Functions and local variables (see manual)

Roughly "all variables are global (visible everywhere)"

Only (1) is similar to "real" programming languages

# Why Variables?

---

Variables are useful in scripts, just like in “normal” programming.

“Special” variables affect shell operation. 3 most (?) common:

- PATH
- PS1
- HOME

# Export

---

Minor point...

If a shell runs another program (perhaps a bash script), does the other program “see the current variables that are set”?

It depends.

`export foo` – yes it will see value of `foo`

`export -n foo` – no it will not see value of `foo`

Default is no.

If the other program sets an exported variable, does the outer shell see the change?

No.

# Arrays

---

More flexible than in Java, but much harder to use right

Make an array: `foo=(x y z)`

Set element: `foo[2]=hi`

Get element: `${foo[2]}`

Get number of elements: `${#foo[*]}`

Get all elements separated by spaces: `${foo[*]}`

Arrays do not have “fixed sizes”; example: code up an ever-growing list.

# Arithmetic

---

Variables are strings, so `k=$((i+j))` is not addition.

But `((k=$((i+j)))` is (and in fact the `$` is optional).

So is `let k="$i + $j"`.

The shell converts the strings to numbers, silently using 0 as necessary.

Example: code up a stack. (Enough to reimplement built-ins `pushd` and `popd`.)

# For-loops

---

Syntax:

```
for v in w1 w2 ... wn
do
  body
done
```

Execute body  $n$  times, with  $v$  set to  $w_i$  on  $i^{th}$  one. (Afterwards,  $v=wn$ ).

Why so convenient?

- Use a filename pattern after `in`
- Use `${blah[*]}` after `in`



# Quoting and Variables

---

Does `x=*` set `x` to string-holding-asterisk or string-holding-all-filenames?

If `$x` is `*`, does `ls $x` list all-files or file named asterisk?

Are variables expanded in double-quotes? single-quotes?

Could consult the manual, but honestly it's easier to start a shell and *experiment*. For example:

```
x="*"
echo x
echo $x
echo "$x"
echo '$x'
...
```

## Gotchas: A very partial list

---

1. Typo in variable name on left: create new variable `oops=7`
2. Typo in variable use: get empty string `ls $oops`
3. Use same variable name again: clobber other use `HISTFILE=uhoh`
4. Omit subscript: get first element of array `${arr}`
5. Omit `[*]` on length: get 1st element's string-length `${#arr}`
6. Array-out-of-bounds on left: create larger array
7. Array-out-of-bounds on use: get empty string
8. Spaces in variables: use double-quotes if you mean "one word"
9. Non-number used as number: end up with 0
10. `set f=blah`: apparently does nothing (is assignment in `bash`)
11. Omitted braces: `$foo[0]` and `$12` not what you think.

# Shell Programming Revisited

---

How do Java programming and shell programming compare?

The shell:

- “shorter”
- convenient file-access, file-tests, program-execution, pipes
- crazy quoting rules and syntax
- also interactive

Java:

- none of the previous gotchas
- local variables, modularity, typechecking, array-checking, ...
- real data structures, libraries, regular syntax

Rough rule of thumb: Don't write shell scripts over 200 lines?

# Treatment of Strings

---

Suppose `foo` is a variable that holds the string `hello`

	Java	Bash
Use variable (get hello)	<code>foo</code>	<code>\$foo</code>
The string <code>foo</code>	<code>"foo"</code>	<code>foo</code>
Assign variable	<code>foo = hi;</code>	<code>foo=hi</code>
Concatenation	<code>foo + "oo"</code>	<code>\${foo}oo</code>
Conversion to number	library-call	silent and implicit

Moral: In Java, variable-uses are easier than string-constants.

Opposite in Bash.

Both biased toward common use.

## More on Shell Programming

---

Metapoint: Computer scientists automate and end up accidentally inventing (bad) programming languages. It's like using a screwdriver as a pry bar.

HW2 in part, will be near the limits of what I recommend doing with a shell script (and we'll end up cutting corners as a result)

There are plenty of attempts to get “the best of both worlds” in a scripting language: Perl, Python, Ruby, ...

Personal opinion: it raises the limit to 1000 or 10000 lines? Get you hooked on short programs.

Picking the bash shell was a conscious decision to emphasize the interactive side and see “how bad programming can get”.

Next: Regular expressions, `grep`, `sed`, `find`.

## Bottom Line

---

Never do something manually if writing a script would save you time.

Never write a script if you need a large, robust piece of software.

Some programming languages try to give “best of both worlds” – you now have seen two extremes that don’t (Java and bash).